

FOIs reveal that health/science institutions around the world (220 and counting!) have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification, anywhere, ever

: 25/11/2020



NO
RECORD
FOUND


Video Introduction:

Official Evidence that Virology is Pseudoscience – Christine Massey

June 10 2023:

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/gvu4NbieSuVb/>

Polish version: <https://www.bitchute.com/video/99VtXiUrz4Jp/>



[Go [here](#) for FOIs on other imaginary “viruses”, [here](#) for FOIs on “virus” control experiments and [here](#) for FOIs on whether bacteria have been shown to CAUSE disease.]

Would a sane person mix a patient sample (containing various sources of genetic material and never proven to contain any alleged “virus”) with transfected monkey kidney cells, fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs, then claim that the resulting concoction is “SARS-COV-2 isolate” and ship it off internationally for use in critical research (including vaccine and test development)?

Because that’s the sort of fraudulent monkey business that’s being passed off as “virus isolation” by research teams around the world.

Just 1 of many examples is shown below – this is from a study [cited by the Australian Department of Health](#) as a paper “*which led to the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 in culture*”. (Can you spot the oxymoron in that quote?)

2.1 Cell culture of SARS-CoV-2 and electron microscopy

Vero/hSLAM cells (African green monkey kidney cells transfected to express the human signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM; also known as CDw150)¹ were grown at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in maintenance media consisting of 10mL Earle's minimum essential medium (EMEM), 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bovogen Biologicals, Keilor East, AUS) 2mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1500mg/L sodium bicarbonate, 15 mM HEPES and 0.4mg/ml geneticin to 95% confluency in 25cm² flasks. Prior to use for isolation, maintenance media was removed from the flask and 500µL of respiratory swab inoculum was overlaid on the cell monolayer. The flask was returned to the 37°C incubator to allow the virus to adsorb for 1 hour before addition of 10 mL viral culture media (EMEM as above but FBS reduced to 2%). Flasks were monitored for viral cytopathic effect and 140µL aliquots of supernatant removed every 48 hours to assess virus burden by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR. First passage culture grown virus isolate was subsequently shipped nationally and internationally in packaging compliant with UN 2814 Category A shipping requirements using credentialed, specialised courier services under the appropriate Australian government export approvals processes and receiving country import permissions.

If you're new to the topic of "virus isolation/purification", I strongly recommend that you begin by reading the Settling the Virus Debate Statement: <https://drsambailey.com/resources/settling-the-virus-debate/>

and/or watch this [5 minute video](#) from Dr. Cowan.

Or go [here](#) for many more resources, and corroborating evidence.

Colleagues around the world and I (Christine) have been filing Freedom of Information "requests" to institutions in various countries seeking records that describe the isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" from any unadulterated sample taken from a diseased patient.

The reason: without the crucial step of isolation/purification having been performed (from many patients, followed by characterization, sequencing and controlled experiments), there is no way to claim scientifically that the alleged "novel coronavirus" (blamed for widespread death/disease/lockdown measures) actually exists.

Without this step having been performed and followed by characterization, sequencing and controlled experiments, all claims of this alleged "virus" are nothing but wild speculation backed only by fraudulent science, fraudulent tests and fraud-based diagnoses. (I have done numerous interviews on this topic, which you can find near the bottom of this page.)

The “requests” filed by my colleague in NZ and I (and many of the other submitters) have **not** been limited to records of isolation performed by the respective institution, or limited to records authored by the respective institution, rather they were open to any records describing “COVID-19 virus” (aka “SARS-COV-2”) isolation/purification performed by anyone, ever, anywhere on the planet.

Thus far (November 26, 2023) 53 Canadian institutions have provided their responses: [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) (and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#), this one re the alleged “UK variant” aka “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha”; and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) re any alleged virus/variant, and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) re ANY type of alleged virus at all), [Health Canada](#) (and another from [Health Canada](#); and another from [Health Canada](#)), the [National Research Council of Canada](#), [Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre \(VIDO-InterVac\)](#), [Canadian Institutes of Health Research](#), [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) (and another from [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) re “delta variant”, [University Health Network](#), [Ontario Ministry of the Solicitor General and Ontario Provincial Police](#), [Alberta Ministry of Health](#), [Alberta Premier Jason Kenney, his Office and Executive Council](#), [Calgary Police Service](#), [Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec](#) (another from [Public Health Quebec](#)), [British Columbia’s Ministry of Health](#) (re “the UK variant”) (another from [British Columbia’s Ministry of Health](#)), [British Columbia’s Centre for Disease Control](#), [British Columbia’s Provincial Health Services Authority](#) (2 responses, 1 re “SARS-COV-2”, 1 re the alleged “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha variant” aka “UK variant”), [Vancouver Coastal Health Authority](#) (re “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha variant” aka “UK variant”), [Newfoundland Labrador Department of Health & Community Services](#), [New Brunswick’s Department/Ministry of Health](#), [McGill University](#), [University of Ottawa](#), [University of Waterloo](#), [Dalhousie University](#), [University of Guelph](#), [University of British Columbia](#), the [City of Toronto](#), [Toronto Police](#) (and another from [Toronto Police](#)), [Halton Region](#), [Hamilton Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Halton Regional Police Service](#) (Ontario), the [Region of Peel](#) (Ontario), [Region of Durham](#) (Ontario); [KFL&A Public Health](#) (Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington, Ontario, re “any variant”), [Grey Bruce Health Services](#), [Grey Bruce Health Unit](#), [Simcoe Muskoka Health Unit](#), [Niagara Regional Police Service](#), [Peterborough Public Health](#) (Ontario) (and another from [Peterborough Public Health](#)), [Peterborough Police Service](#) (Ontario) (another from [Peterborough Police](#)), [City of Peterborough](#) (Ontario) [Aylmer Police Service](#) (Ontario) (and another from [Aylmer Police](#)), [Woodstock Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Sudbury Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Hastings Prince Edward Public Health](#) (Ontario), the [Town of Collingwood](#), [City of Welland](#), the [University of Toronto](#) (another from [U of Toronto](#)), [Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre](#), [McMaster University](#) and [Mount Sinai Hospital](#) (Toronto) (note that researchers from the last 4 institutions had publicly claimed to have “isolated the virus”, as had VIDO-Intervac).

Every institution has failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing the isolation aka purification of the alleged “COVID-19 virus” directly from a patient sample that was not first adulterated with other sources of genetic material. (Those other sources are typically monkey kidney aka “Vero” cells and fetal bovine serum).

(And, to our knowledge, no one on the planet has ever purified the alleged “virus” even from a cell culture!)

In their responses, numerous institutions have made it explicitly clear that **isolation/purification is simply never done in virology**, and that “isolation” in virology means the exact opposite of what it means in everyday English. This is also evidenced in every “virus isolation” paper we have ever seen, for

any alleged “virus”.



For some insight into what’s really going on:

[Canadian public health officials have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification performed anyw](#)

(Note: **Sébastien Grammond**, a man who acts as “Federal Court judge” here in Canada, has concocted a bogus “confirmation” that “SARS-CoV-2 virus is real” – based on zero valid science and despite knowing of that all 138 institutions listed in [my affidavit](#) had failed to cite even 1 example of the alleged virus having been purified from any patient sample on the planet. Why waste time on science or logic when you can simply “accept” the “Attorney General of Canada’s invitation to take judicial notice that the virus is real”? Sounds perfectly legit. “Noticing” widespread beliefs is so much better than reviewing the pertinent facts.)

[CDC can’t find any studies demonstrating contagion of colds/flu/fake-covid...
because they don’t exist](#)

PLEASE SHARE THESE DOCUMENTS FAR AND WIDE

As of December 4, 2023: **220 institutions and offices in 40 countries** have responded thus far, as well as some “SARS-COV-2 isolation” study authors, and none have provided or cited any record describing actual “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification. All of the responses in our collection are available from this page.

Excel file listing 220 institutions:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Institution-list-for-website.xls>

Google drive folder where all of the FOI documents as of July 14, 2022 are grouped by country (for the most part) and compiled into 11 pdfs (Exhibits 1 – 4; Exhibit 4 has 8 parts):

<https://tinyurl.com/IsolationFOIs>

Here is my detailed **declaration regarding the worldwide FOI collection and the unscientific nature of virology** (14 pages), which is notarized, dated December 2, 2022 (I was rushing to make some last-

minute edits before printing and heading off to the notary; please forgive the typos):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/2022-12-02-Christine-virus-FOIs-declaration-notarized-1.pdf>

Below (and [here](#)) is an updated and simplified 1 page notarized declaration re the “virus” FOIs. It speaks for itself. Feel free to share it.

Declaration
prepared for the benefit of man and specifically courtenay-adam-lawrence Attorney of Record


my name is christine; i communicate in everyday English not legalese; i do not consent to contract or joinder or grant power of attorney or act as surety or trustee; my home is at Brampton, Ontario; i am fully competent to make this declaration and do so voluntarily; statements herein are based on my own knowledge/experience; the following is true:

1. i have worked professionally as a biostatistician with a master's degree in biostatistics earned at the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto;
2. i have filed “freedom of information” (FOI) “requests” for all studies/reports in the possession/custody/control of “health”/“science” institutions (“ministries of health”, universities, public health units, etc) that describe purification of the alleged “SARS-COV-2” by anyone anywhere from the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any diseased man/woman; such is necessary for valid sequencing and scientific proof of a “virus”;
3. i required that if any record held by the institution in question matched my “request” but was currently available to “the public” elsewhere, i be given enough detail so that i could identify and access it;
4. i filed FOI “requests” for same and for scientific proof of existence of dozens of other alleged “viruses” including “HPV”, and collected FOI responses obtained by others;
5. as of June 23, 2023, as documented/shown on my own website, 52 Canadian institutions and 164 institutions in 39 other countries have been queried about “SARS-COV-2” and all failed to provide or cite even 1 responsive record, thus demonstrating inability to prove existence; queries for other “viruses” also yielded no responsive records; all of this documentation is freely available to anyone;
6. these failures align with all of the many “virus” studies i reviewed – virologists haven’t implemented scientific method and successfully shown “virus” existence, rather they engage in pseudoscience, logical fallacies, assumptions;

29cm
autographed on June 23, 2023

by: christine: a woman often referred to as “Christine Massey”.

Christine



Here is my Substack newsletter where I post the latest FOI responses:

<https://christinemasseyfois.substack.com/>.

If you would like to receive email updates with new FOI responses, let me know at christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca or cmssyc@gmail.com.

The list shown below was current as of October 5, 2021 ; it's much longer now.

1	Country / Region	Institution
2	Australia	University of Western Australia
3	Australia	ACT Government (Government of the Australian Capital Territory) / Canberra Health Services (CHS)
4	Australia	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO
5	Australia	Department of Health
6	Australia	New South Wales Ministry of Health
7	Australia	Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity
8	Australia	South Australia Minister for Health and Wellbeing
9	Australia	Western Australia Minister & Dept of Health
10	Brazil	Anvisa (Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency)
11	Brazil	Ministry of Health
12	Britain	Health and Safety Executive
13	British Isles	Isle of Man Department of Health and Social Care
14	Canada	Aylmer Police Services
15	Canada	Centre for Disease Control, British Columbia
16	Canada	City of Toronto, Ontario
17	Canada	Department of Health & Community Services, Newfoundland Labrador
18	Canada	Halton Region
19	Canada	Hastings Prince Edward Public Health
20	Canada	Health Canada
21	Canada	Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec
22	Canada	Institutes of Health Research
23	Canada	Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington Public Health, Ontario (re “any variant”)
24	Canada	McGill University
25	Canada	Ministry of Health, British Columbia
26	Canada	Ministry of Health, Ontario
27	Canada	Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario
28	Canada	National Research Council
29	Canada	Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
30	Canada	New Brunswick Department of Health
31	Canada	Peterborough Police Service
32	Canada	Peterborough Public Health
33	Canada	Provincial Health Services Authority, British Columbia
34	Canada	Region of Durham Public Health Department, Ontario
35	Canada	Region of Peel, Ontario
36	Canada	Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario
37	Canada	Toronto Police Service
38	Canada	University of Ottawa
39	Canada	University of Toronto
40	Canada	Vancouver Coastal Health Authority, British Columbia (re “the UK variant”)
41	Canada	Grey Bruce Health Services
42	Canada	Grey Bruce Health Unit
43	Canada	McMaster University
44	Canada	Public Health Agency of Canada
45	Canada	Public Health Ontario (aka Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion)
46	Canada	Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre (VIDO-InterVac) at University of Saskatchewan
47	Czech Republic	Ministry of Health
48	Czech Republic	Univerzita Karlova
49	Denmark	Statens Serum Institut
50	England	Pennine Acute NHS Trust
51	England	Public Health England
52	England	Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust
53	Europe	Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
54	India	Indian Council of Medical Research
55	Italy	Istituto Nazionale Malattie Infettive “Lazzaro Spallanzani”
56	Netherlands	Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport
57	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salea

58	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Hon Peeni Henare
59	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Julie Anne Genter
60	New Zealand	Bay of Plenty District Board
61	New Zealand	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
62	New Zealand	Institute of Environmental Science and Research - ESR
63	New Zealand	Ministry of Health
64	New Zealand	University of Auckland
65	New Zealand	University of Otago
66	Norway	Norwegian Ministry of Health and Cares Services & subordinate agency the Directorate of Health
67	Phillipines	Research Institute for Tropical Medicine, Department of Health
68	Portugal	Ministry of Health
69	Republic of Columbia	Ministry of Health & Social Protection
70	Republic of Ireland	National Virus Reference Laboratory, University College Dublin
71	Republic of Serbia	Ministry of Health
72	Republic of South Africa	Governmental COVID-19 Advisory Committee
73	Republic of South Africa	Minister of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs
74	Republic of South Africa	National Department of Health
75	Republic of South Africa	National Institute for Communicable Diseases
76	Republic of South Africa	President
77	Scotland	Public Health Scotland
78	Slovenia	Department of Health
79	Slovenia	University of Ljubljana - Faculty of Medicine and Institute of Microbiology and Immunology (2 separate requests)
80	Slovenia	Univerzitetni klinični center Ljubljana UKCLJ (University Medical Centre Ljubljana)
81	Slovenia	National Laboratory for Health, Environment and Food (Nacionalnega laboratorija za zdravje, okolje in hrano - NLZOH)
82	Spain	Ministry of Health
83	Sweden	Public Health Authority (Folkhälsomyndigheten)
84	Ukraine	Ministry of Health
85	United Kingdom	Cabinet Office
86	United Kingdom	Department of Health and Social Care
87	United Kingdom	Government Office for Science
88	United Kingdom	House of Commons
89	United Kingdom	House of Lords
90	United Kingdom	Imperial College London
91	United Kingdom	Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency
92	United Kingdom	Prime Minister's Office
93	United Kingdom	University of Warwick
94	United States of America	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
95	United States of America	Arkansas Department of Health
96	United States of America	California Department of Public Health
97	United States of America	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
98	United States of America	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Health
99	United States of America	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Office of the Governor
100	United States of America	Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services
101	United States of America	Department of Health and Human Services
102	United States of America	Metro Public Health Department, Nashville, Tennessee
103	United States of America	Michigan Department of Health and Human Services
104	United States of America	Montgomery County, Pennsylvania
105	United States of America	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases - NIAID
106	United States of America	New York State Department of Health
107	United States of America	Oregon Health Authority
108	United States of America	Oregon Health & Science University
109	United States of America	Pennsylvania Department of Health
110	United States of America	Washington DC Department of Health
111	Uruguay	Clemente Stable Biological Research Institute, Ministry of Education and Culture
112	Uruguay	University of the Republic (UdelaR) Faculty of Chemistry
113	Uruguay	Ministry of Public Health
114	Wales	Public Health Wales

(Yes, we are aware of the many publications wherein authors claim to have “isolated the virus”. We’ve looked at numerous such studies and have yet to see one where they actually did so. Claiming to have

done something and actually doing it are sometimes 2 different things, even in peer-reviewed science.

And yes we are aware of the many published alleged “SARS-COV-2 genomes” – these were in fact manufactured, not discovered.

And yes we are aware that EM photos have been published, allegedly of “the virus”, however a photo of something does not tell you what the thing is, where it came from or what it does. One has to scrutinize the Methods used to “isolate the virus” / obtain said photos / obtain alleged genomes, and that is when absolutely **everything** falls apart with “COVID-19” (and virology in general).

Notes:

[Global Research](#) posted the entire FOI collection (as of August 04, 2021) on their website. **GR’s webpages can be translated into 51 different languages** by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of the home page:

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/foi-reveal-health-science-institutions-around-world-have-no-record-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification-anywhere-ever/5751969>

Anyone is welcome to post these documents on other sites. Please do!

We only ask that you also cite this particular webpage because this is where we add of all the new FOIs that we obtain. It’s important that people know where they can find the most up-to-date and complete collection.

And if you obtain or come across new responses that are not in our collection, please forward them so that we can add them to the collection!

Here is a **tiny URL** that re-directs to this webpage:

<http://tinyurl.com/norecordfound>

FOI responses from institutions in the U.S., India, Republic of South Africa, New Zealand, Australia, U.K., England, Scotland, Wales, Ireland, Denmark, Norway, Finland, the Netherlands, Sweden, Spain, European CDC, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Ukraine, Colombia, Uruguay, Italy, Portugal, Brazil, Republic of Colombia, Argentina, Ilse of Man, Phillipines, etc., plus emails from Germany’s Robert Koch Institut (RKI) and several “virus isolation authors”.

A big **Thank You** to all the individuals who have now kindly shared additional responses that they obtained re isolation/purification/existence of “SARS-COV-2”. Some prefer to remain anonymous, others are named below.

Ok, here we go. Below are SARS-COV-2 FOI responses from >150 institutions outside of Canada.

The **World Health Organization** failed to respond to my FOI-type query sent on February 22, 2022 seeking any records that describe any alleged “**SARS-COV-2**” being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified, by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for “it” to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka “science”):

request to W.H.O. re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: informationrequest@who.int

Tue, Feb 22, 2022 at 2:38 PM

February 22, 2022

Hello,

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports from anywhere in the world describing the **purification** of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of Request:

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured something, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or
- fabricated a "genome" from millions of sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a 'virus' without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply request records that describe **purification** (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, **authored by anyone, anywhere.**

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: Massey
First name: Christine
Location: Peterborough, Ontario, Canada
Email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine Massey, M.Sc.

November 28, 2022:

A colleague in Japan required of the people at **World Health Organization** to provide/cite any record of the imaginary **SARS-COV-2** being found in and purified from any sick person on the planet, in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments aka science. But instead, they directed her to a useless webpage about a global database of public "health" and "social" measures applied during the imaginary pandemic:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/WHO-Nov-2022-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



As this next link you will see a “no records of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification” FOI response from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR), dated November 2, 2020, obtained by [Michael S.](#) in NZ:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/USA-CDC-Virus-Isolation-Response-Scrubbed.pdf>



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333
November 2, 2020

Page 2-

If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you may administratively appeal by writing to the Deputy Agency Chief FOIA Officer, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue, Suite 729H, Washington, D.C. 20201. You may also transmit your appeal via email to FOIARequest@psc.hhs.gov. Please mark both your appeal letter and envelope “FOIA Appeal.” Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted by Monday, February 1, 2021.

Sincerely,



Roger Andoh
CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer
Office of the Chief Operating Officer
(770) 488-6399
Fax: (404) 235-1852

This letter is in response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of August 9, 2020, for “All records in the possession, custody or control of The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient).”

Please note that I am using “isolation” in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where “isolation of SARS-COV-2” refers instead to:

- * the culturing of something,
- * or the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test),
- * or the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the CDC or that pertain to work done by The CDC. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the CDC has downloaded or printed.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it).”

A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request.

You may contact our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6277 for any further assistance and to discuss any aspect of your request. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

#20-02166-FOIA

On **March 1, 2021** once again the **CDC** made clear to my colleague in NZ that they still have no records of “SARS-COV-2” isolation performed by anyone, anywhere on the planet, ever... just not in so many words. Instead, the CDC absurdly implied that isolation of “SARS-COV-2” would require the replication of

a “virus” without host cells and thus is impossible.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/CDC-March-1-2021-SARS-COV-2-Isolation-Response-Redacted.pdf>

Page 3—

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

On February 21, 2021, you requested the following information:

Can you please clarify if you have any records of the separation of SARS-COV-2 from everything else (known as isolation and purification)? A simple yes or no will do regarding the answer. Please use the Merrian-Webster dictionary's common definition of [isolation](#). I will provide the definitions below:

[isolation](#)

isolation noun

 Save Word

iso-la-tion | \,i-sə-'lā-shən also ,i-\

Definition of isolation

: the action of [isolating](#) : the condition of being [isolated](#)

[Isolated](#)

isolated adjective

 Save Word

iso-lat-ed | \ 'i-sə-,lā-təd also 'i-\

Definition of isolated

- 1 : occurring alone or once : [UNIQUE](#)
- 2 : [SPORADIC](#)

[Isolate](#)

isolate verb

 Save Word

iso-late | \ 'i-sə-,lāt also 'i-\

isolated; isolating

Definition of isolate (Entry 1 of 3)

transitive verb

- 1 : to set apart from others
also : [QUARANTINE](#)
- 2 : to select from among others
especially : to separate from another substance so as to obtain pure or in a free state
- 3 : [INSULATE](#)

The SME states the following:

The definition of “isolation” provided in the request is outside of what is possible in virology, as viruses need cells to replicate, and cells require liquid food. However, the SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from a human clinical specimen by culturing in cell culture, which is the definition of “isolation” as used in microbiology, and as indicated in the previous round of response in the resources provided.

March 3, 2021: CDC again failed to provide/cite any records describing “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification by anyone anywhere ever... BUT would no longer simply say so (as they did back on November 2nd); instead they gave my colleague in NZ some song and dance and cited their own fraudulent study (by Harcourt et al.):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/CDC-March-3-2021-SARS-COV-2->



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333

March 3, 2021

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This letter is our final response to your attached Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of March 1, 2021, assigned #21-00795-FOIA.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by [diagnostic tests](#). Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, [Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease](#), which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2. The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs. There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC [isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus](#) from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#). There are also [several publications](#) documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of the records provided please contact either our FOIA Requester Service Center at 770-488-6399 or our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6277.

June 7, 2021: CDC admitted they have no record of “**SARS-COV-2**” purification from a patient sample via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/CDC-FOIA-request-response-no-records-SARS-COV-2-purification.pdf>

September 1, 2021:

Yet another FOIA response from **the CDC** where they failed to provide or cite even 1 record of the

alleged “**COVID-19 virus**” aka “**SARS-COV-2**”, or any “**variants**” having been purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/CDC-Sept-1-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells.

September 8, 2021: Another FOIA response from the **CDC** re purification of the phantom “SARS-COV-2”. This time, CDC cited a paper wherein the authors never even claimed to have purified any “virus” themselves, and instead falsely stated that: “*SARS-CoV-2 was isolated in Vero cells ... (8)*” [oxymoron]. [CDC’s reference #8 is the infamous Harcourt et al. study.]

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Sept-8-2021-CDC-package.pdf>



Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333
September 8, 2021

Ms. Christine Massey

Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

This letter is our final response to your attached Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of August 16, 2021, assigned #21-01986-FOIA.

The National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases provides the link to the following study that is responsive to your request.

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/8/20-1246_article1

If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of the records provided please contact either our FOIA Requester Service Center at 770-488-6399 or our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6277.

Sincerely,

Roger Andoh
CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer
Office of the Chief Operating Officer
(770) 488-6399
Fax: (404) 235-1852

Enclosure

21-01986-FOIA

October 26, 2021:

The **U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention** once again failed to provide or cite even 1 record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, by anyone, anywhere on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/CDC-2021-10-23-SARS-COV-2-v3-BS-redacted.pdf>



SENT VIA EMAIL

This letter is our final response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of September 20, 2021, assigned #21-02310-FOIA, for

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Please note: this request is very similar to another request CDC/ATSDR responded to in March, 2021 where I had **specified** purification via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge.

The difference with this new request is that it does **not specify** maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; it only mentions filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography by way of an example.

My request also includes the language of 'variants' to ensure that records would match all related alleged SARS-CoV-2 viruses.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) describing the **purification** of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on all the RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a "genome" by editing/aligning/aligning sequences detected in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a "virus" without host cells.

Page 2

Further, I am **not** requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification (separation)** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by CDC/ATSDR or that pertain to work done at/by CDC/ATSDR. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by CDC/ATSDR and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus".

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by diagnostic tests. Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, [Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease](#), which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2.

The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs.

There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus, whether the original strain or variants of the virus, may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#). There are also several publications documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 1 million sequences.

April 1, 2022:

The U.S. National Institutes of Health failed to provide or cite even 1 record of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or any alleged "variant") having been purified from the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any patient on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, when responding to a request for records in the custody of the CDC, and they bizarrely and inaccurately stated that the request was "improper". Full letter:

Pdf: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/CDC-2022-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

National Institutes of Health
Freedom of Information Office
Building 31, Room 5B-35
31 Center Drive, MSC 2107
Bethesda, Maryland 20892-2107
phone: (301) 496-5633
fax: (301) 402-4541

Via email: [REDACTED]

April 1, 2022

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] PA [REDACTED]

Re: FOI Case No. 57010

Dear Ms. [REDACTED]

This responds to your September 8, 2021, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request addressed to the FOIA Office, National Institutes of Health, (NIH) and received on the same day. You requested a copy of all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the purification of the "COVID-19 virus".

Please be advised that your request is improper as defined by FOIA given that you have not specified where (named office or institute) or what (i.e. named grant number, report, etc) you would like searched at the NIH. Considering the omission of the aforementioned information necessary for a proper search to be conducted, the NIH cannot process your request as it is written. In good faith, we provide the following information that may prove useful to you.

Much of the information on the isolation of the virus from the diseased host, which requires growth in cell culture, is already publicly available. Viruses do not replicate outside of a host or in a pure culture (devoid of other cells). Koch's postulates were formed prior to the identification of viruses as the causative agents of some diseases and also pre-date modern microbiological techniques, including the ability to isolate viruses from hosts. As such, Koch's postulates have limitations when evaluating viruses and do not adequately account for the way viruses are isolated and propagated given that viruses are obligate intracellular parasites.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by [diagnostic tests](#). Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

November 17, 2022:

CDC could not provide/cite any record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being purified even from a cell culture, instead they cited irrelevant studies and webpages:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/CDC-purified-from-cell-culture-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by [diagnostic tests](#). Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, [Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease](#), which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2. The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs. There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC [isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus](#) from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#). There are also [several publications](#) documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

October 20/21, 2022:

The people at **CDC** were challenged to provide/cite scientific proof of the existence of the **alleged SARS-COV-2 or the alleged HIV** based on controlled experiments using purified particles. They could not, since none exists, so instead they provided useless links for HIV, not even purporting to show controlled experiments. For SARS-COV-2 they gave the same paragraphs that they've been giving people for the last year or so, with links to more useless studies and webpages.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/CDC-SARS-COV-2-HIV-scientific-proof-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Program provides the following public links as responsive to your request:

- HIV Research
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/research/index.html>
- HIV Lab Testing Info
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/laboratorytests.html>
- General HIV Diagnosis/Testing
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/index.html>.
- HIV Fact Sheets
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/factsheets/index.html>
- HIV Reports
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/index.html>
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>
- 25 Notable HIV and AIDS Reports Published in CDC's Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)
 - o https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/hiv_aids20.html
- Recent MMWRs on HIV
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/mmwr.html>
- Early MMWRs on AIDS:
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5021a1.htm>
 - o https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/june_5.htm

December 16, 2022:

The **U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention** was unable to provide any record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 **spike protein** being found in anyone and purified (as opposed to “recombinant” “spike protein” being **created** in a lab and then studied, as though that reflects something going on in actual people); and so they responded as though this was another FOI regarding the fake virus, and provided the same useless paragraphs that they’ve been giving people for the past year or so:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/CDC-no-purified-spike-protein-PACKAGE-to-Dec-16-redacted.pdf>

February 14, 2023:

Once again, the people at the **U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention** were unable to provide any record of the **alleged SARS-COV-2 spike protein** being found in anyone and purified. (I specifically ruled out studies where “recombinant” “spike protein” was created in a lab in order to be studied, because those studies can’t provide any evidence of the alleged “SARS-COV-2 spike protein” actually existing in people, i.e. people with the fraudulent covid diagnosis, or people who received the fake mRNA vaccines, or anyone at all.):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/CDC-spike-protein-PACKAGE-redacted-2023-02-14.pdf>



Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333
February 14, 2023

Ms. Christine Massey

Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

This letter is in response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of December 22, 2022, for

Greetings Roger,

I require access to general records, as per your duty under the Freedom of Information Act;

description of required records:

all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the alleged "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" being found in any people (i.e. in the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of someone diagnosed with the alleged "COVID-19" or someone who received a so-called "COVID-19 vaccine") and purified (as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of very small things), with purification of particles confirmed via EM imaging (the EM image(s) must be available and provided);

note that i do not require or want studies/reports where researchers did something with recombinant (lab-created) so-called "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" that had to be created in order to study "it", or studies/reports where the alleged protein was allegedly detected indirectly (i.e. with a nonspecific antibody test);

this FOI is not limited to records that were authored by the CDC or ATSDR or that pertain to work done at/by the CDC or ATSDR; rather, it includes any record matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere, ever;

if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that i may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it); provide URLs where possible;

A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request.

March 21, 2023:

Roger Andoh acting as FOIA Officer in the Office of the Chief Operating Officer, **CDC** confessed that the CDC doesn't even have a study where authors did either of the following:

- 1) tested for the alleged "**SARS-COV-2 spike protein**" in the blood of "vaccinated" and "unvaccinated" people, and compared the results – to look for evidence that the "mRNA" quackcines cause bodies to create the protein
- 2) compared the antibody levels for "vaccinated" people and people injected with other toxins (i.e. other

quackcines), to assess **specificity of antibodies**.


<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/CDC-spike-protein-comparisons-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request.

You may contact our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6246 for any further assistance and to discuss any aspect of your request. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you may administratively appeal to the Deputy Agency Chief FOIA Officer, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, via the online portal at <https://requests.publiclink.hhs.gov/App/Index.aspx>. Please mark both your appeal letter and envelope "FOIA Appeal." Your appeal must be electronically transmitted by June 14, 2023.

Sincerely,



Roger Andoh
CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer
Office of the Chief Operating Officer
(770) 488-6399
Fax: (404) 235-1852

#23-00723-FOIA

March 2, 2023: **U.S. Centers for Disease Control** can't prove the existence of "**SARS-COV-2 spike protein**"

Roger Andoh, acting as FOIA Officer in the Office of the Chief Operating Officer, **CDC** and **Agency for**

Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, confessed that these institutions have zero proof of the existence of the alleged “SARS-COV-2 spike protein”, this time to my colleague Louis Stephen.

Also, in this response, Roger evaded:

- a request for proof of claims regarding so-called “SARS-COV-2” antibodies,
- a request for documentation explaining why it’s necessary to use synthetic rather than natural (alleged) mRNA in covid jabs,
- a request for documentation explaining why it’s necessary to use monkey kidney cells to (allegedly but not really) grow a “virus” that supposedly infects human lungs, etc.:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/CDC-spike-antibodies-why-Vero-etc-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

For number 1, a search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to the spike protein as you describe.

For numbers 2 and 3, although CDC cannot answer every hypothetical question and questions are not permissible under FOIA, CDC does offer the following link that discusses how COVID-19 Vaccines work: [Understanding How COVID-19 Vaccines Work | CDC](#).

Number 4 of your request is an inappropriate FOIA request written as questions with 4 subparts containing 6 separate questions.

[Someone kindly forwarded another FOI response from the CDC dated December 30, 2020 re the alleged **2003 “SARS-COV-1” and all “common cold coronaviruses” – the CDC has no record of any having been isolated:**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/CDC-isolation-FOI-reply-any-coronavirus.pdf>]

September 13, 2022:

Some anonymous man or woman in the Public Records Office at **University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill** provided unhelpful documents that do not contain scientific evidence of the alleged **SARS-COV-2**, and confessed that there are no other documents relevant to the request:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/UNC-Chapel-Hill-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Fwd: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 13, 2022 at 1:17 PM

This is their response.

Sent from ProtonMail for iOS

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Public Records Office<publicrecordsoffice@email.unc.edu>

Date: On Tue, Sep 13, 2022 at 1:16 PM

Subject: Fwd: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal

To: [REDACTED] Public Records Info <info@publicrecords.unc.edu>

Cc:

Thank you for your message. The University has provided all records responsive to this request.

Sincerely,

Public Records Office

From [REDACTED]

Date: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 at 11:19 AM

To: Public Records Info <info@publicrecords.unc.edu>

Subject: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal

Some people who received this message don't often get email from healingque@protonmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Thank you for your quick response.

The documents provided do not contain any scientific evidence of a physical particle found in humans. Nothing in them fits the description of a virus. Please go over the request again and if you have them provide the document showing evidence of its existence. If UNC does not have such records, please let me know.

Once again thank you so much for your time,

[REDACTED]

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

----- Original Message -----

On Sunday, September 11th, 2022 at 10:10 AM, UNC-Chapel Hill Public Records Office <info@publicrecords.unc.edu> wrote:

December 10, 2021:

U.S. Department of Labor / Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) admitted they have no record describing purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/OSHA-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



December 10, 2021

Ms. Christine Massey

Peterborough, Ontario
Canada

RE: Freedom of Information Act Request No. 2022-F-01464

Dear Ms. Massey:

This is a final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) dated November 8, 2021, seeking documents concerning the “purification” of SARS-CoV-2. Your request was assigned to the OSHA Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management for a response.

You have asked for documentation in OSHA’s possession, “...describing the purification of any ‘COVID-19 virus’ (aka ‘SARS-COV-2’, including any alleged ‘variants’ i.e. ‘B.1.1.7’, ‘B.1.351’, ‘P.1’)” In your request, you defined “purification” to mean, “... separation of the alleged ‘virus’ from everything else in the patient sample....”

A search of OSHA’s records did not locate any documents that meet the criteria of your request. Therefore, under FOIA we are providing you a no records response. Please be aware that OSHA did not extend the search for documents outside of the Agency for any responsive records, as you requested. This is beyond the scope of FOIA requirements.

If you have questions about our response, please contact Robert B. Turnage at (202) 693-2036 or turnage.rob@dol.gov.

Although we believe we have fulfilled your FOIA request, you have the right to appeal this decision with the Solicitor of Labor within 90 days from the date of this letter. The appeal must state, in writing, the grounds for the appeal, including any supporting statements or arguments. The appeal should also include a copy of your initial request and a copy of this letter.

If you appeal, you may mail your appeal to: Solicitor of Labor, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-2420, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210 or fax your appeal to (202) 693-5538. Alternatively, you may email your appeal to foiaappeal@dol.gov; appeals submitted to any other email address will not be accepted. The envelope (if mailed), subject line (if emailed), or fax cover sheet (if faxed), and the letter indicating the grounds for appeal, should be clearly marked: “Freedom of Information Act Appeal.”

In addition to filing an appeal, you may contact the Department’s FOIA Public Liaison, Thomas G. Hicks, Sr., at (202) 693-5427 or hicks.thomas@dol.gov for assistance in resolving disputes.

September 3, 2021: Fauci’s **National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) / National Institutes of Health**, in response to a request for records that demonstrate that NIAID or NIH has a physical sample of purified “SARS-CoV-2”:

- conflates isolation/purification with growth of the imaginary virus in cell culture,
- provides irrelevant, distracting comments re Koch’s Postulates,
- cites useless, irrelevant studies and webpages, and fabricated computer “genomes”, and
- confirms that virology isn’t a science.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/NIAID-NIH-Sept-3-2021.pdf>



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

National Institutes of Health
Freedom of Information Office
Building 31, Room 5B-35
31 Center Drive, MSC 2107
Bethesda, Maryland 20892-2107
phone: (301) 496-5633
fax: (301) 402-4541

Via Email: [REDACTED]

September 3, 2021

Re: FOIA Case Number: 56905

Dear [REDACTED]

This is our final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request addressed to National Institutes of Health (NIH), dated August 18, 2021, and received in this office on the same day. You requested copies of public records that demonstrates the NIAID or NIH has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus, to produce any and all evidence of this External Standard or Certified Reference Material (CRM) for calibration of RT-PCR test kits and any or all documentation and evidence of whether the Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) occurred from the isolate, as well as evidence and information on the current modality/test being used to determine and identify the difference from the original SARS-CoV-2 virus and the "Delta Variant" and/or other variants with all evidence and documentation demonstrating the initial discovery of the other variants.

Please be advised that your request is improper as defined by FOIA given that you have not specified where (named office or institute) or what (i.e. named grant number, report, etc) you would like searched at the NIH. Considering the omission of the aforementioned information necessary for a proper search to be conducted, the NIH cannot process your request as it is written. In good faith, we provide the following information that may prove useful to you.

Much of the information on the isolation of the virus from the diseased host, which requires growth in cell culture, is already publicly available. Viruses do not replicate outside of a host or in a pure culture (devoid of other cells). Koch's postulates were formed prior to the identification of viruses as the causative agents of some diseases and also pre-date modern microbiological techniques, including the ability to isolate viruses from hosts. As such, Koch's postulates have limitations when evaluating viruses and do not adequately account for the way viruses are isolated and propagated given that viruses are obligate intracellular parasites.

March 11, 2022:

The **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services** confirms that **Vivek Murthy, the man who acts as "Surgeon General"**, has no record describing anyone on Earth finding and purifying the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (the alleged "COVID-19 virus"), or any alleged variant thereof, from the bodily fluids of any diseased human.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/HHS-Surgeon-General-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Case No. 2022-00537-FOIA-PHS

March 11, 2022

Sent via email:
Christine Massey
cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Christine Massey:

This letter is the final response to your March 07, 2022, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. Specifically, you requested the following records: "(See attached) All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of the man who acts as "Surgeon General" in the Department of Health and Human Services, Vivek H. Murthy, that describe anyone on Earth finding and purifying (i.e. via via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography) the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (the alleged "COVID-19" virus), or any alleged variant thereof, directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum)".

A representative from the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health (OASH) conducted a search and reports there are no records responsive to your request: "We have no studies or reports of which we have custody or control over related to this topic, thus no records to share".

While we believe an adequate search of the appropriate files was conducted for the records requested, you have the right to appeal this finding that no responsive records exist within OASH. By filing an appeal, you preserve your rights under FOIA and give the agency a chance to review and reconsider your request and the agency's decision.

Please mark the correspondence, "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Your appeal must be transmitted within 90 days from the date of receipt of this letter to:

Ms. Carol Maloney
Deputy Agency Chief FOIA Officer
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs
HHS.ACFO@hhs.gov

If you would like to discuss our response before filing an appeal to attempt to resolve your dispute without going through the appeals process, you may contact the HHS FOIA Public Liaison for assistance at:

HHS FOIA/PA Public Liaison
FOI/Privacy Acts Division

August 19, 2021:

The **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services** cited useless, irrelevant studies and webpages, and fabricated computer "genomes" in response to an FOIA request for "*Records that demonstrates the US Department of Health and Human Services has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus...*"

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/US-Health-and-Human-Services-response-redacted.pdf>

Fwd: HHS FOIA Request 2021-01625-FOIA-OS

To: "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

Mon, Aug 23, 2021 at 6:05 PM

You can add to your list. From US Department of Human and Health Services.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Taylor, Natasha <foiarequest@hhs.gov>

Date: Thu, Aug 19, 2021 at 4:50 PM

Subject: HHS FOIA Request 2021-01625-FOIA-OS

To: [REDACTED]

RE: 2021-01625-FOIA-OS

Mr. [REDACTED]

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated: **August 18, 2021**, concerning "Records that demonstrates the US Department of Health and Human Services has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus including the following: 1) Any and all evidence of this External Standard or Certified Reference Material (CRM) for calibration of RT-PCR test kits and any or all documentation; 2) Evidence of whether the Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) occurred from the isolate; 3) Evidence and information on the current modality/test being used to determine and identify the difference from the original SARS-CoV-2 virus and the "Delta Variant" and/or other variants with all evidence and documentation demonstrating the initial discovery of the other variants. (Date Range for Record Search: From 10/1/2019 To 8/18/2021)".

We received your request on **August 18, 2021**.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by [diagnostic tests](#). Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, [Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease](#), which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2.

The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs.

There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#). There are also [several publications](#) documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

June 24, 2021 FOIA response:

Anthony Fauci's **National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)** failed to provide/cite any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from a patient sample by anyone, anywhere.

NIAID referred me to the anti-science CDC study by Harcourt et al., even after I'd advised them of CDC's [June 7, 2021](#) 'no records' response. I have appealed to NIAID's FOIA Public Liaison.

The communications between myself and NIAID are provided here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/NIAID-FOIA-package-redacted.pdf>

We have previously queried our Division of Clinical Research for records responsive to similar requests. Your request is properly directed to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as they are the ones who did the isolation: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/grows-virus-cell-culture.html>

See publication: https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

You can submit a request to the CDC at the following link: <https://www.cdc.gov/od/foia/index.htm>
If you disagree with their no records determination, you should properly avail yourself of the appeal rights described in their final response to you.

If you are not satisfied with the processing and handling of this request, you may contact the NIAID FOIA Public Liaison:

[NIAID FOIA Public Liaison](#)

.. . . .

The CDC study cited by NIAID did not purify anything from a patient sample. It is the same study that Dr. Thomas Cowan wrote about in 2020 (“**Only Poisoned Monkey Kidney Cells ‘Grew’ the ‘Virus’**”) where he also addressed the fraudulent nature of the authors’ fabricated “SARS-COV-2 genome” (as shown in the screenshot below).

First, in the section titled “Whole Genome Sequencing,” we find that rather than having isolated the virus and sequencing the genome from end to end, that the CDC “designed 37 pairs of nested PCRs spanning the genome on the basis of the coronavirus reference sequence (GenBank accession no. NC045512).”

To me, this computer-generation step constitutes scientific fraud. Here is an equivalency: A group of researchers claim to have found a unicorn because they found a piece of a hoof, a hair from a tail, and a snippet of a horn. They then add that information into a computer and program it to re-create the unicorn, and they then claim this computer re-creation is the real unicorn. Of course, they had never actually seen a unicorn so could not possibly have examined its genetic makeup to compare their samples with the actual unicorn’s hair, hooves and horn.

The researchers claim they decided which is the real genome of SARS-CoV-2 by “consensus,” sort of like a vote. Again, different computer programs will come up with different versions of the imaginary “unicorn,” so they come together as a group and decide which is the real imaginary unicorn.


Previously, in 2020, Ron Bublitz had already asked the **U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)**, **National Institutes of Health (NIH)** the question shown below. His correspondence is posted at the following link, along with the evasive response provided by the NIH/NIAID Section Chief for Controlled Correspondence and Public Inquiries, Legislative Affairs and Correspondence Management Branch. Pdf showing the text and a photo of the actual emails: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/NIAID-reply-to-Ron-Bublitz-re-SARS-COV-2-isolation.pdf>

To: NIAID NEWS (NIH/NIAID)

Subject: a basic and serious question.

I see that you have released images of the electron microscope view of C19 virus. I would like to know how you are certain that is the virus? How was it isolated? Have you followed Koch's Postulates in order to be completely certain that is the pathogen that causes disease?

Ron kindly provided a screenshot of his communications with NIAID, shown below.



Note that **NIH/NIAID failed to answer any of Ron Publitz's questions** and merely cited **the same CDC study** by Harcourt et al. mentioned above that indulged in the typical fraudulent "monkey business" approach to so-called "isolation" – as shown in the screenshot below).

Cell Culture, Limiting Dilution, and Virus Isolation

We used Vero CCL-81 cells for isolation and initial passage. We cultured Vero E6, Vero CCL-81, HUH 7.0, 293T, A549, and EFKB3 cells in Dulbecco minimal essential medium (DMEM) supplemented with heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (5% or 10%) and antibiotics/antimycotics (GIBCO, <https://www.thermofisher.com> ) . We used both NP and OP swab specimens for virus isolation. For isolation, limiting dilution, and passage 1 of the virus, we pipetted 50 μL of serum-free DMEM into columns 2–12 of a 96-well tissue culture plate, then pipetted 100 μL of clinical specimens into column 1 and serially diluted 2-fold across the plate. We then trypsinized and resuspended Vero cells in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 $\times$ penicillin/streptomycin, 2 $\times$ antibiotics/antimycotics, and 2 $\times$ amphotericin B at a concentration of 2.5×10^5 cells/mL. We added 100 μL of cell suspension directly to the clinical specimen dilutions and mixed gently by pipetting. We then grew the inoculated cultures in a humidified 37°C incubator in an atmosphere of 5% CO_2 and observed for cytopathic effects (CPEs) daily. We used standard plaque assays for SARS-CoV-2, which were based on SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) protocols ([9,10](#)).

June 10, 2022: Julia Spiegel, woman who acts as Deputy Legal Affairs Secretary under **Gavin Newsom**, the man who acts in **California's Office of the Governor**, confessed to Kristen Welch that they have no record describing any alleged “**SARS-COV-2**” (or “variant”) being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for “it” to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka “science”):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Gavin-Newson-acting-as-Gov-California-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

There are no records responsive to your request in the custody of the Governor's Office.

Thank you for contacting the Governor's Office.

Sincerely,



JULIA SPIEGEL
Deputy Legal Affairs Secretary

January 27, 2022:

Washington State Department of Health provided “installment #1” of records allegedly-but-not-really responsive to a FOI for studies in which alleged “SARS-COV-2” was found in the bodily fluid/tissue of sick people and purified.

“Installment #1” is sci-fi comedy having nothing whatsoever to do with purification or a virus, titled “SARS-CoV-2 Sequencing and Variants in Washington State”.

It begins: *“Next generation sequencing is a set of laboratory methods that scientists use to scan a viral genome to determine the genome sequence of a virus.”*

Watch out for the sequel due July 25, 2022!

The department also confessed that its lab: *“has not attempted to isolate SARS-CoV-2 from any specimen or matrix. Nor does the PHL have in its possession isolated viable SARS-CoV-2 virus. The WAPHL performs diagnostic testing that is PCR based... Next generation sequencing is performed on SARS-CoV-2 positive patient samples using extracted genomic material, not virus isolates.”*

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Washington-State-DOH-install-1-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Subject **Fw: DOH Public Records Center :: D000656-012522**
From [REDACTED]
To christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>
Date 2022-01-28 02:50 PM



Hello Christine,

I live in Washington State. I submitted a public records request to the WA State Department of Health for the studies and/or reports purification of the SARS COV 2 virus as per your example.

"The Washington State Public Health Lab (PHL) provided the following statement in response to your request, "The WAPHL has not attempted to isolate SARS-CoV-2 from any specimen or matrix. Nor does the PHL have in its possession isolated viable SARS-CoV-2 virus. The WAPHL performs diagnostic testing that is PCR based and does not require virus isolation. Next generation sequencing is performed on SARS-CoV-2 positive patient samples using extracted genomic material, not virus isolates."

You will receive the next installment on or before July 25, 2022 and will continue to receive installments until your request has been fulfilled.

Should you no longer need this information, please contact our office by responding directly to this email.

Sincerely,

Washington State Department of Health
Disease Control and Health Statistics
Public Records

Please see their email below.

Thank you for all you are doing to bring truth to light!

Be Well,

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: WA State DOH Records Center <washingtondoh@govqa.us>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022, 04:03:09 PM PST
Subject: DOH Public Records Center :: D000656-012522

--- Please respond above this line ---



Reference # D000656-012522

Dear [REDACTED]

The Department of Health received a public records request from you on January 25, 2022. You requested the following:

"This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the Freedom of Information Act.

November 25, 2021:

Kate Wilson, acting for **Multnomah County Health Department, Portland, Oregon** confirmed that a thorough search yielded no record of anyone on Earth having found and purified the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (via maceration, filtration and ultracentrifugation) from any bodily fluid/tissue of any sick person, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Multnomah-County-Oregon-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Re: Public Records request to Multnomah County, Oregon re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

From Kate W. Yeiser <Kate.Yeiser@multco.us>
To [REDACTED]
Date Thursday, November 25th, 2021 at 6:11 AM

[REDACTED]
Thank you for your patience as we conducted a search of any research with these very specific criteria. After reviewing what scientific materials identified through a request to county health leadership and a subsequent full data query of county communications, we can now confirm that we have no records responsive to your request.

Best,

Kate

On Thu, Nov 11, 2021 at 12:20 AM [REDACTED] wrote:



External Sender - Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links, and Requests for Payment or Login Information.

November 11, 2021

To:

Kate Willson

Multnomah County Health Department
Portland, Oregon

Submitted via email to: kate.willson@multco.us

Dear Ms. Willson,

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under Oregon's Public Records Law.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Multnomah County Government describing the purification of any "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variant" of "SARS-COV-2") said to have caused disease in humans (via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; also referred to at times by some people as

March 11, 2022:

University of Illinois confirmed for Natalia Litbarg, MD that: the university's records custodians who, due to the nature of their work might have had records describing someone on Earth finding the alleged **"SARS-COV-2"** in the bodily fluids of a diseased host and purifying "it", could not identify any such records:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/University-of-Illinois-PACKAGE.pdf>

Other than your submission of a date range, this request is identical to your previous request dated and received in my office on February 17, 2022. Based on the above terms and wording that you have used, our office has shared your request with University records custodians who, because of nature of their work, may have had responsive records. Utilizing the word and terms you provided, those University records custodians cannot identify responsive records.

You have a right, under the law, to seek a review of this response by the Public Access Counselor (PAC) in the Office of the Attorney General. The PAC may be reached by phone at 877-299-3642, by email to public.access@ilag.gov, or by postal mail at the Public Access Bureau, 500 S. 2nd Street, Springfield, Illinois 62706. You also have the right to seek judicial review under section 11 of this Act.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss how to narrow the scope of your request, please contact me at 217-333-6400.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Ruby

Senior Associate Director

and Chief Records Officer

May 18, 2022:

Jorge Perez, the man who acts as Deputy Health Officer, Public Health Investigation, **Los Angeles County Department of Public Health**, confessed to [Kristen Welch](#) that there are no records of the alleged **SARS-COV-2** being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... but apparently he is OK with the fact that virologists have no independent variable to use in any “virus” experiment and he thinks that “the county” has the “right” to terrorize people with their “doctrine”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Los-Angeles-County-Dept-PH-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

After a diligent search, the Department has determined that there are no responsive records to your request.

In providing you with this response, the County is not waiving any rights, defenses, or claims of privilege, exception or exemption under the CPRA or any other statutes. The County reserves its right to assert all applicable privileges, doctrines, and exemptions.

Sincerely,

Jorge Perez

Jorge Perez, Deputy Health Officer
Public Health Investigation
COR ID No 221787

doctrine =

a belief or set of beliefs held and taught by a Church, political party, or other group.

a stated principle of government policy, mainly in foreign or military affairs.

They pretend they have a "right" to lie & terrorize.

April 13, 2022:

Republic of Trinidad and Tobago's Ministry of Health confirmed for Chetawayo La Borde that "COVID-19 has not been isolated and purified":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Trinidad-and-Tobago-PACKAGE.pdf>



1(868) 625-7502/624-8181 or
627-0010/12/14
Ext. 1402-04/06-08/10



1(868) 624-0546



www.health.gov.tt



oga@health.gov.tt

Requested Information	Legal Advice	Document(s)/Information to be released
2. Has COVID-19 been isolated and purified? Please provide data.	The Applicant may be furnished with the information requested and as shown in the third column, as same constitutes official information pursuant to Section 11 of the Freedom of Information Act, Chapter 22:02 and same is not exempted from disclosure pursuant to Part IV of the Act.	"COVID-19 has not been isolated and purified."
3. Please provide detailed info on all individuals that have taken any of the COVID-19 vaccines since March 30 th 2021 till (sic) present in Trinidad and Tobago and their health status if they are alive or dead regardless of cause of death.	Please advise the Applicant that the MoH is not in possession of the information requested.	"The MoH is not in possession of the information requested."

Sincerely

/s/ Permanent Secretary
Ministry of Health.

PERMANENT SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF HEALTH

Switzerland:

November 2021: **Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH)**

January 2022: **Institute of Virology and Immunology (IVI)**

Both failed to provide or cite, for the man inquiring, even 1 record of “SARS-COV-2” being found in the bodily fluids of any sick human and purified (which would be necessary so that “it” could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments to determine if “it” actually exists):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Switzerland-IVI-and-FOPH-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Am 25.01.2022 um 08:45 schrieb eliane.brogini@babs.admin.ch:

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

Besten Dank für Ihr Gesuch um Einsicht in Akten gemäss Bundesgesetz über das Öffentlichkeitsprinzip der Verwaltung. Wir verfügen über keine amtlichen Dokumente, die den von Ihnen beschriebenen Anforderungen entsprechen.

Freundliche Grüsse,
Eliane Brogini

Betreff: AW: WG: BGÖ-Gesuch um Einsicht in Akten - gereinigtes Virus - Labor Spiez

Von: <eliane.brogini@babs.admin.ch>

Datum: 28.01.2022, 14:57

An: [REDACTED]@gmx.ch>

Kopie (CC): <labor-spiez@babs.admin.ch>, <claudia.geiger@babs.admin.ch>

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

Vielen Dank für Ihre Anfrage und für Ihr Interesse an den Aufgaben und Tätigkeiten des Labor Spiez.

Das Bundesamt für Bevölkerungsschutz hat keine amtlichen Dokumente erstellt, die den von Ihnen beschriebenen Anforderungen (Ziffer 2) entsprechen.

In der wissenschaftlichen Fachliteratur gibt es hingegen inzwischen eine Vielzahl von Publikationen, in denen die Isolierung von SARS-Cov-2-Viren beschrieben worden ist. Nur als Beispiel finden Sie in der Anlage eine Publikation aus Nature, einer der weltweit angesehensten Zeitschriften für Naturwissenschaften (Wölfel, R., Corman, V.M., Guggemos, W. et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. Nature 581, 465–469 (2020).

Wir weisen Sie darauf hin, dass Sie nach Artikel 13 BGÖ die Möglichkeit haben, innerhalb von 20 Tagen nach Erhalt dieser Mitteilung schriftlich einen Schlichtungsantrag an die Schlichtungsbehörde zu stellen (Eidgenössischer Datenschutz- und Öffentlichkeitsbeauftragter EDÖB, Feldeggweg 1, 3003 Bern; www.edoeb.admin.ch) und unsere oben dargelegte Haltung überprüfen zu lassen.

Für allfällige Fragen stehen wir gerne zur Verfügung.

Freundliche Grüsse,
Eliane Brogini

Betreff: Ihr Gesuch vom 17. Oktober 2021 - Einsicht in Akten - gereinigtes Virus - Antwort

Von: <lorenz.overhage@bag.admin.ch>

Datum: 23.11.2021, 11:34

An: [REDACTED]@gmx.ch>

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

zurückkommend auf Ihr Zugangsgesuch vom 17. Oktober 2021 nach Öffentlichkeitsgesetz (BGÖ; SR 152.3) darf ich Ihnen mitteilen, dass beim BAG keine Dokumente zum gewünschten Inhalt vorhanden sind.

Wir betrachten Ihr Gesuch als erledigt und weisen Sie darauf hin, dass Sie gestützt auf Art. 13 BGÖ die Möglichkeit haben, unsere Antwort durch die Schlichtungsstelle überprüfen zu lassen, indem Sie innerhalb von 20 Tagen nach Empfang dieser Mitteilung schriftlich einen Schlichtungsantrag stellen (Eidgenössischer Datenschutz- und Öffentlichkeitsbeauftragter EDÖB, Feldeggweg 1, 3003 Bern, www.edoeb.admin.ch).

Lorenz Overhage

MLaw

Eidgenössisches Departement des Innern EDI
Bundesamt für Gesundheit BAG
Abteilung Recht

March 16, 2022:

Larry Stinson, who acts as Director of Operations for the so-called “non-profit” **Peterborough Public Health** (PPH), confirmed that PPH and **Thomas Piggott, the man who acts as Medical Officer of Health**, have no record describing anyone on Earth finding and purifying any alleged SARS, H5N1, H1N1, MERS, Ebola, or SARS-COV-2 “virus” from the bodily fluids of any diseased human, ever... or any study that in Thomas’ opinion proves the existence of any of those alleged viruses... despite Thomas’ claim of having lived through all these alleged outbreaks:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Peterborough-PH-SARS-H5N1-H1N1-MERS-Ebola-SCV2-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Background

On February 16, 2022, Thomas Piggott, the man who acts as Medical Officer of Health, Peterborough Public Health tweeted the following claim:

*I've lived through SARS in 2003, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through H5N1 in 2005 & since, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through H1N1 in 2009, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through MERS in 2012, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through Ebola in 2014, we quickly forgot.*

Massey, Christine Re Proof Alleg Outbreaks File No 2022-03 Mar 16 2022.pdf
864K

Description of Requested Records:

All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of Thomas Piggott or anyone else acting at Peterborough Public Health, that describe anyone on Earth finding and **purifying** (i.e. via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography) **any of the 5 alleged “viruses” listed above by Thomas Piggott** directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were **not** first combined with any other source of **genetic material** (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum).

Peterborough Public Health has no records in relation to your request. Local public health is responsible for adherence to the Health Protection and Promotion and implementation of Public Health Standards and related protocols as set by the Ministry of Health. Scientific and Public Health Ontario. We do not work directly with patient samples related SARS-COV-2 or samples.

Please note that I do **not** require and do **not** want studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** an alleged “virus” from a sick human’s bodily fluid and instead:

If you have any questions, I can be contacted at lstinson@peterboroughpublichealth.ca, or 743-1000, extension 253.

- cultured an unpurified substance (i.e. bodily fluid) in a malnourished cell line, added toxic drugs, watched for cytopathic effects and called that “virus isolation”, and/or
- performed an unreliable amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), that can only detect sequences and **not** “viruses”, on the soup of genetic material extracted from a patient’s bodily fluid or from monkey/cow/human cell culture supernatant, and/or
- fabricated an in silico (computer) sequence (“genome”) from millions of sequences unreliably detected in the soup of total RNA extracted from a patient’s bodily fluid or from a monkey/cow/human cell culture, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified particles in a monkey/cow/human cell culture.

p.s. To clarify: where I wrote “*animal experiments will be accepted*” - this applies to experimental proof of disease causation.

Also, please add “SARS-COV-2” to the list of alleged viruses for which I require records of purification, since Thomas Piggott claims to have lived through “COVID-19” also

August 25, 2021:

Peterborough Public Health and (outgoing) Medical Officer Dr. Rosana Salvaterra confirm they have no records describing purification of the alleged “**SARS-COV-2**”, “**HIV**” aka “**human immunodeficiency virus**”, “**Hep B virus**” or “**Hep C virus**” from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere. Which means they have zero proof of their existence.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Peterborough-PH-HIV-Hep-B-Hep-C-SARSCOV2-package-redacted.pdf>

January 3, 2022:

Sarah B. Kotler, J.D. (a lawyer), Director, Division of Freedom of Information, **U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)** refused to formally respond to my FOIA request delivered to her via email... but also indicated that the FDA has no record describing purification of “**SARS-COV-2**” from any patient sample on Earth, by anyone, anywhere, ever. She also failed to answer my question re what she will do with the knowledge that no one on the planet has any proof of “the virus”, despite being a “public servant” working for an agency that has been rubber-stamping all manner of “COVID-19” devices, injections, etc. She also called me “rude”, meanwhile she appears quite content to go along with worldwide fraud and violations of the Nuremberg Code:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/FDA-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

FOIA request to FDA re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Kotler, Sarah <Sarah.Kotler@fda.hhs.gov>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 3, 2022 at 7:15 AM

Our regulation (which I cited below) gives you the option of (1) mailing or (2) faxing your request. We also have the online portal, which is the fastest method right now due to mail delays and the fact that our office remains closed, and we are limited in our ability to retrieve mail or faxes. In any event, the information you are seeking about "purification" would not even be with FDA. I would suggest you submit your request to the CDC based on the information at SARS-CoV-2 Viral Culturing at CDC | CDC. Instructions for submitting a request to that agency are at:

Freedom of Information Act Importance to CDC Mission | CDC

Thank you,

Sarah B. Kotler, J.D.

Director, Division of Freedom of Information

US FDA

301-796-8976

August 20, 2022:

Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention was asked for records of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any clinical sample on Earth, and responded with useless manufacturers' instructions for 2 PCR "tests".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/Maine-CDC-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

HETL uses the FDA EUA OPTI-SARS Covid-19 Real time PCR test and the Hologic Aptima SARS-CoV-2 assay for qualitative detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from human clinical specimens. Attached are the manufacturers' Instructions For Use documents.

July 28, 2022:

Some anonymous man or woman working in the Regulation and Ethics Department of the **National Agency for the Safety of Medicines and Health Products, France** aka **L'Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des produits de santé (ANSM)** responded to my FOI for records of anyone on Earth finding and purifying the hypothesized covid virus from any bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on the planet. According to my colleague Marc Gray's translation, this man or woman indicated that the ANSM is not authorized to verify the existence of alleged viruses unless doing so is "*necessary in the context of a request concerning a drug or a micro-organism*", which according to them is not the case with their "pandemic" activity. "*The requested documents do not exist within the ANSM*" and science "*does not fall within the scope of competence of the ANSM*". So good to know.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/France-ANSM-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Saint-Denis, le 28 Juillet 2022

Direction Réglementation et Déontologie
Pôle juridique et droits des usagers

Réf : 22V147

Madame,

Par courrier électronique en date du 13 mai 2022, vous avez souhaité disposer d'une copie de toutes les études et/ou rapports en possession, sous la garde ou sous le contrôle de l'ANSM décrivant la purification du présumé "virus COVID-19" (plus précisément "SARS-COV-2", y compris toute "variante" présumée) directement à partir d'un échantillon prélevé sur un humain malade, où l'échantillon du patient n'a d'abord été combiné avec aucune autre source de matériel génétique.

A cet égard, je suis en mesure de vous apporter les éléments de réponse suivants.

En vertu des dispositions combinées des articles L. 300-2 et L. 311-1 du code des relations entre le public et l'administration (CRPA), le document sollicité revêt le caractère de document administratif, communicable à tout tiers qui en fait la demande.

Précisément, l'article L. 311-1 du CRPA précité dispose que, sous réserve des dispositions des articles L. 311-5 et L. 311-6, les administrations mentionnées à l'article L. 300-2 sont tenues de communiquer aux personnes qui en font la demande les documents administratifs qu'elles détiennent, qui émanent d'elles ou qui lui ont été adressés.

L'ANSM (Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé), établissement public placé sous la tutelle du ministère chargé de la Santé, est une agence d'évaluation et de décision, dont les missions sont d'offrir un accès équitable à l'innovation pour tous les patients et de garantir la sécurité des produits de santé tout au long de leur cycle de vie.

A ce titre, la compétence de l'ANSM, prévue à l'article L. 5311-1 du code de la santé publique, s'exerce sur les médicaments, les matières premières à usage pharmaceutique, les dispositifs médicaux et dispositifs médicaux de diagnostic in vitro, les produits biologiques à effet thérapeutique (produits sanguins labiles, organes, tissus, cellules, produits de thérapies génique et cellulaire, lait maternel), les micro-organismes et toxines, les produits cosmétiques et les produits de tatouage.

L'ANSM n'est en revanche pas habilitée à évaluer la purification seule d'un virus, sauf si cette évaluation est nécessaire dans le cadre d'une demande concernant un médicament ou un micro-organisme, ce qui n'est pas le cas en l'espèce.

Madame Christine MASSEY
Peterborough, Ontario, Canada
cmssyc@gmail.com

January 2022:

Romania's Ministry of Health / Institute of Public Health Bucharest and National Center for Surveillance and Control of Communicable Diseases failed to provide/cite any record of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" having been found in the bodily fluids of any patient on Earth and purified, so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments... and thus shown to



MINISTERUL SĂNĂTĂȚII
INSTITUTUL NAȚIONAL DE SĂNĂTATE PUBLICĂ
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC HEALTH



Str. Dr. Leonte nr. 1-3, 050463, București, ROMÂNIA
Tel: (401) 021 318 36 20, director (401) 021 318 36 19, fax (401) 021 312 34 26
e-mail: directie.generala@insp.gov.ro

CĂTRE

E-mail

Spre informare,
Ministerul Sănătății
Direcția Relații cu Presa, Afaceri Europene și Relații Internaționale

Ca urmare a adresei dumneavoastră, înregistrată la INSP cu nr 1469/27.01.2022, vă transmitem, mai jos, link-urile pe care le puteți accesa în vederea obținerii informațiilor solicitate respectiv, dovezile științifice privind izolarea virusului în cultură.

Stelzer-Braid S, Walker GJ, Aggarwal A, Isaacs SR, Yeang M, Naing Z, Ospina Stella A, Turville SG, Rawlinson WD. Virus isolation of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) for diagnostic and research purposes. Pathology. 2020 Dec;52(7):760-763. doi: 10.1016/j.pathol.2020.09.012. Epub 2020 Oct 8. PMID: 33131800; PMCID: PMC7543926.

Francis R, Le Bideau M, Jardot P, Grimaldier C, Raoult D, Bou Khalil JY, La Scola B. High-speed large-scale automated isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples using miniaturized co-culture coupled to high-content screening. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2021 Jan;27(1):128.e1-128.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.09.018. Epub 2020 Sep 23. PMID: 32979576; PMCID: PMC7510445.

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/grows-virus-cell-culture.html>

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

Zhou, P., Yang, XL., Wang, XG. et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 579, 270–273 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7>

Harcourt J, Tamin A, Lu X, Kamili S, Sakthivel SK, Murray J, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26(6):1266-1273. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.200516>

August 2022:

Taiwan's CDC failed to provide or cite for [Michael S.](#) any scientific proof of the existence of the alleged – but let's face it, strictly in silico – SARS-COV-2:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/Taiwan-CDC-existence-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

正 本

檔 號：
保存年限：

發文日期：中華民國111年8月15日

發文字號：疾管檢驗字第1110060731號

主旨：署長信箱民眾意見-信件編號:202207250000004-This is a
Freedom of Information Act Request. Ple

[REDACTED]

Thank you for your email dated July 25, 2022. Our reply to
your inquiry is as follows:

At present, the laboratory diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 can be
divided into three types. They are viral nucleic acid detection,
antigen detection and virus culture and isolation.

We are unable to provide laboratory records of virus-related
testing.

If you have further questions regarding COVID-19, you can
visit Taiwan CDC's website at <http://www.cdc.gov.tw>.

Best regards,

Taiwan Centers for Disease Control

[REDACTED]

副本：cdcwwwod@cdc.gov.tw

January 2022:

Taiwan's CDC confirmed for Michael S. in NZ that they have not purified the alleged "SARS-COV-2"
from any patient specimen or "virus" culture, and have relied exclusively on meaningless, fraudulent PCR
tests for detecting the imaginary virus:

訂
裝

Thank you for your email dated January 9, 2022. Our reply to your inquiry is as follows:

We did not purify SARS-CoV2 viruses from original specimens or virus culture. In Taiwan CDC the SARS-CoV2 is detected by using real-time RT-PCR.

If you have further questions regarding COVID-19, you can visit Taiwan CDC's website at <http://www.cdc.gov.tw>.

Best regards,

Taiwan Centers for Disease Control

[Redacted Signature]

副本: cdcwwwod@cdc.gov.tw

April 22, 2022:

New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene confirmed that they have no record describing the purification of any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (including any alleged "variants") from a sample taken from a diseased human, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/NYC-Dept-of-Health-and-Mental-Hygiene-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

FOIL Control No. 2021FR02848 response

Bernadette O'Donnell <bodonnell1@health.nyc.gov>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>
Cc: Jerome Baa <jbbaa@health.nyc.gov>

Fri, Apr 22, 2022 at 1:35 PM

Dear Ms. Massey:

I am very sorry about the delay. The NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene does not have records responsive to your Freedom of Information Law request with the above-referenced control number. DOHMH does not conduct research lab benchwork.

Again, my apologies for the delay.

Bernadette O'Donnell

Records Access Officer / Agency Attorney

NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

42-09 28th St., CN 14-29

Long Island City, NY 11101

March 4, 2022:

New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene suddenly denied a FOIL request from Louis Stephen that had included the following:

"The information I am requesting is: 1) Proof of isolation or an isolate of the SARS-COV-2 virus",
with this explanation:

"your request does not reasonably describe the records you are requesting":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/NYC-Dept-Health-and-Mental-Hygiene-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

The NYC DOMH never contacted me for clear direction on how they wanted the request to be described for their search. Obviously, they can't fulfill my request so they skirted around it!

Let me know your thoughts.

Kind regards,

Lou [REDACTED]
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

On Friday, March 4, 2022, 10:52:48 AM EST, Bernadette O'Donnell <bodonnell1@health.nyc.gov> wrote:

Dear Louis [REDACTED]

The New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) denies your Freedom of Information Law request with the above-referenced control number. Pursuant to Public Officers Law § 89(3)(a), a request for records must be "reasonably described." As written, your request does not reasonably describe the records you are requesting from DOHMH. Without a clear description of the records you seek, we are unable to conduct a search of the agency's records to determine whether we are able to respond to your request.

March 21, 2022:

New York City Department of Health and Hospitals says *"Our research department conducted a diligent search for all the prospective COVID protocols for purification methods/description of SARS-Cov2 and couldn't find any responsive records to your FOIL request. The facility research teams at Health + Hospitals have confirmed that they did not perform any purification methods of the virus. Also, please note that H+H does not do research lab benchwork."* See page 3:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/New-York-City-Dept-of-Health-Hospitals-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

FOIL request to NYC Depts of Health & MH/Hospitals re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

HHCFOIL <HHCFOIL@nychhc.org>

Mon, Mar 21, 2022 at 1:43 PM

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Cc: "recordsaccess@health.nyc.gov" <recordsaccess@health.nyc.gov>, HHCFOIL <HHCFOIL@nychhc.org>

Dear Ms. Massey,

Our research department conducted a diligent search for all the prospective COVID protocols for purification methods/description of SARS-Cov2 and couldn't find any responsive records to your FOIL request. The facility research teams at Health + Hospitals have confirmed that they did not perform any purification methods of the virus. Also, please note that H+H does not do research lab benchwork.

Therefore we are closing this FOIL request.

Sincerely,

Vanita Yogeshwar

Records Access Officer

NYC Health + Hospitals

March 30, 2022:

New York City's Office of the Mayor: In response to a request for records describing isolation/purification of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" from a sample taken from a diseased human, the Mayor's office disclosed that:

"After conducting a search, the Mayor's Office has not found any records responsive to your request":

Pdf:<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/NYC-Office-of-Mayor-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
NEW YORK, NY 10007

March 30, 2022

Dear Christine Massey:

This letter is a response to your request pursuant to the Freedom of Information Law received on November 4, 2021, for the following records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Office of the Mayor describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum). Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead: - cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or - performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or - fabricated a "genome", and/or - produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things. I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a "virus" without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information. I simply request records that describe purification (separation of the alleged "virus" from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things). Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere.

After conducting a search, the Mayor's Office has not found any records responsive to your request under FOIL. You may appeal this determination in writing within thirty days by addressing such an appeal to FOILAppeal@cityhall.nyc.gov.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Lowell
Records Access Officer

October 23, 2021:

The Assistant General Counsel at **Clemson University, South Carolina** confirmed for the requester that the University does not have any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, despite their Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences recently receiving \$900,000 to study the alleged "virus":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Clemson-University-2021-10-23-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>

Robert Wilkins <bertw@clermson.edu>

Sat, Oct 23, 2021 at 5:43 AM

Cc: Amy McKinney Josey <amjosey@clermson.edu>

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

I hope you are doing well. Your FOIA request below was forwarded to me for response. I have directed your below request to the appropriate departments. The University does not have records responsive to your request.

I hope you have a nice weekend.

Best regards,

Robert W. Wilkins

Assistant General Counsel

Clemson University

207 Sikes Hall

Clemson, SC 29634

October 15, 2021:

Illinois's Office of the Governor confirmed that they located no studies describing isolation and analysis of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" aka "SARS-COV-2":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Illinois-Officer-of-Governor-Oct-15-2021.jpg>

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
207 STATE HOUSE
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706

JB PRITZKER
GOVERNOR

October 15, 2021

VIA EMAIL
michelleturney1771@att.net

Re: FOIA Request # 2021-268

Dear Ms. Turney:

This letter is in response to your narrowed Illinois Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request received on October 7, 2021 seeking:

All records of scientific laboratory studies analyzing and identifying the SARS-CoV-2 virus from an isolated sample extracted from a human being. am unable to find proof of the above.

Please be advised that after conducting a search, the Governor's Office has located no records responsive to your request.

To the extent you consider this response to be a denial of your FOIA request, you have the right to a denial reviewed by the Office of the Illinois Attorney General, 500 S. 2nd Street, Springfield, Illinois 62706, (877) 299-3642, publicaccess@atg.state.il.us.

If you choose to submit a request for review, you must do so within 60 days after the date of this response letter. The request for review must be in writing, signed by you, and include a copy of your FOIA request and this office's response. 5 ILCS 140/9.5(a). In addition, you have the right to seek judicial review of this response. 5 ILCS 140/11(a), (b).

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,



Shannon I. Leonard, FOIA Officer
Office of Illinois Governor JB Pritzker

September 24, 2021:

Wisconsin Governor and Department of Health Services confirmed for Charles R. Haeuser that they have no record regarding isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (or regarding safety and/or approval of so-called "vaccines"). (The DHS did provide attachments regarding Wisconsin's "COVID-19 Community Outreach Grant"; click [here](#) to see or download them.)

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Wisconsin-Governor-and-Dept-of-Health-Services-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

RE: Your August 14, 2021 Public Records Requests

Dear Mr. Haeuser:

This is in response to your public records requests received by the Department of Health Services on August 14, 2021. You requested:

- All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the State of Wisconsin, Department of Health Services, Governor of the State of Wisconsin, Any contracted employees or Laboratories contracted by The State of Wisconsin, Department of Health Services or its duly appointed entities describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).
- [A]ny/all internal documents that refer to, or indicate who authorized the total amount and any and all records regarding research done into the use of the word vaccine, the safety of any vaccine and any records that the Department of Health Services has that show an approval of any "vaccine or inoculation" that was approved by the FDA for safe, ethical use on anyone, anywhere.

DHS has no responsive records to the first two bullets of your request. It should also be

September 29, 2021:

Metro Public Health Department, Nashville, Tennessee advised that *"No such record(s) exists, or this office does not maintain record(s) responsive to"* a request from Irucka Embry re purification of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" or the complete matching of "virus genomic sequences" from victims of the alleged virus in Metro Nashville and Wuhan, China.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Metro-PHD-Nashville-Tennessee-redacted-PACKAGE.pdf>

- ☐ Copies of public record(s) responsive to your request are:
- ☐ Attached;
 - ☐ Available for pickup at the following location:
2500 Charlotte Avenue, First Floor, Suite 115 (Medical Records); or
 - ☐ Being delivered via: ☐ USPS First-Class Mail ☐ Electronically ☐ Other: _____.
- ☒ Your request is denied on the following grounds:
- ☐ Your request was not sufficiently detailed to enable identification of the specific requested record(s). You need to provide additional information to identify the requested record(s).
 - ☐ Questions which seek information rather than the reproduction of record(s) does not require a response under TPRA.
 - ☒ No such record(s) exists, or this office does not maintain record(s) responsive to your request.

August 18, 2021:

Arizona Department of Health Services confirmed for Timothy Martin that they have no record describing isolation/purification of any "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample on the planet, and confuse such with private/protected/identifiable healthcare data:<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Arizona-Dept-Health-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



ARIZONA DEPARTMENT
OF HEALTH SERVICES
POLICY & INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

August 18, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Mail

Timothy C. Martin



Re: Response-August 16, 2021 Public Records Request

Dear Mr. Martin:

On behalf of the Arizona Department of Health Services ("ADHS"), I am responding to your August 16, 2021 public records request (the "Request"). In your Request, you ask for communicable disease related information, specifically records "describing the isolation of a SARS-CoV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient)."

In response to your Request, ADHS does not have any records "describing the isolation of a SARS-CoV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material." Please note that available de-identified COVID-19 data, including case data, may be reviewed at: <https://www.azdhs.gov/covid19/data/index.php>. You may also wish to check with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for information that may be useful to you. See <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/index.html>.

In addition, even if ADHS had records responsive to your Request, A.R.S. § 36-664(A) states: "[a] person who obtains **communicable disease related information** in the course of providing a health service or obtains that information from a health care provider pursuant to an authorization shall not disclose or be compelled to disclose that information except as authorized by state or federal law...." (Emphasis added). See also A.R.S. §§ 36-661(5), (14), (21), 36-664(C), 36-665 and 36-666(A)(2) (it is a class 3 misdemeanor to disclose communicable disease related information in violation of A.R.S. Title 36, Chapter 6, Article 4); May 29, 2020 Under Advisement Ruling issued in Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2020-005385; January 29, 2021 Minute Entry issued in Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2020-012030.

Douglas A. Ducey | Governor Cara M. Christ, MD, MS | Director

150 N. 18th Ave., Suite 200, Phoenix, AZ 85007-2670 P | 602-542-1020 F | 602-364-1150 W | azhealth.gov
Health and Wellness for all Arizonans

53647409.1

February 4, 2022:

Florida Department of Health finally admitted to Beatrice Scova that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Florida-Dept-Health-etc-PACKAGE-UPDATED.pdf>

Fw: No vir..

Beatrice Scova [REDACTED] Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 8:09 PM
Reply-To: Beatrice Scova [REDACTED]
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>, "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

Dear Christine

We hope you are well, in good spirit and having a good day.

After much back and forth with the Florida department of Health, we were able to obtain this response below (finally) in regards to our request for the purification and isolation of the alleged SARS Covid 19 virus.

We are thankful to the Attorney in public records, Roger Andrews who did the right thing, and set the record straight, (so to say).

This is a follow up to the "other" run around responses previously from Florida as you have already posted.

On a side note we pray all is going well with you from the unlawful arrest.

God Speed and God Bless

Beatrice [REDACTED]

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Beatrice Scova" <[REDACTED]>
To: "Thomas Grega" <thomasgrega981@msn.com>, "Beatrice Scova" <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 7:57 PM
Subject: No vir..

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "zzzz Feedback, PublicRecordsRequest" <PublicRecordsRequest@flhealth.gov>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 2:41 PM
Subject:

Good Afternoon,

Pursuant to your discussion with the Office of General Counsel clarifying your request for studies or reports for the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material, there are no records responsive to that request.

Regards,

June 13, 2022:

Rosemary Hewig acting as "Esq., Records Access Officer", **New York State Department of Health** reassured Louis that that they are still diligently searching for records proving the existence of "SARS-COV-2" and will only need until August 17, 2022 to respond to his FOI dated January 4, 2022: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/NY-State-DOH-June-13-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Rosemary seems to have forgotten her earlier responses to my friend Bill Huston and I wherein she confessed that the people running NYS DoH have no record indicating that "SARS-COV-2" has ever been isolated, below.



KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

Department
of Health

MARY T. BASSETT, M.D., M.P.H.
Commissioner

KRISTIN M. PROUD
Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

June 13, 2022

VIA EMAIL

Louis [REDACTED]

FOIL #: 22-01-042

Dear Louis [REDACTED]

This letter is in regard to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of January 4, 2022, which is currently being processed.

Please be advised this Office is unable to respond to your request by the date previously given to you because a diligent search for responsive documents is still being conducted.

We estimate that this Office will complete its process by August 17, 2022. The Department will notify you in writing when/if the responsive materials are available for release or if the time needed to complete your request extends beyond the above date.

Should you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

RH/bae

Empire State Plaza, Corning Tower, Albany, NY 12237 | health.ny.gov

December 9, 2021:

10 short months is all it took for the **New York State Department of Health** to provide William Huston with a meaningless list of imaginary “SARS-COV-2” variants in response to his request for records indicating that “the virus” has been isolated. And, on February 25, 2022, they finally concluded their “diligent search” for records responsive to my purification request dated November 5, 2021, and confirmed that they found no records:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/NYS-Dept-Health-WH-CM-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Department of Health

KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

MARY T. BASSETT, M.D., M.P.H.
Acting Commissioner

KRISTIN M. PROUD
Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

December 9, 2021

William Huston
Terra Vigilante
P.O. Box 22
Endicott, NY 13761

FOIL #: 21-02-073

Dear Mr. Huston:

This letter responds to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of February 1, 2021, in which you requested "records which indicate that the virus* which purportedly causes COVID-19 disease, has been isolated." (* Known by various names, including SARS-nCoV, SARS-CoV-2, 2019-nCoV, SARS2-nCoV- 19, HCoV-19, etc)."

Please be advised that the culture and isolation of SARS-CoV-2 virus is a time-consuming process and a high biohazard procedure, that can only be performed in a certified biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory. Very few clinical laboratories can perform this procedure as most do not have BSL-3 facilities. The New York State Department of Health's Wadsworth Center ("Wadsworth") does have BSL-3 laboratories and as of November 12, 2021, has performed cultures on a total of 306 specimens from COVID patients, randomly selected throughout the pandemic. Due to the cost and labor-intensive nature of the procedure, it is only performed on a small fraction of samples. From these 306 specimens, the SARS-CoV-2 virus has been isolated 194 times.

The lineage of the harvested viruses from the cultures is determined by analyzing the entire genomic viral sequence following next generation sequencing. This is also a costly and time-consuming procedure, only performed on a small percentage of samples. For 85 of the inoculated samples that grew, the virus lineage (variant type) is not known at this time as the sequence analysis has not been performed.

Further, variants are only assigned a Greek letter by the World Health Organization when they are determined to be Variants of Interest or Variants of Concern. Thus, there have been numerous variants identified that do not have a Greek letter designation. For the 109 samples that have been cultured and sequenced at Wadsworth, the lineage and variant classifications identified are shown in the table below:

<u>SARS-CoV-2 Lineage</u>	<u>Greek letter designation</u>	<u>Number of Different Samples That Grew</u>
B.1	N/A	34
B.1.1.207	N/A	5
B.1.1.348	N/A	2
B.1.1.519	N/A	1

Please be advised that the New York State Department of Health does not maintain records responsive to your request.

Should you feel that you have been unlawfully denied access to records, you may appeal such denial in writing within 30 days to the Records Access Appeals Officer, Division of Legal Affairs, Empire State Plaza, 2438 Corning Tower, Albany, New York 12237-0026.

If you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

September 30, 2021: **New York State Department of Health** advised [William Huston](#) that they have zero records indicating that the alleged “SARS-CoV-2” is the cause of “COVID-19.”
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/NY-State-DH-no-records-Sept-30-2021.pdf>



KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

Department of Health

HOWARD A. ZUCKER, M.D., J.D.
Commissioner

KRISTIN M PROUD
Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

September 30, 2021

William Huston
Terra Vigilante
P.O. Box 22
Endicott, NY 13761

FOIL #: 21-02-070

Dear William Huston:

This letter responds to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of February 1, 2021, in which you requested "[r]ecords which indicate that the virus known as SARS-CoV-2 (a/k/a, 2019-nCoV, HCoV-19, SARSnCoV- 19, SARS-nCoV-2, and SARS2-nCoV-19) is the cause of Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19)."

The New York State Department of Health does not maintain records responsive to your request. Please be advised, the Department follows the findings and directives issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Information responsive to your request can be found on the CDC's website at the following link:

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/your-health/about-covid-19/basics-covid-19.html>

Should you feel that you have been unlawfully denied access to records, you may appeal such denial in writing within 30 days to the Records Access Appeals Officer, Division of Legal Affairs, Empire State Plaza, 2438 Corning Tower, Albany, New York 12237-0026.

If you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

RH/bae

Empire State Plaza, Corning Tower, Albany, NY 12237 | health.ny.gov

May 25, 2022:

Rector Prof. Dr. Piotr Ponikowski from **University of Wroclaw, Poland, Medical Department** confirmed that the university has no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being isolated, period.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Ponikowski-U-of-Wroclaw-Poland-Med-dept-scaled.jpg>




UNIwersYTET MEDYCZNY
IM. PIASTÓW ŚLĄSKICH WE WROCŁAWIU

Rektor
prof. dr hab. Piotr Ponikowski

DKO-1.0147.15.2022

Wrocław, 25 maja 2022 r.

Szanowny Pan



ZAWIADOMIENIE

Na podstawie art. 14 ust. 1 ustawy z dnia 6 września 2001 r. o dostępie do informacji publicznej (Dz. U. 2022, poz. 902), po rozpoznaniu Pana wniosku z dnia 13 maja 2022 r. dotyczącego informacji, czy Uniwersytet Medyczny we Wrocławiu posiada dokumentację na temat izolacji wirusa Sars-Cov-2 informuję, że Uniwersytet Medyczny we Wrocławiu nie posiada dokumentacji na temat izolacji wirusa Sars-Cov-2.

Z wyrazami poważania

Uniwersytet Medyczny we Wrocławiu
REKTOR
prof. dr hab. Piotr Ponikowski

Translation into English:

"Pursuant to Article 14 (1) of the Act of September 6, 2001 on disclosing public information (Journals of Law 2022, item 902 after examin your application of May, 13. 2022 regarding information wheather University of Wrocław-Poland has documentation on the Isolation of the Sars-Cov-2 virus; informs that University of Wrocław has not documentation on Isolation of Sars-Cov-2 virus"



Signed by /
Podpisano przez:
Magdalena Stręk

Date / Data:
2022-05-24
07:52

ul. Marcinkowskiego 2-6, 50-368 Wrocław
tel.: +48 71/784-10-56

e-mail: bp@umw.edu.pl, magdalena.krystyniak@umw.edu.pl

May 10, 2022:

Belgium's Sciensano (Belgian institute for health) coordinates a Risk Assessment Group *"in charge of assessing risks to public health in a national and international context"*, validates procedures in the context of "COVID-19" and *"has a legally determined surveillance task in the context of public health"* (p3 [here](#)). So naturally **Sciensano** confirmed for Max De Cleyn that they have never found and purified the alleged virus from the bodily fluid/tissue of any patient and do not know who might have:

From: **Dieter Van Cauteren** <Dieter.VanCauteren@sciensano.be>
Date: Tue, May 10, 2022 at 2:41 PM
Subject: RE: Access to Info Request: records re PURIFICATION OF "SARS-COV-2"
To: maxdecleyn@gmail.com <maxdecleyn@gmail.com>
Cc: [legaloffice](mailto:legaloffice@sciensano.be) <legaloffice@sciensano.be>

Dear Mr DE CLEYN

We have received your request for information of 18 April. This request was made under the law on the publicity of the administration.

We understood you request concerns records that describe purification directly from a primary clinical sample from a patient.

We do not perform such purification within Sciensano, we can detect the viral genome in the primary sample, or isolate the virus (when we know it is positive) in cell culture.

We do not know where such purification information would be available, you may contact the National Reference Center at the UZ/KU Leuven with this question.


Sincerely

Dieter Van Cauteren

March 31, 2021:

Japan's National Institute of Infectious Diseases responded that "*the researchers did genetic analysis and antigen analysis*" when asked by an anonymous colleague "*how did you **isolate** the virus?*" lol:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Japan-Inst-of-Infect-Dis-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Japan's National Institute of Infectious Diseases

This is what I'm told about the 2 letters shown below:

"The first photo... is from this tweet (the requester's own account):

<https://twitter.com/un4yRFGIfQRAIZD/status/1422586472616513541>

National Institute of Infectious Diseases says that they have no documents for the scientific evidence, papers, etc. about the existence of SARS-CoV-2.

The 2nd one is from this tweet (left):

<https://twitter.com/dhBGGFoCRYyPo4u/status/1470999015534006274>

Here National Institute of Infectious Diseases says that they have no documents for the scientific evidence, papers, etc. about the existence of SARS-CoV-2, physical samples, and the records of viral isolation.

(The photo right side is the request about influenza virus.



They have no documents, physical samples, either.)

For your information, this is the paper of the "SARS-CoV-2 viral isolation" by National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Japan. Here they introduce the new type of Vero cells they have newly developed...

<https://www.pnas.org/content/117/13/7001>

Here is a pdf containing all 3 letters:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Japan-Natl-Inst-of-Infec-Dis.pdf>



March 18, 2022: In response to a request for records describing isolation/purification of the alleged “SARS-COV-2” from a sample taken from a sick patient, Finland’s Institute for Health and Welfare (THL) stated the following: “*the term ‘isolate’ has been used in a different sense in the request for information than is well established in science and that ‘isolating’ any virus within the meaning of the request for information is not at all possible*“.

Pdf: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Finland-Inst-for-Health-and-Welfare-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

From [REDACTED]
To <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>
Date 2022-03-24 04:13 AM



Hi Christine
I sent Freedom of information request to Finnish health authority (THL) and got the (expected) answer. You can see them below.
[REDACTED] from Finland

Their response:

Hey,

We have examined your request for information on 16.3.2022.

The right of access to information pursuant to Chapter 3 of the Act on Public Access to the Activities of Public Authorities (621/1999, hereinafter "the Public Access to Information Act") applies to information entered in the documents of public authorities. According to section 5 (3) (3) of the Public Access to Information Act, documents obtained for the authority's internal training, information retrieval or other comparable internal use are not considered to be documents of the authority. For example, scientific literature and libraries collected by the authority for its own activities are therefore not documents of the authority that would be provided in response to requests for information under the Public Access to Information Act. As the right of access under Chapter 3 of the Public Access to Information Act is only information contained in existing documents, new documents (such as bibliographies) are in principle produced in response to requests.

Link to the Publicity Act: <https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/ajantasa/1999/19990621#L1P5>

THL has examined whether it is in possession of authority documents, the subject of your request for a reply. No such documents have been found. Given that the term 'isolate' has been used in a different sense in the request for information than is well established in science and that 'isolating' any virus within the meaning of the request for information is not at all possible, it is likely that the entire file does not exist at all.

If you wish, you have the right to have the matter confirmed by an authority. If you would like the matter to be referred to an authority for confirmation, you can report it by replying to this message.

Regards,
Tuuli Karppinen
Lawyer
Department of Health and Welfare

My request sent to Finnish Department of Health and Welfare (THL) is as you recommended it to be done:

Aihe: Tietojen saantipyyntö: SARS-COV-2:n ja sen varianttien eristämiseen liittyvät lähteet

Marjo Loisa
Terveysten ja hyvinvoinnin laitos
Viestintä ja vaikuttaminen -yksikkö
Viestintäjohtaja
PL 30
00271 Helsinki
Lähetetty sähköpostitse osoitteeseen: marjo.loisa@thl.fi

Tämä on julkisuuslain nojalla tehty virallinen pyyntö.

<https://oikeusministerio.fi/esite-julkisuuslaista>
<https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/ajantasa/1999/19990621#L4>

Kuvaus pyydetyistä lähteistä:

Kaikki Terveysten ja hyvinvoinnin laitoksen (THL) hallussa tai tiedossa olevat lähteet, jotka kuvaavat sars-cov-2-viruksen eristämistä (mukaan lukien kaikki oletetut variantit) suoraan sairaalta potilaalta otetusta näytteestä, jossa potilasnäytettä ei ole ensin yhdistetty muuhun geneettisen materiaalin lähteeseen (esim. apinan munuaissoluihin, maksasyöpäsoluihin, naudan sikiön seerumiin jne).

Pyydän huomioimaan, että käytän "eristämistä" sanan arkipäiväisessä merkityksessä, eli asian (asioiden) -tässä tapauksessa sars-covid-2 viruksen (tai sen varianttien) erottamiseen kaikesta muusta. En siis pyydä lähteitä, joissa "sars-cov-2:n eristämällä" viitataan:

September 22 2021:

Finland's Institute for Health and Welfare responded to a request for evidence that the alleged "SARS-COV-2" had been purified and shown to cause "COVID-19" symptoms in humans, with a paper about cytopathic effects in starved and poisoned monkey cells. The requester subsequently notified the Finnish National Board on Research Integrity of research misconduct, but the Board insisted that a preliminary investigation would be inappropriate. Because science.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Finland-Inst-for-Health-and-Welfare-THL-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Elektronimikroskooppikuvia koronaviruksesta on runsaasti löydettävissä erilaisista kuvapankeista, esim. <https://www.niaid.nih.gov/news-events/novel-coronavirus-sarscov2-images>.

Tämän linkin kautta pääsette tarkastelemaan SARS-CoV-2 -viruksen rakennetta: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7164637/pdf/367_1260.pdf

Teillä on mahdollisuus saattaa asia viranomaisen ratkaistavaksi ilmoittamalla siitä sähköpostitse THL:n kirjaamoon kirjaamo@thl.fi, jolloin saatte asiasta valituskelpoisen hallintopäätöksen.

Ystävällisin terveisin

Hanna Kaarre

toimeksi saaneena

September 17, 2021: **Lithuania's National Public Health Care Laboratory** provided Arturas Bartasius with useless PCR documents and confirmed that "*isolation of SARS-CoV-2 virus in cell cultures [oxymoron!], electron microscopy and virus purification (filtration, ultracentrifugation, chromatography) NVSPL is not performed*".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Lithuania-NATIONAL-PUBLIC-HEALTH-CARE-LABORATORY-PACKAGE-unredacted.pdf>

NACIONALINĖ VISUOMENĖS SVEIKATOS PRIEŽIŪROS LABORATORIJA

Biudžetinė įstaiga, Žolyno g. 36, LT-10210 Vilnius, tel. (8 5) 270 9229, faks. (8 5) 210 4848
el. p. nvspl@nvspl.lt, www.nvspl.lt

Duomenys kaupiami ir saugomi Juridinių asmenų registre, kodas 195551983

Artūrai Bartašiui
El. p. bartasius.arturas@yahoo.com

2021-09- Nr.
Į 2021-09-17 Nr. (08 16.1.17 Mr)2-
113823

Kopija
Nacionaliniam visuomenės sveikatos centrui prie
Sveikatos apsaugos ministerijos

DĖL ARTŪRO BARTAŠIAUS PAKLAUSIMO PERSIUNTIMO

Nacionalinė visuomenės sveikatos priežiūros laboratorija (toliau – NVSPL) išnagrinėjusi piliečio Artūro Bartašiaus paklausimą teikia SARS-CoV-2 nustatymui polimerazės grandininės reakcijos metodu šiuo metu naudojamų reagentų aprašus ir instrukcijas. Šiuos reagentus naudoja NVSPL ir kitos SARS-CoV-2 PGR tyrimus atliekančios Lietuvos laboratorijos.

Atkreipiame dėmesį, kad SARS-CoV-2 viruso išskyrimas ląstelių kultūrose, elektroninės mikroskopijos tyrimas bei viruso išgryninimas (filtravimas, ultracentrifugavimas, chromatografija) NVSPL neatliekamas.

Pridedama:

1. TaqPath COVID-19 CE-IVD RT-PCR Kit, 1 egz.
2. Allplex SARS-CoV-2 Assay, 1 egz.

Direktoriaus pavaduotoja,
laikinais einanti direktoriaus pareigas

Rosita Marija Balčienė

Svajūnė Muralytė, tel. +37067180340, el. p. svajune.muralyte@nvspl.lt

I think/hope this pdf contains details of an FOI response from the **Republic of Bulgaria's** "health minister" (extracted from this website: <https://www.new-civilization.com/bg/krahat-na-virusn-1-milion-dolara/#.YeNJQdHMJPa>) indicating they have no records of "**SARS-COV-2**" having been purified from any patient sample in Bulgaria("I inform you that the Ministry of Health does not have the information requested by you and there is no data on its location"), and that diagnosis has been based on

meaningless PCR and antigenic tests:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Bulgaria-excerpts-from-website.pdf>

ОТГОВОРЪТ



Министерство на
Здравеопазването

РЕПУБЛИКА БЪЛГАРИЯ, Министерство на здравеопазването
Главен секретар

Документ, регистриран от: (следват лични данни на вносителите)

Относно: **Заявление за достъп до обществена информация**,
регистрирано в Министерство на здравеопазването с **вх. № 93-00-55 от 01.03.2021**
г.

Уважаеми (еди кои си)

Във връзка с Ваше заявление за достъп до обществена информация, регистрирано в Министерството на здравеопазването с вх. № 93-00-55 от 01.03.2021 г. и на основание чл. 33 от Закона за достъп до обществена информация, Ви информирам, че Министерството на здравеопазването **не разполага** със заявената от Вас информация и **няма данни** за нейното местонахождение.

С уважение, ХРИСТИНА ГЕТОВА, ГЛАВЕН СЕКРЕТАР

гр. София, пл. „Света Неделя“ № 5 тел. +359 2 9301 171, +359 2 981 01 11, факс: +359 2 981 18 33 е-mail: <mailto:press@mh.government.bg> / <https://www.mh.government.bg/bg>

На друго запитване от други хора до МеЗе-то с **вх. №93-00-207/130821** да представят научни доказателства за **ползите от маските**, официалният отговор на **МеЗе-то** гласи (цитат): „**В заключение Ви информирам, че МеЗе-то не разполага с документи от научни изследвания за ползите от маските.**“

2021 (Jan. 9 or Sept. 1, I'm not sure which): **Serbia's Ministry of Health** told the ASSOCIATION OF "PARENTS OF MISSING BABIES OF SERBIA" that they have no records re isolation of the alleged/imaginary COVID-19 virus, or any of the other requested info. English translation and signed affidavit included:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Serbia-Ministry-of-Health-WITH-AFFIDAVIT-AND-TRANSLATION.pdf>



Република Србија
МИНИСТАРСТВО ЗДРАВЉА
Број: 500-01-1144/2021-16
Датум: 01.09.2021.године
Београд

УДРУЖЕЊЕ „РОДИТЕЉИ НЕСТАЛИХ БЕБА СРБИЈЕ“

ул. Едварда Грига 1/9 улаз I
Београд-Ресник

Поштовани,

На основу Закона о слободном приступу информацијама од јавног значаја („Службени гласник РС“ бр. 120/04; 54/07; 104/09 и 36/10) и Ваших захтева за приступ информацијама од јавног значаја број: 416-21/1, 417-21/2 и 418-21/3 од 28.08.2021. године, заведени у Министарству здравља под бројем: 500-01-1144/2021-16, а који се односе на достављање информација о научној студији која недвосмислено доказује способност и максималну поузданост PCR и RT/PCR метода у детекцији SARS-COV2 узročника, односно научну студију која недвосмислено доказује „златни стандард“ са највећим степеном осетљивости и највећим степеном специфичности PCR и RT/PCR метода у детекцији SARS-COV2 узročника; научни доказ о постојању-изоловању вируса SARS-COV2; сертификате произвођача личне заштитне опреме прописаних у члану 17. став 10а Закона о заштити становништва од заразних болести и заштитних маски прописаних у члану 2. Уредбе о мерама за спречавање и сузбијање заразне болести ЦОВИД-19 са научним истраживањима и научним доказом да наведена заштитна опрема и заштитне маске пружају заштиту од преноса вируса SARS-COV2, као и научна истраживања и научне доказе који гарантују да наведена заштитна опрема и заштите маске не изазивају нежељена дејства по живот и здравље људи, као и на остале информације наведене у захтевима, обавештавамо Вас да Министарство здравља не поседује тражене информације.

С поштовањем,

ДРЖАВНИ СЕКРЕТАР
др Мирсад Ђерлек

May & August 2021: **Oregon Health & Science University** failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus (aka “SARS-COV-2”) from any patient sample, by anyone on the planet, for the requester.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Oregon-OHSU-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

On Thursday, May 6, 2021 8:33 AM, Reba Kuske <kusker@ohsu.edu> wrote:

Good morning, [REDACTED]

Thank you for your patience as we worked through your detailed March 29, 2021, public records request. Your request was forwarded to OHSU researchers who were noted to be actively working on COVID-related research. A number of researchers responded and OHSU hereby offers to you the following published information for your review:

- Attached article "The S1 protein of SARS-CoV2 crosses the blood-brain barrier in mice" published in the *Nature Neuroscience* dated Nov. 19, 2020.

Further, please see attached links of published research which may be useful to you:

[Baricitinib treatment resolves lower-airway macrophage inflammation and neutrophil recruitment in SARS-CoV-2-infected rhesus macaques.](#)

Hoang TN, Pino M, Boddapati AK, Viox EG, Starke CE, Upadhyay AA, Gumber S, Nekorchuk M, Busman-Sahay K, Strongin Z, Harper JL, Tharp GK, Pellegrini KL, Kirejczyk S, Zandi K, Tao S, Horton TR, Beagle EN, Mahar EA, Lee MYH, Cohen J, Jean SM, Wood JS, Connor-Stroud F, Stammen RL, Delmas OM, Wang S, Cooney KA, Sayegh MN, Wang L, Filev PD, Weiskopf D, Silvestri G, Waggoner J, Piantadosi A, Kasturi SP, Al-Shakhshir H, Ribeiro SP, Sekaly RP, Levit RD, Estes JD, Vanderford TH, Schinazi RF, Bosinger SE, Paiardini M. *Cell*. 2021 Jan 21;184(2):460-475.e21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.007. Epub 2020 Nov 10. PMID: 33278358 Free PMC article.

[Vascular Disease and Thrombosis in SARS-CoV-2-Infected Rhesus Macaques.](#)

June 21, 2021.

Via [Dr. Fabio Franchi](#), author of "COVID-19. The catastrophe caused by the virus that is not there", Dr. Maria Rosaria Capobianchi, Director of Virology, **Istituto Nazionale per le Malattie Infettive Lazzaro Spallanzani (National Institute of Infectious Diseases Lazzaro Spallanzani)**, Italy failed to provide or cite even 1 record re purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus aka "SARS-COV-2".

FOI response and Dr. Franchi's reply (including attachments) is here, with English translations:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/italys-national-institute-of-infectious-diseases-lazzaro-spallanzani-has-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification-by-anyone-anywhere-ever/>

Da: Capobianchi Maria Rosaria <maria.capobianchi@inmi.it>

Inviato: lunedì 21 giugno 2021 20:57

A: Direzione Sanitaria INMI Lazzaro Spallanzani <dirtsan@inmi.it>

Oggetto: R: istanza accesso agli atti

In merito a quanto richiesto dall'Avvocato Rodaro, si rappresenta quanto segue.

Il richiedente usa il termine isolamento a sproposito.

In Virologia con il termine isolamento virale si intende la messa in coltura di un campione biologico e la verifica della moltiplicazione del virus su un substrato di cellule vive permissive, coltivate in vitro. L'isolamento si può ottenere anche in animali da esperimento, ma non è questo il caso.

Le cellule inoculate, in parallelo con un controllo non inoculato, vengono monitorate nel tempo per vedere se il virus cresce, la qual cosa è evidente come effetto citopatico, come presenza di particelle virali in microscopia elettronica, oppure, più comunemente, misurando nel tempo la quantità di genomi virali rilasciati dalle cellule in maniera progressivamente incrementale, come risultato della replicazione del virus. Non esistono altre accezioni del termine "Isolamento virale".

Italy's Ministry of Health failed to provide or cite any study or report (evidence) (or webpage citing such) re the isolation/purification of the alleged Sars-Cov-2 virus or any alleged variant, for the requester: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Italy-Ministry-of-Health-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



DIREZIONE GENERALE DELLA PREVENZIONE SANITARIA
Ufficio 1 - Affari Generali e Segreteria Tecnico Organizzativa
Viale Giorgio Ribotta, 5 - 00144 Roma

⁴ <https://www.salute.gov.it/portale/nuovocoronavirus/dettaglioFaqNuovoCoronavirus.jsp?lingua=italiano&id=250>

66

<https://covidcalltohumanity.org/2021/08/31/cch-foi-bombshell-doh-admits-no-evidence-sars-cov-2-isolated-yet-government-locked-down-nation-due-to-virus-no-country-has-yet-proven-to-exist/>

FOI response backed up here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Phillipines-Department-of-Health-response-Aug-31-2021.pdf>

I. Documents and/or communications regarding the Certified Reference Material (CRM) being used by DOH and its authorized testing centers to determine whether a person is positively infected with SARS-CoV-2.	Real-time PCR technology is reliant on the ability of the thermocycling machine's camera to correctly detect successive exponential increases in the fluorescence intensity against erratic fluorescence signals that behave as background noise. As such, the primary determinant whether a person is classified as positive is the presence of the viral RNA target in their sample. In the context of the PCR procedure, the "CRM" referred here may pertain to the "positive" control that is incorporated as part of the components of the real-time PCR kit. The primary function of a positive template control is to serve as a validity checkpoint to detect general failures or errors assumed to be related to all samples belonging to the same run; that is to say that if a positive control fails, then all samples belonging to the same run are retested. The final outcome of each tested sample is tied to the performance of the positive control.
II. Documents, lab results, and/or communications including but not limited to scientific articles demonstrating, using procedures that satisfy Koch's Postulates, that this Certified Reference Material is	The real-time RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 does not "isolate" the virus but rather detects short target sequences of the virus in clinical samples. If these target sequences are detected in clinical samples, these can be amplified and detected through the test. The positive control material included in the PCR kit is likewise not a whole virus but rather nucleic acid fragments taken from the virus or synthesized, and that which serve as the basis for (1) comparing if a clinical sample that is tested is positive for the virus; and (2) provide supporting information that the assay is working. As originally stated, the four criteria known as "Koch's postulates" are: (1) The microorganism must be found in diseased but not healthy individuals; (2) The microorganism must be cultured from the diseased individual; (3) Inoculation of a healthy individual with the cultured microorganism must recapitulated the disease; and finally (4) The microorganism must be re-isolated from the inoculated, diseased individual and matched to the original microorganism. (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3775492/).

August 2021: **Massachusetts Department of Health** Reports "No Responsive Documents" to FOIA Request for Information on Purified Isolate of Professed SARS-COV-2/COVID-19 Virus & Delta Variant Forming Basis for all State COVID Restrictions — by Ramola Dharmaraj:

<https://everydayconcerned.net/2021/08/30/massachusetts-department-of-health-reports-no-responsive-documents-to-foia-request-for-information-on-purified-isolate-of-professed-sars-cov-2-covid-19-virus-delta-variant-forming-basis-for-all/>

The Massachusetts responses in the above article are also backed-up at the following links:

August 11, 2021

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Office of the Governor told Ramola Dharmaraj "*we have no records*" re isolation of (the alleged) SARS-COV-2/COVID-19 virus:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/COMMONWEALTH-OF-MASSACHUSETTS-OFFICE-OF-THE-GOVERNOR-Response_Letter_-_Dharmaraj.pdf

August 25, 2021

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Health: "*The Department has no responsive records to your request.*"

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Commonwealth-of-Massachusetts-Dept-of-Health-package.pdf>

Clarification 2: Isolate means "to separate something from other things with which it is connected or mixed"--Cambridge Dictionary definition.

Clarification 3: This request is not for information on something procured by means of

- 1) Culturing something.
- 2) Nasally swabbing something from any randomly sick (with some other disease) or healthy person,
- 3) Amplifying something via PCR Test (Which its inventor Dr. Kary Mullis has clearly stated is not to be used to diagnose any disease),
- 4) the Sequencing of something,
- 5) or the Computer-Generated Sequencing of something.

The Department has no responsive records to your request.

November 08, 2021:

Another no-records confession from Gillian Haney, MPH Director of the Division of Surveillance, Analytics and Informatics (DSAI), Bureau of Infectious Disease and Laboratory Sciences,

Massachusetts Department of Public Health:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Massachusetts-Dept-of-Public-Health-Nov-2021.pdf>



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Executive Office of Health and Human Services
Department of Public Health
Bureau of Infectious Disease and Laboratory Sciences
305 South Street, Jamaica Plain, MA 02130

CHARLES D. BAKER
Governor
KARYN E. POLITO
Lieutenant Governor

Division of Surveillance, Analytics and Informatics (DSAI)
Tel: (617) 983-6801
Fax: (617) 983-6813

MARYLOU SUDDERS
Secretary
MARGRET R. COOKE
Acting Commissioner
Tel: 617-624-6000
www.mass.gov/dph

November 08, 2021



Re: Public Record Request BIDLs-2021-221



This letter is in regard to the above referenced public record request received by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health (the "Department" or "DPH") on October 28, 2021. This request has been assigned a tracking number: BIDLs-2021-221. Specifically, you requested:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health describing the **purification** of the often mentioned "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured something, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCRtest), and/or
- fabricated a genome from sequences detected in an impure substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

The Department has no records responsive to your request.

To the extent you are seeing scientific information about the SARS-CoV-2 virus and COVID-19, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention makes COVID-19

September 2, 2021: The **Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services** provided allegedly responsive records that contained zero descriptions of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere. The allegedly (but not really) responsive records are 1): the CDC's 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel, and 2) ThermoFisher TaqPath™ COVID-19 Combo Kit Procedure for the Detection of 2019-nCoV RNA by RTPCR.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Virginia-Dept-of-General-Services-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



COMMONWEALTH of
VIRGINIA
Department of General Services

Joseph F. Damico
Director

Sandra Gill,
Deputy Director

Matthew James,
Deputy Director

1100 Bank Street
Suite 420
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Phone (804) 786-3311
Fax (804) 371-8305

September 2, 2021

Via email: [REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

I am responding to your request for information received by the Department of General Services (DGS) via email on August 26, 2021. In your request you asked for in brief, all studies and/or reports in possession, custody or control of the Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services describing the **purification** of any **COVID-19 virus**.

Please find attached documents responsive to your request.

I hope this information is helpful. Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

Dena Potter
Director of Communications

Commonwealth of Virginia
Department of General Services
Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services
Richmond, Virginia

CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel

I. PURPOSE/APPLICATION:

- A. This procedure is for the qualitative detection of nucleic acid from the 2019-novel Coronavirus (nCoV), termed severe acute respiratory syndrome.

September 1, 2021: The **Pennsylvania Department of Health** confirmed they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Pennsylvania-Dept-of-Health-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



September 1, 2021

[REDACTED]
King of Prussia, PA [REDACTED]

ladymohan@yahoo.com

RE: Right to Know Law Request
DOH-RTKL-COV-150-2021

Dear M [REDACTED]

This letter acknowledges receipt by the Pennsylvania Department of Health (Department) of your written request for records under the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law (RTKL), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101-67.3104. The Department received your request on August 25, 2021. You requested:

[A]ny records that describe the isolation of a "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2") from an unadulterated sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material. Isolate meaning a thing is separated from all other material surrounding it. I am NOT REQUESTING white papers where "isolation" of SARS-CoV-2 refers to: the culturing of something, performance of an amplification test (PCR) or the sequencing of something.

Your request is denied, as the Department is not in possession of records responsive to your request.

As a courtesy, the Department provides the following information: The Department interprets your request to seek records for the isolation of intact virus/viral particles of SARS-CoV-2.

Please be advised that the Department's molecular test processing is not isolating live virus or viral particles. The Department is isolating SARS-CoV-2 genetic material extracted from virus/viral particles in the patient specimen. That extracted genetic material is used in PCR reactions for the detection of the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genetic material in that extract.

The Department's Bureau of Laboratories utilizes COVID PCR assays which have received authorized EUAs from the FDA:

- (1) The CDC COVID RT-PCR assay described in the most recent approved update; and
- (2) The ThermoFisher COVID multiplex RT-PCR panel assay.

August 30, 2021: **Montgomery County, Pennsylvania** confirms they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, or any proof of "its" existence: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Montgomery-County-Pennsylvania-no-records-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



August 30, 2021

VIA E-MAIL

King of Prussia,

Re: Right-to-Know Request No. OR21-553

Dear M

On August 26, 2021, the open-records officer of Montgomery County received your written request for information. The County is responding to your request under the Pennsylvania Right-To-Know Law, 65 P.S. §§ 67.101, et seq. (RTKL). You asked for the following:

"...I am seeking any records that describe the isolation of a "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2") from an unadulterated sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material. Isolate meaning a thing is separated from all other material surrounding it.

Note: I am NOT REQUESTING CDC website referral information or white papers where "isolation" of SARS-CoV-2 refers to:

- the culturing of something
- performance of an amplification test (PCR) or
- the sequencing of something.

To clarify, I am requesting via disclosure all white papers / solid scientific evidence proving that:

1. the SARS-CoV-2 virus causes the illness that matches the characteristics of all of the deaths attributed to COVID-19;
2. that said virus has been properly purified / isolated from human beings, reproduced and then shown to cause said Covid-19 / SARS-CoV-2 in human beings..."

Under the RTKL, a written response to your request was due on or before September 2, 2021.

August 13, 2021: The General Counsel and FOI Officer for the **Washington DC Department of Health** confirmed for the requester that the Department has no record describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a patient sample. Full communication:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Washington-DCH_DC_-FOIA_001_RFI_08_11_2021-redacted-package.pdf

Your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request seeks “studies and/or reports ... describing the **purification** of any “**COVID-19 virus**” (aka “SARS-COV-2”, including alleged variants ...”
[Bolding in original]

FOIA Response

The Government of the District of Columbia has posted COVID-19 documents, data, and information on <https://coronavirus.dc.gov/>.

When I conferred with the Director of the Department of Health regarding your FOIA request, I was advised that the Department of Health has not been involved in any research or day-to-day activities related to purification of SARS-CoV-2. No letters, reports, or documents (other than your FOIA request) regarding a purification process have been received by the Director of the Department of Health.

August 2021: **Michigan Department of Health and Human Services** confirmed that they have no record describing purification of “the virus” (including any alleged “variants”) from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Michigan-Dept-of-Health-and-Human-Services-package.pdf>

RE: Public Records Request, Reference # H012477-080421.

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This notice is issued in response to your request, legally received by the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (Department) on August 05, 2021, requesting information under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), MCL 15.231 *et seq.*

Your request is denied.

To the best of the Department's knowledge, information, and belief, this Department does not possess or maintain records under the description you provided or by other names reasonably known to the Department.

As to the denial, the Department is obligated to inform you that under MCL 15.240 §10 the following remedies are available:

1. Appeal this decision in writing to the Legal Affairs Administration of the Department of Health and Human Services, PO Box 30195, Lansing, MI 48909. The writing must specifically state the word "appeal" and must identify the reason or reasons you believe the denial should be reversed. The Department must respond to your appeal within ten days of receipt. Under unusual circumstances, the time for response to your appeal may be extended by ten business days.
2. File an action in the appropriate court within 180 days after the date of the final determination to deny the request. If you prevail in such an action, the court is to award reasonable attorney fees, costs, disbursements, and possible damages.

The Department's FOIA policies and procedures are available at [Policies and Procedures](#).

Sincerely,

Ruth O'Connor
Bureau of Legal Affairs

August 18, 2021: **California's Department of Public Health** confirmed for Kim Leonoudakis that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variants") having been purified from any patient sample on the planet and no analysis whatsoever re the alleged "Delta variant":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/California-DPH-FOIA-P013439080421.pdf>

May 20, 2022:

California Department of Public Health admitted to [Kristen Welch](#) that they have no record of any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or scariant) having been found in and purified from the bodily fluid/tissue /excrement of any diseased human on the planet (in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments) by anyone, anywhere, ever.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/California-Dept-of-Public-Health-Kristen-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



May 19, 2022

RE: Public Records Act Request, Reference # P015815-051222

Dear Kristin Welch,

On May 12, 2022, the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) received your request for the following records under the Public Records Act:

FOIA REQUEST PERTAINING TO THE SARS-COV-2 VIRUS

PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED FOIA REQUEST

CDPH does not have existing documents responsive to this request. However, you may find relevant information to your inquiry at the following link:
<https://testing.covid19.ca.gov/covidnet/>.

Further, additional papers about the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 are available through the NIH at the following link: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sars-cov-2/>

This concludes our response to this request.

Thank you,

Christa Daley

To monitor the progress, update this request, or download responsive records, please log into the [Public Records Center](#).

August 24, 2021:

California's Department of Public Health confirmed for Robin that they have no record of "the virus" (including any "variants") having been purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/California-Dept-Public-Health-P013542-081421-redacted.pdf>

culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or

-Produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (i.e. separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$5. However, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees in that the disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's understanding of "COVID-19". This information is not being sought for commercial purposes.

The California Public Records Act requires a response within ten business days. If access to the records I am requesting will take longer, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies.

If you deny any or all of this request, please cite each specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law.

Format

Electronic files conveyed to me via email, preferably in PDF format; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

CDPH has completed a diligent search and has determined that it is not in possession of records that are responsive to your request. For this reason, this concludes CDPH's response to your request, which will now be closed.

Sincerely,

Taylor St. Mary
AGPA
CID/DCDC

To monitor the progress, update this request, or download responsive records, please log into the [Public Records Center](#).

August 9, 2021: The **Arkansas Department of Health's** Deputy General Counsel advised Dr. James W. Smith that the Department has no record describing the purification of any "SARS-COV-2" (including any scariants) from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever, via filtration and ultra-centrifugation. He did however provide 2 emails re "looking for COVID 19 in wastewater" – lol. Dr. Smith will be following up to request that the response be provided in a formal, signed and dated letter with a file number. Full correspondence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Arkanas-Dept-of-Health-no-records-email-response-Aug-9-redacted.pdf>

No photo description available.

After a series of Freedom of Information requests beginning in April 2020 failed to yield any satisfactory response, Ricardo Maarman (working alongside [Dr. Faiez Kirsten](#)) challenged the **South African government** in the Western Cape High Court in May 2021 to provide proof of "the virus" that allegedly justifies that government's devastating lockdown measures.

(More specifically, Ricardo challenged the President, the National Department of Health, the Governmental COVID-19 Advisory Committee and the Minister of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs. And the responding affidavit was authored primarily by the Acting Executive Director of South Africa's National Institute for Communicable Diseases.)

The government failed to provide proof or even compelling evidence, and on pages 29+ of their [responding affidavit](#) (starting on page 31 of the pdf) revealed that they have relied on a “well established” but unscientific approach to “virus isolation”.

86.1. Protocols for isolation and culturing of “physical virus” are now well established. There are many clear review manuscripts to support this statement. It is not done routinely for diagnosis, as it will be impractical and will not be conducive to patient management.

86.2. The nature of the SARS COV-2 has been established not only through RT-PCR in sequencing but also in electron microscopy.

86.5. There have been significant advances with new diagnostic methodologies and sequencing, and further associations are made:

86.5.1. A nucleic acid sequencing belonging to a putative pathogen should be present in most cases of an infectious disease.


86.6. The nature of the micro-organism inferred from the available sequence should be consistent with the known biological characteristic of that group or organisms.

The SA government's affidavit artfully implies that Koch's Postulates (or a variation therefore) have been fulfilled for the alleged “virus” when in fact none of them have been. It contains no mention of **purification** of the particles that have been shown in EM images (within cell cultures, never purified or in patient samples!) alleged to be “the virus”, or the characterization or sequencing of purified particles, or any controlled experiment involving purified particles.

And the SA government's discussion of the Bradford-Hill criteria glosses over the fact that these criteria **presuppose** the existence and valid measurement of the potentially causative factor under investigation, when the reality is that no test ever has been or could have been validated for the never-purified, never-characterized, never-sequenced particles alleged to be “the virus”.

The judge ruled that the matter is **not urgent** and struck the matter from her roll. Ricardo will be pursuing this further. The notice of motion, [hearing transcript](#), [court ruling](#), other documentation and interviews about this case are posted on a dedicated website:

<https://www.showusthevirus.info>.



A **Lisbon court document** dated May 19, 2021 is posted on the website of [Andre Dias, PhD](#) (as reported by Celia Farber at “The Truth Barrier,” [celiafarber.substack.com](#)).

The court document’s URL is <https://andre-dias.net/wp-content/uploads/Fwd-Sentenca.pdf> (and we have backed it up at <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Portuguese-court-ruling.pdf>).

According to Ms. Farber’s published June 28, 2021 email interview with Dias, who is an expert in lung disease modeling, the court ruling is in regards to a citizen’s petition to the Ministry of Health, “*equivalent to a Freedom of Information Request...that ended up in court – with epidemiological and statistical queries*“, and “**the court also formalized that the ministry has no data or references about the existence of the virus...**”

Ms. Farber advises that an English translation of the court ruling is in the works and will be shared on her website once it’s prepared. Below is a screenshot from the original document showing some of the questions that had been posed to Portugal’s Ministry of Health, for which they apparently had no answers.

I - Cópia de publicação científica, revista por pares (peer-review), referente ao estudo sobre o grau de infecção provocada nos humanos, pelo vírus SARS-Cov2, responsável pela doença Covid-19, a partir de uma amostra não adulterada retirada de um humano doente;

II - Cópia de publicação científica, revista por pares (peer review), referente ao estudo sobre o grau de infecção nos humanos provocada pelo SARS-Cov2 obtida por via empírica e que prove que foram cumpridos os postulados de Koch/Evans (1976), indicando a data e o(s) autor(es) que realizaram o isolamento e purificação do vírus em laboratório;

III - Cópia da publicação científica, revista por pares (peer review), relativamente ao teste RT-PCR (polimerase chain reaction, ou, em português, reação em cadeia da polimerase) como ferramenta de diagnóstico fiável para identificar a infecção por vírus SARS-Cov2 em humanos, i.é, se o teste RT-PCR identifica a presença do RNA viral e a presença do referido vírus infeccioso;

July 2021: **Brazil's Ministry of Health** provided/cited zero records of "SARS-COV-2" purification for the FOI submitter, Marcella Picone. The Ministry initially claimed that the request (that the rest of world understood just fine) was unclear, but also admitted "information non-existent". In their 2nd response to Ms. Picone they explained that they have been following research conducted by other countries. See ps 2, 13, 14. Full responses:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Brazil-Ministry-of-Health-package.pdf>

Plataforma Integrada de Ouvidoria e Acesso à Informação Detalhes da Manifestação

que corresponda a descrição acima, por exemplo (mas não limitado a) qualquer estudo revisado por pares publicado de autoria de qualquer pessoa, em qualquer lugar. Observe também que, apesar do fato de que a purificação é uma etapa essencial(mas não suficiente) para provar a existência de um vírus causador de doenças.
Portanto, no interesse da transparência e de acordo com os propósitos da legislação, se algum registro corresponder a descrição acima dos registros solicitados e estiver atualmente disponível em outro local, forneça informações suficientes sobre cada registro para que eu possa identificar e acessar cada um certeza (ou seja título, autor, data, periódico). Forneça url sempre que possível.
Grata desde já
Aguardo retorno.

Proposta de melhoria:

Município do local do fato:

UF do local do fato:

Local:

Não há anexos originais da manifestação.

Não há anexos complementares.

Não há textos complementares.

Não há envolvidos na manifestação.

Campos Adicionais

Não há campos adicionais.

Dados das Respostas

Tipo de Resposta	Data/Hora	Teor da Resposta	Decisão	Compromisso	Anexos
Resposta Conclusiva	22/07/2021 15:42	A presente demanda não dispõe de clareza de dados para que seja possível compreender a informação requerida pela interessada, porquanto solicita: "SOLICITO TODOS OS ESTUDOS E OU, RELATÓRIOS EM POSSE OU CONTROLE, MINISTÉRIO DA SAÚDE E FIOCRUZ OU QUALQUER	Informação Inexistente		

The **Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency (Anvisa)** is an FDA-like quackcine-approver. In their FOI response to Marcella Picone, they explained that they have no record of “SARS-COV-2” purification and are not required to by law, thus it is (in their minds) not their obligation to make sure that “the virus” actually exists. Full communication:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Brazil-ANVISA-package.pdf>

**DESPACHO Nº 268/2021/SEI/GGTPS/DIRE3/ANVISA**

Processo nº 25351.920427/2021-18

Interessado: CGTAI

Assunto: **Recurso de 1ª Instância Fala.BR NUP nº 25072018642202185**

A questão feita pelo protocolo SAT é genérica e solicita informação não disponível em âmbito da GGTPS:

"Solicito,todos os estudos e / ou relatórios em posse, custódia ou controle da Anvisa, FIOCRUZ, MINISTERIO DA SAUDE E MINISTERIO da CIENCIA descrevendo a purificação de qualquer "vírus COVID-19" (também conhecido como "SARS-COV-2", incluindo quaisquer alegadas "variantes", ou seja, " B.1.1.7 "," B.1.351 "," P.1 ") diretamente de uma amostra retirada de um ser humano doente, onde a amostra do paciente não foi combinada primeiro com qualquer outra fonte de material genético (ou seja, células de rim de macaco aka Células Vero; soro fetal de bovino). Observe que não estou solicitando estudos / relatórios em que os pesquisadores não conseguiram purificar o "vírus" suspeito (separe o suposto "vírus" de tudo o mais na amostra do paciente) e, em vez disso: cultivou uma amostra não purificada ou outra substância não purificada, e / ou realizou um teste de amplificação (ou seja, um teste de PCR) no RNA total de uma amostra de paciente ou de uma cultura de células, ou no material genético de qualquer substância não purificada, e / ou fabricou um genoma com base em sequências detectadas por PCR no RNA total de uma amostra de paciente ou de uma cultura de células ou de qualquer substância não purificada, e / ou produziu imagens de microscopia eletrônica de coisas não purificadas em uma cultura de células. Esclarecimento de Pedido Para maior clareza, observe que já estou ciente de que, de acordo com a teoria do vírus, um "vírus" requer células hospedeiras para se replicar e não estou solicitando registros que descrevam a replicação de um "vírus" sem células hospedeiras. Além disso, não estou solicitando registros que descrevam um "vírus" suspeito flutuando no vácuo; Estou simplesmente solicitando registros que descrevem sua purificação (separação de tudo o mais na amostra do paciente, de acordo com as práticas laboratoriais padrão para a purificação de outras coisas muito pequenas). Observe que minha solicitação inclui qualquer estudo / relatório que corresponda à descrição acima, por exemplo (mas não limitado a) qualquer estudo revisado por pares publicado de autoria de qualquer pessoa, em qualquer lugar. Observe também que, apesar do fato de que a purificação é uma etapa essencial (mas não suficiente) para provar a existência de um "vírus" causador de doenças. Portanto, no interesse da transparência e de acordo com os propósitos da legislação, se algum registro corresponder à descrição acima dos registros solicitados e estiver atualmente disponível ao público em outro lugar, forneça informações suficientes sobre cada registro para que eu possa identificar e acessar cada um com certeza (ou seja, título, autor (es), data, periódico, onde o público pode acessá-lo). Forneça URLs sempre que possível. Grata desde já. Aguardo retorno."

Diante do questionamento genérico e de informação não disponível nessa GEVIT/GGTPS a resposta encaminhada foi:

Prezado (a) Senhor(a), Com base nas informações fornecidas pela Gerência De Produtos Diagnósticos De Uso In Vitro (GEVIT), área técnica afeta ao assunto questionado, informamos que a informação solicitada não está disponível na Gerência de Produtos para diagnóstico in vitro da Anvisa. Informações a cerca de purificação de vírus não são informações requeridas para registro de

https://sei.anvisa.gov.br/sei/controlador.php?acao=documento_imprimir_web&acao_origem=arvore_visualizar&id_documento=1718195&infra_sis... 1/2

produto para diagnóstico de Covid, de acordo com o disposto na RDC 36/2015. Ademais, não temos produtos registrados com a finalidade de identificação de variantes de interesse do vírus sars-cov 2. Em atendimento ao disposto no art. 11, § 4o, da Lei 12.527/11, informamos que o requerente poderá registrar recurso na Plataforma Integrada de Ouvidoria e Acesso à Informação - Fala.BR, no prazo de 10 (dez) dias, contado da ciência da decisão, que será avaliado pelo GerênciaGeral de Tecnologia de Produtos para Saúde (GGTPS). Para mais esclarecimentos, a Anvisa também disponibiliza a sua Central de Atendimento, por meio do 0800 642 9782 (dias úteis, das 7h30 às 19h30) e por meio eletrônico, no Fale Conosco: (<http://www.anvisa.gov.br/institucional/faleconosco/> FaleConosco.a sp) Atenciosamente, Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária

A resposta foi adequada, visto que a GEVIT/GGTPS não pode disponibilizar informação que não detém. **Neste sentido, indefiro o recurso apresentado.**

At the next link (from the [website](#) of award-winning investigate journalist Torsten Engelbrecht and co-author of the book [Virus Mania](#)) is an email from [Dr. Michael Laue](#), Head of the Consultant Laboratory for Diagnostic Electron Microscopy of Infectious Pathogens at **Germany's Robert Koch Institut (RKI)**, an institute "*within the portfolio of the **Federal Ministry of Health***" and responsible for disease control and prevention:

https://www.torstenengelbrecht.com/wp-content/uploads/RKI_noSARSCoV2purification-1.pdf

Gesendet: Freitag, 04. September 2020 um 19:14 Uhr
Von: "Laue, Michael" <LaueM@rki.de> 
An: "Ha San" <Ha-San@gmx.ch>
Cc: "RKI-Pressstelle" <Presse@rki.de>
Betreff: AW: AW: Re: Your shot on rki.de/DE/Content/Infekt/NRZ/EM/Aufnahmen/EM_Tab_covid.html

Dear Mr. Seidmann,

Answer to question 1:

At the time of publication of our images of SARS-CoV-2 on our homepage the virus was already identified a few months before by chinese researchers (Zhu et al. 2020 (<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017>)). Other publications quickly supported this result. At the time we checked the isolates, which were available to us, the information was already impossible to be published because there was no reasonable scientific doubt about SARS-CoV-2 as the causative of the diseases. As already mentioned before, one of our scientific outputs was submitted for publication and is already available as a preprint (<https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.20.259531v2>)

Answer to question 2:

Isolation of the virus from patient material by using cell cultures is the standard technique, followed by identification of the virus using genome sequencing or PCR. Electron microscopy may serve as a control. Purification of the virus is not necessary for identification. I am not aware of a paper which purified isolated SARS-CoV-2. I am sure that cell culture propagated virus will be purified for particular tests. Simple purification steps, such as purification via sucrose cushion, have been already performed (e.g. Turonova et al. <https://science.sciencemag.org/content/early/2020/08/17/science.abd5223.abstract>) 

Kind regards,

Michael Laue

The link for the Turonova et al. abstract, provided by Dr. Laue in the email above, is [here](#); the full text of the paper is here: [In situ structural analysis of SARS-CoV-2 spike reveals flexibility mediated by three hinges](#))

The **starting point** for this paper is a so-called SARS-COV-2 isolate named "MUC-IMB1" (referred to simply as "MUC-1" in the paper's [supporting materials](#)). Turonova et al. credit G. Dobler of the Bundeswehr Institute for Microbiology for providing them this "isolate".

I contacted Dr. Gerhard Dobler to confirm details about "MUC-1" (which goes by several different names including Germany/BavPat1/2020), and it turns out that MUC-1 is another result of the monkey-business methodology cited at the top of this page. (The emails and more details are posted here: [MUC-1 aka MUC-IMB1: just more Corman/Drosten monkey business fraud.](#)) MUC-1 is not isolated/purified "virus" nor was it ever shown to contain any "virus".

Also on Torsten Engelbrecht's website are links to email responses from authors of "SARS-COV-2"

studies, starting with the Zhu et al paper cited above by Dr. Michael Laue. **None** provided any evidence or assurance re purification of “the virus”. The following is copied and pasted from Torsten’s homepage:

“Na Zhu et al. (NEJM): “[We show] an image of sedimented virus particles, not purified ones” ([see Email](#)).

Leo L. M. Poon; Malik Peiris (Nature Medicine): “The image is the virus budding from an infected cell. It is not purified virus” ([see Email](#)).

Sharon R. Lewin et al. (The Medical Journal of Australia): ““The nucleic acid extraction was performed on isolate material recovered from infected cells. This material was not centrifuged, so was not purified through sucrose gradient to have a density band as such. The EM images were obtained directly from cell culture material” ([see Email](#)).

Myung-Guk Han et al. (Osong Public Health and Research Perspectives): “We could not estimate the degree of purification because we do not purify and concentrate the virus cultured in cells” ([see Email](#)).

Wan Beom Park et al. (Journal of Korean Medical Science): “We did not obtain an electron micrograph showing the degree of purification” ([see Email](#)).”

January 13, 2021: **Norway’s Ministry of Health and Care Services** failed to provide or cite any record of “SARS-COV-2” isolation from a sample from a symptomatic patient, where the sample was not adulterated with additional genetic material, by anyone anywhere, for the requester Martiens Bekker.

Request and response with English translation:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Norway-Ministry-of-Health-cites-no-records.pdf>

Fra: Riise Øystein [mailto:Oystein.Riise@hod.dep.no]
Sendt: onsdag 13. januar 2021 14:37
Til: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@vinje.kommune.no](mailto:[REDACTED]@vinje.kommune.no)>
Emne: krav om innsyn

Hei,

Vi viser til din henvendelse om krav om innsyn fra en innbygger i din kommune.

Slik vi forstår henvendelsen gjelder det dokumentasjon på om SARS-CoV-2 forårsaker sykdommen covid-19.

Departementet vil vise til Folkehelseinstituttets hjemmesider på norsk og engelsk [Folkehelseinstituttet - FHI](#) :

Det finnes også informasjon på nettsidene til det europeiske smittevernbyrået [Homepage | European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control \(europa.eu\)](#) og WHO [Technical guidance \(who.int\)](#).

Med vennlig hilsen

April 25, 2021: the **Norwegian Directorate of Health** (which is under the Ministry of Health and Care Services) failed to provide or cite even 1 record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, and provided a silly excuse instead:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Norwegian-Directorate-of-Health.pdf>



This message has been automatically translated: Norwegian -> English.

Christine Massey
<cmssyc@gmail.com>

Public Administration Act request for freedom of information to the Norwegian Directorate of Health

Torunn Janbu <Torunn.Janbu@helsedir.no>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Sun, Apr 25, 2021 at 2:09 PM

Thank you for the inquiry dated 16 April 2021.

The Norwegian Directorate of Health does not own, store or control documents with information about patients.

Torunn Janbu

Department Director Department of Specialist Health Services

Quality and course division

The Norwegian Directorate of Health

Mobile 97735457

May, 2021 **Uruguay's Clemente Stabile Biological Research Institute** (under the Ministry of Education

and Culture) and the **Faculty of Chemistry, University of the Republic** provided/cited no records of “SARS-COV-2” purification, by anyone anywhere, for requester María Bettina Galo. Both responses: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Uruguay-Clemente-Stable-Biol-Research-Inst-Min-Edu-and-Culture-Faculty-of-Chem-U-of-Repub-no-records.pdf>



Ministerio
de Educación
y Cultura

Montevideo, 25 de mayo de 2021.

Consejo Directivo del Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable

ASUNTO: la solicitud de acceso a información pública, presentada por la Sra. María Bettina Gallo, al amparo de la Ley 18.381 de Acceso a la Información Pública.

OBJETO: La Sra. María Bettina Gallo determina el objeto de la solicitud en su derecho a conocer acerca de “*Todos los estudios y / o informes en posesión, custodia o control de los informes y registros que describan la **purificación** de cualquier “**virus COVID-19**” (incluidos “B.1.1.7”, “B.1.351”, “P.1” y cualquier otra “variante”) (mediante maceración, filtración y uso de una ultracentrifuga; también a veces por algunas personas como “aislamiento”), directamente de una muestra tomada de un ser humano enfermo, donde la muestra del paciente NO SE combinó primero con ninguna otra fuente de material **genético** (es decir, células de riñón de mono, también conocidas como células Vero; suero fetal bovino)”*

FUNDAMENTO LEGAL: El acceso a la información pública es un derecho de todas las personas, con arreglo al artículo 3º de la Ley 18.381.


Que la Unidad Ejecutora 011 “Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable” es un sujeto obligado por la Ley 18.831 a brindar información que se sea considerada pública con arreglo a los artículos 4 y 5 de la citada.

Que en aplicación del principio de informalismo a favor del administrado el Consejo Directivo de la Unidad Ejecutara va a procesar la presente solicitud ya que la misma debió presentarse por escrito en la forma establecida por el artículo 13 de la Ley 18.381.

INFORME: De acuerdo a lo hasta aquí expresado el Consejo Directivo de la Unidad Ejecutora 011 “Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas Clemente Estable” informa que no se trabaja con el virus vivo y que no se realizó la purificación del virus COVID en ninguna de sus variantes.



Av. Italia 3318. C.P.: 11600. Montevideo, URUGUAY
Tel.: + (598) 2487 1616 • Fax: + (598) 2487 5461



June 7 2021: **Uruguay Ministry of Public Health** failed to provide or cite for requester María Bettina

Galo any record of “SARS-COV-2” purified from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, ever and claimed they can’t understand the request:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Uruguay-Ministry-of-Public-Health-of-Res-377-2021.pdf>

RESULTANDO: que la peticionante solicita información sobre: i) todos los estudios y/o informes en posesión, custodia o control de los Centros para el Control y la Prevención de Enfermedades del M.S.P. y/ o privados integrantes o no del Grupo Asesor Científico Honorario (GACH), sin excepción, a cargo de la pandemia Covid-19, UDELAR, Facultad de Ciencias, Facultad de Química, Facultad de Medicina y el Departamento de Genética de la Facultad de Medicina, la Agencia para Sustancias y cualquier otra dependencia público o privado, o público-privada (Laboratorios de investigación); y ii) información sobre cada registro que describan la purificación de cualquier “virus- COVID -19” para poder identificar y acceder a cada uno con certeza;

CONSIDERANDO: I) que en merito a lo informado por la División Servicios Jurídicos, corresponde acceder a lo peticionado con excepción de la información solicitada que no se ajusta a los requisitos normativos, debiendo existir una descripción clara de la información requerida, así como cualquier dato que facilite su localización, rigiendo para ello lo dispuesto en el artículo 13 de la Ley Nº 18.381 de 17 de octubre de 2008;

II) que de acuerdo a lo dispuesto por el artículo 16 de la citada disposición legal, el acto que resuelva la petición debe emanar del jerarca máximo del Inciso o quien posea facultades delegadas al efecto;

ATENCIÓN: a lo precedentemente expuesto y a lo establecido por Resolución Ministerial Nº 38.991 de 22 de enero de 1991;

Once again, **Uruguay Ministry of Public Health** was queried by María Bettina Galo re “SARS-COV-2” purification. The answer: “*We are not aware that SARS COV 2 has been cultivated*”... even though Bettina hadn’t asked about “cultivation”, which is the opposite of purifying anything.

See page 20:

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Uruguay-Respuesta-MSP-SOBRE-COVID-EFECTOS-ADVERSOS-DOCUMENTO_Maria-Bettina-Galo-1.pdf

18. El Sars-Cov2 ha sido Aislado, Secuenciado y Purificado según método científico para elementos pequeños en Uruguay? Si la respuesta es Sí, indicar por favor por quién, documentación al respecto, publicación, corroborado por pares (quiénes)

No tenemos conocimiento que en el país se haya cultivado el SARS CoV 2 ya que exige condiciones de bioseguridad con las cuales el país no cuenta.

January 13, 2023:

Oregon Health Authority refused disclosure of all unpublished records re 21 queries re imaginary “SARS-COV-2”

A colleague sought records containing info about the “**Oregon Health Authority’s**” purported investigation into the imaginary “virus”, from 21 different angles; Jeanne Windham, a woman acting as

Public Records and Internal Litigation Process Coordinator, insisted that all “*information obtained by Oregon Health Authority or a local public health administrator in the course of a reportable disease or disease outbreak investigation... is confidential under state law*”... which sounds just a tad fishy, especially considering that covid “cases” are fraudulently classified as such based on *tests that don’t test for a virus* (as David Icke calls them) and which cannot detect any disease, reportable or otherwise, and that the alleged reportable *coronavirus* disease has – along with “the virus” – never been shown to exist;

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/Oregon-Health-Authority-many-issues-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Re: Public Records Requests - Records Related to SARS-CoV2 Outbreak Pursuant to ORS 433.001, 433.004 and 433.006 (2022-0033)

Dear [REDACTED]

This will confirm our telephone conversation of Friday, January 7, 2022, wherein I advised that Oregon Health Authority received your various public records requests related to the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. Information related to COVID-19 can be found [here](#). Except for the data Oregon Health Authority publishes, information obtained by Oregon Health Authority or a local public health administrator in the course of a reportable disease or disease outbreak investigation, including records of testing performed by the OSPHL from patient samples, is confidential under state law, and not subject to disclosure under the Oregon Public Records Act. See ORS 433.008(1). There is no exception under ORS 433.008(2) that would permit Oregon Health Authority, in its discretion, to provide you with the information you are seeking. That being said, the lab recommends that citizens explore the [NCBI Pubmed COVID-19 website](#), which has over 187,000 published research articles and over 6,700 clinical trials investigating SARS-CoV-2. The information available on this site is growing with new articles added frequently. I hope this is helpful.

Jeanne Windham

Public Records and Internal Litigation Process Coordinator

OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY

March 30, 2021: **Oregon Health Authority** confirmed they have no record re purification of any “SARS-COV-2” from any patient sample in the world: See the full wording of the request, and response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Oregon-HA-March-2021-request-response.pdf>

On Tuesday, March 30, 2021 1:28 PM, Windham Jeanne
<JEANNE.WINDHAM@dhsosha.state.or.us> wrote:

VIA EMAIL ONLY - [REDACTED]

March 30, 2021

Re: Public Records Request - Studies/Reports Related to Purification of Any
"SARS-COV-2 Causing Disease in Humans (2021-[REDACTED])

Good Afternoon [REDACTED],

This will confirm Oregon Health Authority received your March 29, 2021 public records request for "All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) describing the purification of any "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variant" of "SARS-COV-2") said to have caused disease in humans (via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; also referred to at times by some people as "isolation"), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)." There are no responsive records to your request. This will complete your request.

Jeanne Windham
Public Records and Internal Litigation Process Coordinator
OREGON HEALTH AUTHORITY
Fiscal and Operations Division
500 Summer St. NE, E-20
Salem, OR 97301
(971) 345-1688
jeanne.windham@dhsosha.state.or.us
<http://www.oregon.gov/OHA>

May 22, 2021: **Ministry of Health and Social Protection, Republic of Colombia** admits they have no record re purification of "SARS-COV-2" from a patient sample, by anyone anywhere. Full letter:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/FOI-Colombia-no-records-package.pdf>

Bogotá D.C.,

ASUNTO: Derecho de Petición. Rad.20212400025922

Respetada [REDACTED]

En atención al radicado del asunto, en el que solicita "Todos los registros en posesión, custodia o control del Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social de la República de Colombia que describan el aislamiento de un virus SARS-COV-2 y / o cualquiera de sus variantes, directamente de una muestra tomada de un paciente enfermo, donde no se combinó la muestra del paciente primero con ninguna otra fuente de material genético (es decir, células de riñón de mono, también conocidas como células Vero; suero fetal bovino)", para lo cual este Ministerio se permite precisar lo siguiente:

Conforme al Decreto 4107 de 2011 el Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social tiene como objetivo, dentro del marco de sus competencias, formular, adoptar, dirigir, coordinar, ejecutar y evaluar la política pública en materia de salud, salud pública, y promoción social en salud, y participar en la formulación de las políticas en materia de pensiones, beneficios económicos periódicos y riesgos profesionales, lo cual se desarrollará a través de la institucionalidad que comprende el sector administrativo.

De otra parte, informarle que conforme a nuestras competencias no contamos con registros que describan el aislamiento de un virus SARS-COV-2 y / o cualquiera de sus variantes, directamente de una muestra tomada de un paciente enfermo, donde no se combinó la muestra del paciente primero con ninguna otra fuente de material genético.

Cordialmente,

Leonardo Arregoces Castillo

Director de Medicamentos y Tecnologías en Salud

Elaboró: Lhernandez

Carrera 13 N° 32 - 76 - Código Postal 110311, Bogotá D.C.

January 31, 2022:

Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR, "the apex body in India for formulation, coordination & promotion of biomedical research") confirmed for the requester that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" being found in the bodily fluids of any sick human and purified (which would be necessary so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments to determine if "it" actually exists):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/ICMR-Jan-2022.pdf>

Select Language: English

Public Authorities Available

RTI Online

Version 2.0

An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

[Home](#) [Submit Request](#) [Submit First Appeal](#) [View Status](#) [View History](#) [Login](#) [User Manual](#) [Contact Us](#) [FAQ](#)

Online RTI First Appeal Status Form

Note: Fields marked with * are Mandatory.

Enter Registration Number	INCMR/A/E/21/00228
Name	[REDACTED]
Received Date	18/12/2021
Public Authority	Indian Council of Medical Research
Status	APPEAL DISPOSED OF
Date of action	31/01/2022
Reply :- No published peer-reviewed publication, that describes direct purification of SARS-CoV-2 from patient samples, could be found after extensive literature research. Various papers, like the one, shared earlier, have followed a similar methodology of inoculation of patient samples in cell culture & then the characterization of the virus. This is the best paper that can be provided at this point in time.	
First Appellate Authority Details :-	Dr Samiran Panda1 Phone: 011-26588272 samiranpanda[dot]hq[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in
Nodal Officer Details :-	
Telephone Number	011-26588980
Email Id	maheshchand[dot]hq[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in

[Print RTI First Appeal](#)[Print Status](#)[Go Back](#)
[Home](#) | [National Portal of India](#) | [Complaint & Second Appeal to CIC](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Policy](#)

Copyright © 2021. All rights reserved. Designed, Developed and Hosted by National Informatics Centre, New Delhi and Contents Owned by DOP&T

February 14, 2022:

ICMR confirmed for another requester that they have no physical sample of purified "SARS-COV-2":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/ICMR-Feb-2022.jpg>

rtionline.gov.in/request/

1

Select Language:

English

Public Authorities Available

RTI Online

Version 2.0

An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

[Home](#)
[Submit Request](#)
[Submit First Appeal](#)
[View Status](#)
[View History](#)
[Login](#)
[User Manual](#)
[Contact Us](#)
[FAQ](#)

[Final Status of INCMR/R/E/21/01028](#)

Applicant Name	
Date of receipt	31/01/2022
Request Filed With	Indian Council of Medical Research
Text of Application	IS THERE ANY PHYSICAL SAMPLE OF PURIFIED SARS COV 2 VIRUS EXISTS BEFORE YOU. I MEAN PURIFIED VIA DENSITY GRADIENT CENTRIFUGATION. IF SO THEN PLEASE PUBLISH THE ARTICLE EXPLAINING THE SARS COV 2 VIRUS PURIFICATION
Request document (if any)	document not provided
Status	REQUEST DISPOSED OF as on 14/02/2022
Date of Action	14/02/2022
Remarks	Reply :- Such a sample is not available with ICMR.

Print

[Home](#) | [National Portal of India](#) | [Complaint & Second Appeal to CIC](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Policy](#)
 Copyright © 2021. All rights reserved. Designed, Developed and Hosted by National Informatics Centre, New Delhi and Contents Owned by DOP&T

December 7, 2021:

“There is no methodology to purify the SARS-COV-2 directly from the clinical specimens of patients until the virus is isolated using in vitro or in vivo methods”, said no coherent man or woman ever.

Nevertheless, this is the latest response from **Indian Council of Medical Research** (*“the apex body in India for formulation, coordination & promotion of biomedical research”*) to a request for records of purification.

And, *“considering the biosecurity aspects, the records of the clinical specimens, isolates of SARS-COV-2 in the possession, custody or control of ICMR-National Institute of Virology – Pune cannot be shared publicly.”* Mkaay.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/ICMR-Dec-2021-CantPurifyWithoutIsolating.pdf>



icmr
INDIAN COUNCIL OF
MEDICAL RESEARCH
Serving the nation since 1911

NIV
NATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF VIROLOGY

आई सी एम आर - राष्ट्रीय विषाणु विज्ञान संस्थान

भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान अनुसंधान परिषद

स्वास्थ्य अनुसंधान विभाग

स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार

ICMR - NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF VIROLOGY

Indian Council of Medical Research

Department of Health Research

Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Govt. of India

20 - ए. डा. अंबेडकर मार्ग, पोस्ट बॉक्स संख्या 11, पुणे - 411 001, भारत.

20-A. Dr. Ambedkar Road, Post Box No. 11, Pune 411 001, India.

Tel.: NIV Camp +91-020-26127301, 26006290, Fax: 26122669, 26126643 / NIV Pashan +91-020-26006390 Fax: No. 25871895 / 25870640

E-mail: director.niv@icmr.gov.in Website: www.niv.co.in

No. 1/8/2005/RTI/Admn./XVII- 2009

07th December, 2021

To



Sub.: Online Application under Right to Information Act 2005

Ref.: Registration No. NIOVP/R/E/21/00083 dated 06/11/2021

Madam,

This is in reference to your above online application no. NIOVP/R/E/21/00083 dated 6th November, 2021 seeking information under Right to Information Act 2005. The information sought by you is furnished below.

Please provide all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of ICMR or NIV or other affiliated bodies /agencies describing the purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; Fetal Bovine Serum).	As per our knowledge, there is no methodology available to purify the SARS-CoV-2 directly from the clinical specimens of the patient until the virus is isolated using in vitro or in vivo methods. Considering the biosecurity aspects, the records of the clinical specimens, isolates of SARS-CoV-2 in possession, custody or control of ICMR-National Institute of Virology, Pune cannot be shared publicly.
---	--

The Appellate Authority in respect of the information furnished above is, Prof. Priya Abraham, Director, ICMR-National Institute of Virology, Pune. If you are not satisfied with this reply, you may appeal within 30 days of receipt of this letter.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Deepti Parashar

Dr. Deepti Parashar
CPIO & Scientist-E

विश्व स्वास्थ्य संघटन

उपरोक्त वायरल संक्रमणों का सहयोग केंद्र

राष्ट्रीय शीतज्वर केंद्र

पोलिओ, खसरा एवं खडैला के लिए रेफरल प्रयोगशाला



WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION

Collaborating Centre for Emerging Viral Infections

National Influenza Centre

Referral Lab for Polio, Measles and Rubella

May 3, 2021: **Indian Council of Medical Research** (ICMR, "the apex body in India for formulation, coordination & promotion of biomedical research") has failed to provide/cite any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification for the requester (who asked to keep both their name and file # private); instead they cited a typical example of "monkey-business" fraud – exactly what the requester had asked **not** to receive.

Note that ICRM has fraudulently claimed to have isolated “the virus” and the imaginary UK scariant, to have been tracking “the virus” across India, has developed a COVID-19 quackcine, shares ownership rights on the quackcine and is a member of guess WHO’s [Global Health Workforce Network](#).

The 1 record cited by ICMR is a [correspondence](#) entitled “*First isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples in India*” published in the *Indian Journal of Medical Research* which is a journal published for the ICRM. According to the correspondence “*The designated COVID-19 testing laboratories ...referred the specimens ...to the Indian Council of Medical Research-National Institute of Virology (ICMR-NIV), Pune, after screening for envelope (E) gene by realtime RT-PCR was done.*” Three of of co-the authors are affiliated with ICRM.

ICMR’s full response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/India-Council-Medical-Research-request-reply-redacted.pdf>



Select Language: English

Public Authorities Available

RTI Online

Version 2.0

An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

[Home](#) [Submit Request](#) [Submit First Appeal](#) [View Status](#) [View History](#) [User Manual](#) [FAQ](#)

Online RTI Status Form

Note: Fields marked with * are Mandatory.

Enter Registration Number	[REDACTED]
Name	[REDACTED]
Date of filing	25/04/2021
Public Authority	Indian Council of Medical Research
Status	REQUEST DISPOSED OF
Date of action	03/05/2021
Reply :- For all the queries a research article has been published in the year 2020. Ref:- Prasad Sarkale, Savita Patel, Pragya Yadav, et al., First isolation of SARS-Cov-2 from clinical samples in India, Indian Journal of Medical Research. This article will answer your queries:- SARS-Cov-2 was successfully isolated and characterized from clinical samples in India using Vero CCL-81 cells by observing cytopathic effects (CPE's) and cycle threshold (Ct) values in real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), electron microscopy and next-generation sequencing (NGS).	
CPHO Details :-	Dr Nivedita Gupta Phone: 011-26588980 ngupta@icmr.org.in
First Appellate Authority Details :-	Dr Samiran Panda Phone: 011-26588895 pandas.hq@icmr.gov.in
Nodal Officer Details :-	
Telephone Number	011-26588980
Email Id	devshanti[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in

[Print RTI Application](#) [Print Status](#) [Go Back](#)

[Home](#) | [National Portal of India](#) | [Complaint & Second Appeal to CIC](#) | [FAQ](#)
Copyright © 2013. All rights reserved. Designed, Developed and Hosted by National Informatics Centre, New Delhi

Yash, the requester for the above FOI to ICMR, then appealed the ICMR's response, pointing out that the study cited by ICMR was exactly what he had specified he was **not** requesting (because it has nothing to do with isolating/purifying an alleged "virus").

The July 12, 2021 response to his appeal: *"The reply provided to the applicant is in order and satisfactory."*

Yash's appeal and response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/ICMR-first-APPEAL-package.pdf>



Select Language: English

Public Authorities Available

RTI Online

Version 2.0

An Initiative of Department of Personnel & Training, Government of India

[Home](#) [Submit Request](#) [Submit First Appeal](#) [View Status](#) [View History](#) [User Manual](#) [FAQ](#)

Online RTI First Appeal Status Form

Note: Fields marked with * are Mandatory.

Enter Registration Number	[REDACTED]
Name	Yash [REDACTED]
Date of filing	06/05/2021
Public Authority	Indian Council of Medical Research
Status	APPEAL DISPOSED OF
Date of action	12/07/2021
Reply :-	The reply provided to the applicant is in order and satisfactory.
First Appellate Authority Details :-	Dr Samiran Panda1 Phone: 011-26588272 samiranpanda.hq@icmr.gov.in
Nodal Officer Details :-	
Telephone Number	011-26588980
Email Id	devishanti[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in

[Print RTI First Appeal](#)[Print Status](#)[Go Back](#)
[Home](#) | [National Portal of India](#) | [Complaint & Second Appeal to CIC](#) | [FAQ](#)

Copyright © 2013. All rights reserved. Designed, Developed and Hosted by National Informatics Centre, New Delhi

June 28, 2021, the **Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR)** once again fails to provide/cite any record of “SARS-COV-2” purification and cites more “monkey-business” fraud, this time through their **National Institute of Virology**. Note the World Health Organization logo and reference in the footer of the letter. Here is the URL for the image: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/India-ICMR.jpeg>



icmr
INDIAN COUNCIL OF
MEDICAL RESEARCH
Serving the nation since 1911

NIV
NATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF VIROLOGY

आई सी एम आर - राष्ट्रीय विषाणु विज्ञान संस्थान

भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान अनुसंधान परिषद

स्वास्थ्य अनुसंधान विभाग

स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार

ICMR - NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF VIROLOGY

Indian Council of Medical Research

Department of Health Research

Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Govt. of India

20 - ए. डा. आंबेडकर मार्ग, पोस्ट बॉक्स संख्या 11, पुणे - 411 001, भारत. 20-A. Dr. Ambedkar Road, Post Box No. 11, Pune 411 001, India.

त. : NIV Camp +91-020-26127301, 26006290, Fax : 26122669, 26126643 / NIV Pashan +91-020-26006390 Fax : No. 25871895 / 25870640

E-mail : director.niv@icmr.gov.in Website : www.niv.co.in

No. 1/8/2005/RTI/Admn./XVII- 671

28th June 2021

To

✓ S. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Sub.: Online Application under Right to Information Act 2005

Ref.: Registration No. NIOVP/R/T/21/00005 dated 12/06/2021

Sir,

This is in reference to your above online application no. NIOVP/R/T/21/00005 dated 12th June 2021 forwarded by ICMR with reference no. INCMR/R/T/21/00577 dated 12/06/2021, seeking information under Right to Information Act 2005. Please find the below mentioned publications for the SARS-CoV-2 isolations by ICMR-National Institute of Virology.

- Sarkale P., Patil, S., Yadav, P.D., Nyayanit, D.A., Sapkal, G., Baradkar, S., Lakra, R., Shete-Aich, A., Prasad, S., Basu, A. and Dar, L., 2020. First isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples in India. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research*, 11(2-3), p.244.
- Yadav, P., Sarkale, P., Razdan, A., Gupta, N., Nyayanit, D., Sahay, R., Potdar, V., Patil, D., Baradkar, S., Kumar, A. and Aggarwal, N., 2021. Isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 VOC, 20H/501Y. V2, from UAE travelers, bioRxiv.
- Yadav, P.D., Nyayanit, D.A., Sahay, R.R., Sarkale, P., Pethani, J., Patil, S., Baradkar, S., Potdar, V. and Patil, D.Y., 2021. Isolation and characterization of the new SARS-CoV-2 variant in travelers from the United Kingdom to India: VUI-202012/01 of the B. 1.1. 7 lineage. *Journal of Travel Medicine*, 28(2), p.taab009.
- Yadav, P.D., Nyayanit, D.A., Sahay, R.R., Shete, A.M., Majumdar, T., Patil, S., Patil, D.Y., Gupta, N., Kaur, H., Aggarwal, N. and Vijay, N., 2021. Imported SARS-CoV-2 V501Y. V2 variant (B. 1.351) detected in travelers from South Africa and Tanzania to India. *Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease*.

विश्व स्वास्थ्य संघटन

उभरते वायरल संक्रमणों का सहयोग केन्द्र

राष्ट्रीय शीतज्वर केन्द्र

पोलिओ, खसरा एवं रुबेला के लिए रेफरल प्रयोगशाला



WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION

Collaborating Centre for Emerging Viral Infections

National Influenza Centre

Referral Lab for Polio, Measles and Rubella

Next is a 2nd FOI response of June 28 2021 from the **Indian Council of Medical Research** failing again to provide/cite any record of “SARS-COV-2” purification and citing the same anti-science papers, this time in response to requester Trinayan Das. ICMR was also asked additional questions re PCR, and responded that it is the “gold standard” for detection (“very accurately”! “confirms the presence”!!). Full response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/ICMR-June-28-2.pdf>

2. What are the methods used for isolation/purification of SARS-CoV-2 virus?	Virus isolation is being performed in Vero cell lines using the tissue culture techniques.
3. Is the RT-PCR test approved for diagnostic of infectious disease like SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) virus?	Yes, Real Time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (Real Time RT-PCR) is the gold standard test for detection of SARS-CoV-2. For more details on molecular testing of SARS-CoV-2 please refer to ICMR advisory available at https://www.icmr.gov.in/pdf/covid/labs/ICMR_Advisory_Testing_System_v_10112_020.pdf and the WHO guidelines available at https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/protocol-v2-1.pdf
4. Was the RT-PCR test used earlier to diagnose any infectious disease? What is the accuracy of the test?	Yes, RT-PCR test is a widely used test to detect many infectious diseases such as Influenza viruses, Hepatitis viruses, HIV, Dengue chikungunya etc. it is widely used in biomedical science research. The test is highly sensitive and detects specific targets very accurately.

April / June 2021:

India’s Council of Medical Research twice failed to provide or cite any record proving contagion of “COVID-19” or causation by “the virus”, for the requester:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/ICMR-contagion-causation-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Appeal Details :-

Citizenship	Indian
Is the Requester Below Poverty Line ?	No
Ground For Appeal	Provided Incomplete,Misleading or False Information
CPIO of Public Authority approached	Dr Nivedita Gupta
CPIO's Order/Decision Number	Details not provided
CPIO's Order/Decision Date	

(Description of Information sought (upto 500 characters))

Prayer or Relief Sought The lockdowns due to Covid-19 has pushed millions of people into poverty and inconvenienced many people. The reason given for lockdowns was that Covid-19 is contagious and spreads from person to person. In the RTI I had asked ICMR for research material proving that Covid-19 is contagious and spreads from person to person. However the reply given in RTI is that this information can be obtained from google. This is an unsatisfactory response. If ICMR has done its own research on the infectiousness of Covid-19 then it has to share the same. Otherwise it has to give the specific web links that prove that Covid-19 is contagious. Supporting document (only pdf upto 1 MB) Supporting document not provided
--

August / September 2021:

India's Council of Medical Research (ICMR, "the apex body in India for formulation, coordination & promotion of biomedical research") twice failed to provide or cite any record of "**Delta variant**" purification for the requester:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/ICMR-Delta-Purification-2x-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Enter Registration Number	INCMR/R/T/21/01016
Name	
Date of filing	23/08/2021
Public Authority	Indian Council of Medical Research
Status	RTI REQUEST APPLICATION RETURNED TO APPLICANT
Date of action	23/08/2021
Reply / Remarks :-	COVID-19 related ICMR guidelines, advisories, publications etc, that can be disseminated, are already available at public domain icmr.gov.in. Please follow the ICMR website for updation. Interrogative, personal and hypothetical questions are not covered under the RTI Act 2005. There is no provision under the RTI Act 2005 to seek explanation. Regards.
Nodal Officer Details :-	
Telephone Number	011-26588980
Email Id	maheshchand[dot]hq[at]icmr[dot]gov[dot]in

[Print RTI Application](#)[Print Status](#)[Go Back](#)

[Home](#) | [National Portal of India](#) | [Complaint & Second Appeal to CIC](#) | [FAQ](#)

Copyright © 2013. All rights reserved. Designed, Developed and Hosted by National Informatics Centre, New Delhi

September 14, 2021:

Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences (Ústav organické chemie a biochemie AV ČR, v. v. i. (Prague)) advised that they have never purified the alleged COVID-19 virus from any patient sample, but convinced themselves they had a sample of “it” by fabricating a “genome” from RNA sequences found in their monkey cell culture. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Czech-UOCHB-FOI-ANSWER-14.9.2021.pdf>



ÚOCHB
ÚOCHB PRAGUE
Ústav organické chemie a biochemie
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, v. v. i.
Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry
of the Czech Academy of Sciences

Prague, 14 September 2021

Re: Information Provided in Response to a Request for Information Filed in accordance with Act No. 106/1999 Coll.

On 1 September 2021, the following request for information was delivered to the Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences (Ústav organické chemie a biochemie AV ČR, v. v. i.) ("Institute"). The request was filed by David Šubík ("Applicant") in accordance with Act No. 106/1999 Coll., the Freedom of Information Act, as in effect ("Freedom of Information Act"):

- 1) What method was used by the Institute to carry out the full purification and isolation of the virus referred to as SARS-CoV-2? Provide a citation referring to a scientific paper or a verifiable methodology.
- 2) Provide electron microscope imagery demonstrating the full purification and isolation of viral particles of the virus referred to as SARS-CoV-2.
- 3) What method was used to identify the fully purified and isolated viral particles to be part of the virus referred to as SARS-CoV-2? Provide a citation referring to a scientific paper or a methodology.

The Institute has fully complied with the request and hereby provides the following information to the Applicant in accordance with Section 14(5)(d) of the Freedom of Information Act:

Re 1)

- a) A nasopharyngeal swab specimen in a collection tube with a transport medium was agitated briefly five times.
- b) The medium was filtered using a 0.22 µm filter and added to Vero cells in a 25 cm² cultivation bottle and incubated in an incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂.
- c) Cytopathic effect on the cells was monitored on a daily basis.
- d) After three days, the cultivation bottle containing the cells was twice frosted and defrosted.
- e) The culture containing Vero cells was centrifuged for five minutes at 1,000 g.
- f) The supernatant was filtered using a 0.45 µm filter, and 0.5 ml was transferred to fresh Vero cells in a 25 cm² cultivation bottle and incubated in an incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂.
- g) After three days, the cultivation bottle containing the cells was frosted and defrosted twice.
- h) The culture containing Vero cells was centrifuged for five minutes at 1,000 g.
- i) The supernatant was filtered using a 0.45 µm filter, and 1.5 ml was transferred to fresh Vero cells in a 75 cm² cultivation bottle and incubated in an incubator at 37°C, 5% CO₂.
- j) After three days, the cultivation bottle containing the cells was twice frosted and defrosted.
- k) The culture containing Vero cells was centrifuged for five minutes at 1,000 g.

Flavinsko nám. 2
160 00 Praha 6
Czech Republic

+420 (22) 185 333
uochb@uochb.cz
www.uochb.cz

Č. 01389902
DIČ: CZ0198943

Re 2)

SARS-CoV-2 was not examined by means of electron microscopy in the Institute's laboratory; however, imagery obtained this way has been described in numerous instances in literature, such as

- a) Turanova, B.; Sikora, M.; Schurmann, C.; Hagen, W.J.H.; Welsch, S.; Blanc, F.F.C.; von Bulow, S.; Gecht, M.; Bagola, K.; Horner, C., et al. *In situ structural analysis of SARS-CoV-2 spike reveals flexibility mediated by three hinges*. *Science* 2020, 370, 203–208, doi:10.1126/science.abd5223.
- b) Ke, Z.; Otori, J.; Qu, K.; Cortese, M.; Zila, V.; McKeane, L.; Nakane, T.; Zivanov, J.; Neufeldt, C.J.; Cerikan, B., et al. *Structures and distributions of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins on intact virions*. *Nature* 2020, 588, 498–502, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2665-2.

Re 3)

- a) The virus aliquot was defrosted, and 70 µl was used to isolate RNA using a QIAamp Viral RNA kit (Qiagen).
- b) cDNA was prepared using a LunaScript RT SuperMix kit (New England Biolabs).
- c) cDNA was amplified by means of multiplex PCR using an Artic nCov-2019 V3 panel (IDT) with a Q5 HotStart High Fidelity 2x master mix.
- d) The products of the PCR procedure were purified, quantified, and fragmented using a NEB Next Ultra II FS DNA module (New England Biolabs).
- e) Adaptors for Illumina sequencing were added using NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep and indexation was carried out using NEBNext® Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (New England Biolabs).
- f) Virus sequencing was performed using an Illumina Miniseq device, and the sequence was analyzed using the DNASTAR Lasergene software.
- g) The sequences were entered into the GISAID database, specifically an isolate designated as hCoV-19/Czech Republic/NRL_6632_2/2020 under the code EPI_ISL_3050767. The sequence corresponds to SARS-CoV-2 line B.1.
- h) The isolated virus contained the described viral proteins (demonstrated by means of specific antibodies using immunofluorescence and the Western Blot method) and was able to infect sensitive mammalian cells, which subsequently produced viral particles.

Notice
The Applicant may file a complaint against the manner in which the request has been processed in accordance with Section 16a(1)(a) of Act No. 106/1999 Coll., the Freedom of Information Act, as in effect. A complaint must be filed with the Institute within 30 days of the delivery of this document. Complaints are reviewed by the supervisory authority, specifically the Office for Personal Data Protection.

Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry
RNDr. PhDr. Zdeněk Hostomský, CSc.
Director

I personally cannot read these Czech documents, but was told:

Univerzita Karlova: “This from the No.1 university in Czech R. As answer, they inform us, that “there is broad **consensus** in the international scientific community” about precise RNA sequence of SARS-Cov2, about its chemical and protein structure and it causing COVID19.”


Thus Univerzita Karlova failed to provide any record proving the purification or existence of “the virus”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Czech-university.pdf>

Existuje široký vědecký konsensus o tom, že chorobu COVID-19 způsobuje virus SARS-CoV-2, že tento virus lze specificky a citlivě detekovat pomocí řady biochemických metod včetně polymetázové řetězové reakce, je známa detailní chemická i prostorová struktura všech proteinů, z nichž se virus SARS-CoV-2 skládá, i struktura viru samotného a je známa přesná sekvence jeho dědičné informace, RNA. To vše může poučená, kvalifikovaná osoba snadno zjistit informovaným hledáním v otevřených informačních zdrojích. Univerzita Karlova však nemůže sdělit odpovědi na předmětné dotazy žadatele, které by mohly sloužit jako konečné, autoritativní a nesporné pravdy, resp. konečné vědecké informace.

Z těchto všech důvodů nelze žadateli vyhovět a Univerzitě Karlově nezbyvá než žádost odmítnout.

Poučení : Proti tomuto rozhodnutí lze podat odvolání nejpozději do 15 dnů ode dne jeho doručení podáním u rektorátu Univerzity Karlovy (ust. § 16 odst. 1 zák. č. 106/1999 Sb.).


JUDr. Tomáš Horáček, Ph.D.
kvestor Univerzity Karlovy

Czech Ministry of Health: *"This is the response of Czech ministry of health. When a proof of existence of the SARS-Cov2 virus was requested..."*

The references cited by the Ministry are in English and do not describe purification of an alleged virus, let alone scientific study of such. Full letter:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Czech-ministry-of-health.pdf>



MINISTERSTVO ZDRAVOTNICTVÍ
ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY



Váš dopis ze dne 26. prosince 2020

V Praze dne 30. prosince 2020

Č. j.: MZDR 55403/2020-11/MIN/KAN



MZDRX01DQV5W

Sdělení Ministerstva zdravotnictví ke stížnosti – poskytnutí požadovaných informací

K Vámi podané stížnosti, doručené Ministerstvu zdravotnictví dne 26. prosince 2020, evidované pod č. j.: MZDR 55403/2020-8/MIN/KAN, Vám níže zasílám požadované informace.

Publikace potvrzující existenci viru SARS-CoV-2:

1. Ludwig S., Zarbock A. *Coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2: A Brief Overview*. 2020 International Anaesthesia Research Society, www.anesthesia-analgesia.org
Dostupné na: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7173023/>
2. Na Zhu et al., *A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019*, N Engl J MED 382:8, February 20, 2020 (pdf ke stažení
zde: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7092803/pdf/NEJMoa2001017.pdf>)
3. SZÚ WEB:
http://www.szu.cz/uploads/Epidemiologie/Coronavirus/Zakladni_info/2020_08_07_Covid_19_zakladni_informace.pdf
4. Sharma et.al. *Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2): a global pandemic and treatment strategies*. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020 Aug; 56(2): 106054. Published online 2020 Jun 10. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106054
5. Junejo Y, Ozaslan M, Safdar M, et al. *Novel SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19: Origin, pathogenesis, genes and genetic variations, immune responses and phylogenetic analysis*. Gene Rep. 2020;20:100752. doi:10.1016/j.genrep.2020.100752
6. <https://viralzone.expasy.org/8056>



Ministerstvo zdravotnictví, Kancelář ministra
Palackého náměstí 4, 128 01 Praha 2, www.mzcr.cz

I personally cannot read this next document from the **Ukraine's Ministry of Health** dated March 15, 2021, but am told that the Ministry stated here that they do not have any "SARS-COV-2" isolate, nor do they intend to obtain any.



ДЕРЖАВНА УСТАНОВА
«ЦЕНТР ГРОМАДСЬКОГО ЗДОРОВ'Я
МІНІСТЕРСТВА ОХОРОНИ ЗДОРОВ'Я УКРАЇНИ»

вул. Ярославська, 41, м. Київ, 04071, тел. (044) 425-43-54

E-mail: info@phc.org.ua, код ЄДРПОУ 40524109

15» 03 2021 № 13/216-К/148-К/21
на № від

extra_law_ppg

Державна установа «Центр громадського здоров'я Міністерства охорони здоров'я України» (далі - Центр) розглянула у межах компетенції запит щодо реєстрації в референс-лабораторії вірусологічних досліджень Центру штаму SARS-CoV-2 та інформує про таке.

Центр, відповідно до пункту 1 розділу I Статуту Державної установи «Центр громадського здоров'я Міністерства охорони здоров'я України», затвердженого наказом МОЗ України від 30.06.2020 № 1483, є санітарно-профілактичним закладом охорони здоров'я, головним завданням якого є діяльність у галузі громадського здоров'я, а саме здійснення епідемічного нагляду (спостереження), виконання повноважень щодо захисту населення від інфекційних хвороб та неінфекційних захворювань, лабораторній діяльності, біобезпеки, інфекційної безпеки донорської крові та/або її компонентів у межах, визначених цим Статутом.

У референс-лабораторії вірусологічних досліджень Центру штаму SARS-CoV-2 відсутній, референс-лабораторія вірусологічних досліджень Центру не проводить вірусні дослідження з метою виділення вірусу SARS-CoV-2 на культурі клітин.

Генеральний директор

Роман РОДИНА

August 24, 2021: **Sweden's Public Health Authority** also can't find "the virus". [John Blaid](#) submitted a formal FOI request to for records describing purification of "the virus" from any patient sample (not adulterated with genetic material, i.e. monkey cells, fetal bovine serum) on the planet, by anyone, anywhere. The response: "No, no such documents exist." Full correspondence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Sweden-Public-Health-Authority-FHM-2021-08-24.pdf>

Begäran om allmän handling John Blaid 2021-08-20

From: Info Folkhälsomyndigheten <info@folkhalsomyndigheten.se>

To: [REDACTED]

Date: 2021-08-24 9:33

Hej!

Nej, några sådana handlingar finns inte.

Med vänlig hälsning,
Registrator

Folkhälsomyndigheten
171 82 Solna

Folkhälsomyndigheten
Box 505
831 26 Östersund

Webbplats: <http://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se>

October 18, 2021: **Sweden's Karolinska Institute** was unable to provide or cite any record of SARS-CoV-2 isolation/purification for [John Blaid](#). According to John: *"This is the answer they gave me translated from Swedish:*

"Hello John!

The registrar and the communications department at Karolinska Institute have now replied that they do not have access to this information. At present, the university library does not have the opportunity to perform search assignments for external customers. One suggestion is to do a search in the freely available database PubMed. If you have the opportunity to visit us, you can also use other databases. <https://kib.ki.se/om-kib/kontakt-oppettider>

PubMed contains the MeSH term SARS-CoV-2 / isolation and purification, which currently provides 5251 references. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=%22SARS-CoV-2%2Fisolation+and+purification%22&sort=relevance>. ”"

Karolinska Institute's response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Karolinska-Institute-2021-10-18-3.pdf>

REFID: KIB0123839 - VB: Sv: Tillgång till dokumentation gällande isolering och rening av SARS-COV-2

From: kib@ki.se <kib@ki.se>
To: John Blaid [REDACTED]
Cc: KI Registrator <registrator@ki.se>, KI Communicationsoffice <communicationsoffice@ki.se>
Date: 2021-10-18 11:45

Hej John!

Registrator och kommunikationsavdelningen på Karolinska Institutet har nu svarat att de inte har tillgång till dessa uppgifter.

För närvarande har universitetsbiblioteket inte möjlighet att utföra sökuppdrag åt externa kunder. Ett förslag är att göra en sökning i den fritt tillgängliga databasen PubMed. Om du har möjlighet att besöka oss kan du använda även andra databaser.
<https://kib.ki.se/om-kib/kontakt-oppettider>

I PubMed finns MeSH-termen SARS-CoV-2/isolation and purification, som fn ger 5251 referenser.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=%22SARS-CoV-2%2Fisolation+and+purification%22&sort=relevance>

Vill du se vilka som arbetar med frågan på Karolinska Institutet eller Karolinska Universitetssjukhuset kan du lägga till Karolinska. Då blir det just nu 29 artiklar, Du hittar kontaktuppgifter till varje.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/?term=%28%22SARS-CoV-2%2Fisolation+and+purification%22%29+AND+%28karolinska%29&size=50>

Med vänlig hälsning

Johnny Carlsson
I: e bibliotekarie

Universitetsbiblioteket | Karolinska Institutet

<https://kib.ki.se>

Från: KI Communicationsoffice (communicationsoffice@ki.se)

Skickat: 2021-10-14 16:34:33

Ämne: VB: Sv: Tillgång till dokumentation gällande isolering och rening av SARS-COV-2

Meddelande:

Hej!
Detta meddelande har kommit till Karolinska Institutets e-postbrevlåda. Vi är tacksamma för hjälp med handläggning av frågan.
Med vänlig hälsning/Best regards
Anki Israelsson
Kommunikationsavdelningen/Communications and Public Relations Office Karolinska Institutet | ki.se
Karolinska Institutet – a medical university

The Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport (the Netherlands) provided/cited for the requester no records of actual purification and control experiments to show “SARS-CoV-2” exists:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/FOI-RIVM2.pdf>

April 26, 2021: Once again the **Dutch Minister for Health, Well-being & Sport (the Netherlands)** replied to an FOI sent to its agency RIVM (National Institute for Public Health and the Environment) re purification of “the virus”; the Minister provided/cited zero such records for Gabriëlle Rutten, and cited cell culture anti-science instead. Full correspondence:

(English Translation of response from the Dutch)
Ministry of Health, Well-being and Sport

> Return address PO Box 20350 2500 EJ The Hague

BY E-MAIL ONLY
Mr G. Rutten
info@official-eft.nl

Date 26 april 2021
Subject Request for information

Dear Mr Rutten,

On March 16, 2021, I received your email. I confirmed receipt of this letter of 29 March 2021 with characteristic 2021.063/001.

By invoking the Public Administration Act (hereinafter: Wob), you request all scientific peer-reviewed publications that promote the isolation of the SARSCOV 2. You have also requested scientific publications that prove that the aforementioned virus is the cause of the disease 'Covid-19'.

In accordance with Article 6(1), the Wob only applies to information documented in documents which are not already public. The requested information concerns public information. Next I will indicate where you can find the relevant information.

The coronavirus Sars-Cov-2 is the virus that can cause the disease COVID-19. More information about this can be found on the website of the Government Institute for Public Health and the Environment (hereinafter: RIVM) under the heading 'virus' (www.rivm.nl/coronavirus-covid-19/virus). For the scientific reports about the existence of Sars-Cov2 I refer you to the following websites:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7159086/> and
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31978945/>.

In addition to the website of the RIVM mentioned above, you might also like to visit the following RIVM website: <https://lci.rivm.nl/richtlijnen/covid-19>. On this website you will find relevant literature.

If you have any questions about this letter, please feel free to contact the Wob cluster. The contact details are at the top of this letter.

Sincerely,

the Minister for Health,
Well-being and Sport,
on behalf of this,
the Director of Legislation and Legal Affairs,
Mr. M.M. den Boer

March 15, 2022:

The **Dutch Minister for Health, Well-being & Sport (Netherlands)** responded to a request for “*reliable evidence that SARS-CoV-2 (or any other so-called virus) has been isolated from an “unadulterated sample from a sick patient” (or a sick animal) and that that so-called virus can be transmitted to another person (or animal) and then cause the same so-called disease there*” with 2 nonresponsive studies that describe the fabrication of “genomes” never shown to correspond to anything in the physical realm, and “isolation” in cell culture (oxymoron).



> Retouradres Postbus 20350 2500 EJ Den Haag

Datum: **15 MRT 2022**
Betreft: Verzoek om informatie inzake het bestaan van Sars-Cov2

Geachte heer [REDACTED]

Op 18 januari 2022 ontving ik uw brief van 14 januari 2022. De ontvangst hiervan heb ik bevestigd bij brief van 31 januari 2022 met kenmerk 2022.023/001.

Met een beroep op de Wet openbaarheid van bestuur (hierna: Wob) verzoekt u om wetenschappelijk bewijs van het bestaan van het Sars-Cov2-virus.

De plicht tot openbaarmaking op grond van de Wob heeft geen betrekking op informatie die reeds openbaar is. De door u gevraagde informatie is al openbaar en ik zal hieronder aangeven waar u dit kunt vinden.

Het coronavirus Sars-Cov-2 is het virus dat de ziekte COVID-19 kan veroorzaken. Meer informatie hierover kunt u vinden op de site van het RIVM onder het kopje 'virus' (www.rivm.nl/coronavirus-covid-19/virus). Voor de wetenschappelijke rapporten over het bestaan van Sars-Cov2 verwijs ik u naar de volgende sites: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7159086/> en <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31978945/>.

Mocht u nog vragen hebben over deze brief, neemt u dan gerust contact op met de Programmadirectie Openbaarheid. De contactgegevens staan bovenaan deze brief.

Hoogachtend,

de Minister van Volksgezondheid,
Welzijn en Sport,
namens deze,
de directeur Programmadirectie Openbaarheid,

mr. C.A. Grezel

Programmadirectie

Openbaarheid

Bezoekadres:
Parnassusplein 5
2511 VX Den Haag
T 070 340 79 11
F 070 340 59 84
www.rijksoverheid.nl

Inlichtingen bij
mr. F. el Benaissati

Cluster Wob
E _dienstpostbusWob-corona-
ondersteunino@minvws.nl
T 070 340 60 80

Ons kenmerk
2022.023
3334863-1026144-WJZ

Uw brief
14 januari 2022

*Correspondentie uitsluitend
richten aan het retouradres
met vermelding van de datum
en het kenmerk van deze
brief.*

Department of Health and Social Care, Isle of Man (British Isles) confirmed for Courtenay Adam-Lawrence that they have no record of the following for either the alleged “Omicron” or “Kent Strain” of the imaginary “SARS-COV-2”:

- unique whole genome sequence
- test procedures
- isolation/purification
- contagion
- confirmation that “it” is not simply an in-silico computer made-up model of a strain of a virus:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Isle-of-Man-DHSC.pdf>

While our aim is to provide information whenever possible, in this instance the Department of Health and Social Care ('the Department') is unable to provide the information that you have requested. This is in line with Section 11(3)a of the Act, as a practical refusal reason applies; namely we do not hold or cannot, after taking reasonable steps to do so, find the information that you have requested as it may be held by **Manx Care**.

Feb 18, 2021: The **Isle of Man’s Department of Health and Social Care** admitted in a FOI response to Mr. Steven Gardner that:

- **“the virus” is not isolated/purified;**
- 45 PCR cycles!

and gave false info re sequences used in PCR “tests”. Full unredacted pdf:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Isle-of-Man-FOI-response.pdf>

Clarification received:

Has the SarsCov2 been isolated and purified. To be proven scientifically and proven the virus causes disease.

Question 1:

Has Covid 19/21 been isolated?

Regarding SARS-CoV-2 the virus is not isolated.

Question 2:

Has covid 19/21 been purified?

Regarding SARS-CoV-2 it is not purified.

Question 3:

Has there been a risk assessment on masks?

The Department has and does risk assessments on masks.

Question 4:

Have all places of business who have mandatory masks done a risk assessment or should they do a risk assessment, in regards to masks? For their employees and customers.

While our aim is to provide information whenever possible, in this instance the Department of Health and Social Care ("the Department") is unable to provide the information that you have requested. This is in line with Section 11(3)a of the Act, as a practical refusal reason applies; namely we do not hold or cannot, after taking reasonable steps to do so, find the information that you have requested.

Places of business are responsible for undertaking their own risk assessments and setting their own policies for wearing masks.

To provide further advice and assistance guidance on face coverings, including 'face coverings at work' is available within the public domain at:

<https://covid19.gov.im/general-information/guidance-on-face-coverings/>

Question 5:

Is the sequence in the PCR test SarsCov2?

Yes, the sequence in the PCR test is SARsCov2

Question 6:

What amplifications has the PCR test been run at?

The amplification is 45 cycles.

August 19, 2022:

Claire O'Connell, acting as Information Compliance Officer at **University of Canterbury, New Zealand**, confessed to Michael S. that they have no scientific proof of the existence of SARS-COV-2:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/2022-08-19-University-of-Canterbury-SARS-COV-2-Proof-of-Existance-Redacted-1.pdf>

19 August 2022

Official Information Act request 22.45 SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

I refer to the Official Information Act (the Act) request dated 3 August 2022 for information relating to the existence of SARS-COV-2. You have requested the following:

All records in the possession, custody or control of **The University of Canterbury** that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus.

Scientific proof is NOT

- Opinions
- Speculation
- Review papers
- Descriptive papers

Scientific Proof is

- Experiments that follow the scientific method
- Repeatable experiments that produce identical results
- Experiments all have valid control groups

Scientific Method

The scientific method is a process used to prove or disprove hypotheses. My request requires the hypothesis to include the claim of existence of SARS-COV-2 particles. If the records do not have a hypothesis or do not have a hypothesis that claims the existence of SARS-COV-2, then these records are disqualified from my request.

Repeatability

I am requesting records that only use the scientific method and where the experiments have been repeated multiple times where the results were 100% the same. For any of the SARS-COV-2 Genome Sequences, multiple experiments must have been conducted and produced a 100% match for the SARS-COV-2 genome sequence the researchers found. Records that have not been repeated with 100% matches for the SARS-COV-2 genome are disqualified from my request.

Controls

A control experiment is an experiment that has exactly the same parameters of the experimental group sans the variable being tested. For cell culture experiments, the control group should have exactly the same composition sans the SARS-COV-2 viruses. Experiments that do not have a valid control as defined are disqualified from my request.

Summary

Please provide all records that scientifically prove the existence of SARS-COV-2 as defined in this request.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Record Search

I do not expect the University to search students' computers, labs' computers, or even researchers' computers. I expect the University to direct this request to the appropriate department who have COVID experts such as Professor Michael Plank.

Format: Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Please note that the University of Canterbury (UC) does not have a Faculty of Medicine. No one at UC has undertaken any experiments in relation to SARS-COV-2. UC does not hold the requested information. Therefore, the request is refused under s 18(e), as the document alleged to contain the information does not exist.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely



Claire O'Connell
Information Compliance Officer

August 9, 2022:

Jan Torres, acting as Manager, OIA Services, **Ministry of Health, New Zealand**, has confessed to my colleague Michael S. that no one at the Ministry has any records that scientifically demonstrate the existence of **“SARS-COV-2”** or that “it” causes “COVID-19”.

Further, they see no reason on Earth to think that any government agency does, and so naturally this makes Michael's requests “vexatious” and they'd really rather never hear from him again.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/NZ-MOH-no-science-re-Existence-and-Causation-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



133 Molesworth Street
PO Box 5013
Wellington 6140
New Zealand
T+64 4 496 2000

9 August 2022

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Ref: H2022007956
H2022009478

[REDACTED]

Response to your request for official information

Thank you for your requests under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) to Manatū Hauora (Ministry of Health) on 19 July 2022 and 4 August 2022 for information about the SARS-CoV-2 virus and COVID-19 disease. Rather than repeat your requests in full, they are attached as Appendix 1 to this response.

On 23 May 2022, in response to the latest in a series of requests about the existence of viruses and whether they caused disease, you were advised:

"As you have been advised previously, particularly in the context of the SARS- COV-2 virus, Poliovirus and Marburg viruses, the Ministry does not hold this information. There is no requirement under the Act for the agencies subject to it to create new information or compile information they do not hold. Your request is therefore refused under section 18(g)(i) as the information requested is not held by the Ministry and there are no grounds for believing it is held by another agency subject to the Act. Please note the Ministry does not conduct scientific research or studies. Please refer to online scientific studies for further information: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>. You have been advised that should you make further requests for the same information the Ministry may refuse your requests under section 18(h) of the Act, as they may be considered frivolous or vexatious."

As you have continued to ask for information to which the Ministry has previously provided a response, these requests are refused under section 18(h) as vexatious.

Under section 28(3) of the Act, you have the right to ask the Ombudsman to review any decisions made under this request. The Ombudsman may be contacted by email at: info@ombudsman.parliament.nz or by calling 0800 802 602.

Nāku noa, nā



Jan Torres
Acting Manager OIA Services
Government and Executive Services

July 29, 2022:

Back in July, some anonymous man or woman working for Ministerial Services, Labour, Science and Enterprise, **Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, New Zealand** confessed to my colleague Michael S. that, despite their quarantine policy, it would be too much work for anyone at MBIE

to search their records for any that scientifically prove the existence of SARS-COV-2. They refused Michael's FOI on this basis, and also claimed that the required records are publicly available, and that they "understand" that the virus exists based on hearsay evidence. Michael followed up with a complaint, seeking remedy via provision of the alleged records or a retraction of MBIA's claim that the virus exists. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/NZ-Min-Business-Innovd-Employ-no-science-re-existence.pdf>

MBIE is not an institution that is used for scientific experiments or testing, and has not generated information along the lines of what you request. While MBIE will hold information that relates to scientific work that has been undertaken about COVID-19, identifying which of these records relate specifically to the existence of COVID-19 per the parameters you set out would require a considerable amount of work to collate. Your request is therefore subject to a technical refusal in reliance on section 18(f) of the OIA, as the information requested cannot be made available without substantial collation or research.

Information about the existence of COVID-19 is available through publicly available resources. Your request is therefore also subject to refusal in reliance on section 18(d) of the Act, as the information requested is publicly available. Please note that MBIE is not required to create information in order to respond to a request under the Act, per your request for MBIE to identify and list where to access specific publicly available records.

August/September 2022 update:

An anonymous complaint response letter indicates that "**MBIE formed part of the all of government response to COVID-19**" (sic) and the people at MBIE stand by their reliance on hearsay evidence. Further, they admitted to Michael that "**you are however correct that we cannot identify a specific piece of information which falls within the specific parameters of your request**", but continued to refuse his FOI based on their assumptions that they 1) do have scientific records and 2) it would take too much work to find them. They concluded by suggesting that Michael give up and talk to the Ministry of Health instead... as if he hadn't already done that repeatedly **since 2020**. Michael followed this inanity with another complaint, again seeking remedy via provision of the alleged scientific records or an admission that no such records exist. The latest anonymous complaint response letter from MBIE indicates that they stand by 1) their reliance on hearsay, 2) their assumption that they do have scientific records, and 3) their refusal of the FOI on the grounds that attempting to confirm their assumption would be too much work and would unreasonably impact their ~~criminal racket~~ operations.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/NZ-MBIE-complaint-responses-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

MBIE's response under the OIA

MBIE formed part of the all of government response to COVID-19 and therefore holds general information about scientific work that has been undertaken about COVID-19. However, if MBIE holds information that meets your criteria then it will not have been produced by MBIE (MBIE does not undertake this type of scientific research).

MBIE has not undertaken work to determine which of its records relating to the existence of COVID-19 meet the detailed parameters set out in your email. As noted, this would require a considerable amount of work to collate. Therefore, your request has been refused under section 18(f) of the OIA (the information requested cannot be made available without substantial collation or research). If such collation work was undertaken and it was found that MBIE did not hold such information then your request would be declined in reliance on section 18(e) of the OIA.

As noted above we did identify that much of the information falling within the broad topic of your request was already publicly available. This resulted in your request also being declined under section 18(d) of the Act. You are however correct that we cannot identify a specific piece of information which falls within the specific parameters of your request. To that end your request should not have been refused on this ground; the refusal grounds under 18(f) remain.

MBIE would again emphasise that other public agencies such as the Ministry of Health may be better placed to address your request and provide you with information meeting the specific criteria in your original request.

April 8, 2022:

New Zealand's Serious Fraud Office (that rejected a complaint re the covid fraud) admitted to Michael S. that they have no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any disease human on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/SFO-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted-2022-04-08-.pdf>



8 April 2022

Request for information about SARS-COV-2 Purification and Variants CON0010004

We refer to your email dated 31 March 2022 in which you requested the following information:

Note

Please do not transfer my request to any other organization. I have already received responses from MOH, ESR, NZ Defence Force, Universities and many more. Since the Serious Fraud Office has rejected my claim CON0008847 after almost 1 year of 'investigating', surely the SFO office has direct evidence for the existence of SARS-COV-2. SFO must have this evidence which MOH, ESR, USA CDC doesn't have in order to reject my claim. So I am asking for direct evidence of SARS-COV-2. Otherwise, SFO has to admit that SARS-COV-2 doesn't exist and actually do their job addressing the Serious and complex fraud committed by ESR, University of Otago, MOH, and the Prime Minister.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Serious Fraud Office describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") [for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography], directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on all the RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a "genome" by editing/assembly/aligning sequences detected in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by Serious Fraud Office or that pertain to work done at/by Serious Fraud Office. Rather, my request

includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by Serious Fraud Office and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus".

Please note, I am requesting all records regardless if the records are publicly available or privately available.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Complexity

Please Note, this is not a complex request nor is it a request that will generate too many records to search through. I have already done a preliminary record search through Google Scholar and have read many scientific papers on this subject. I have not found any responsive publicly and privately available scientific records including records referenced and published by the USA CDC.

We have considered your request under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA).

We do not hold any of the information you request. Accordingly, we refuse your request on the basis that the requested information does not exist pursuant to s 18(e) of the OIA.

You are entitled to make a complaint about our decision to the Office of the Ombudsman. They can be contacted at P O Box 10152, Wellington 6143 or at office@ombudsman.parliament.nz.

Yours sincerely

Kylie Cooper
Acting General Counsel

January 28, 2022:

New Zealand's Security Intelligence Service confirmed they have no record of anyone on the planet ever having found the alleged "SARS-COV-2" in the fluids taken from any diseased human, so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments... to see if "it" actually exists as claimed:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-28-NZ-SIS-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>



New Zealand
Security Intelligence
Service
Te Pā Whakamarumaru

New Zealand
Security Intelligence Service
PO Box 900, Wellington

P +64 4 472 6170
F +64 4 472 8209

28 January 2022



Dear [REDACTED]

Official information request

Thank you for your Official Information Act 1982 (OIA) request of 13 December 2021 seeking information describing the purification of the Covid-19 virus.

You have specifically asked for: "All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The New Zealand Security Intelligence Service (NZSIS) describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."

In response, I can advise that we have searched our records and we do not hold the information you are seeking. Your request therefore falls under section 18(e) of the OIA – the information you have asked for does not exist.

Review

If you wish to discuss this decision with us, please feel free to contact oia.privacy@nzsis.govt.nz.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

Rebecca Kitteridge
Te Tumu Whakarae mō Te Pā Whakamarumaru
Director-General of Security

January 28, 2022:

New Zealand's Government Communications Security Bureau admitted they have no record of anyone on the planet ever having found the alleged "SARS-COV-2" in the fluids taken from any diseased human, so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments... to see if

"it" actually exists as claimed:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-28-NZ-GCSB-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>



GOVERNMENT
COMMUNICATIONS
SECURITY BUREAU
TE TIRA TIAKI

PO Box 12-209
Wellington 6144
P +64 4 472 6881
F +64 4 499 3701
www.gcsb.govt.nz

28 January 2022



Dear [REDACTED]

Official information request

I refer to your Official Information Act 1982 (OIA) request of 13 December 2021, in which you ask for:

"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The Government Communications Security Bureau (GCSB) describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."

We have searched our records and we do not hold studies or reports described in your request. I am therefore refusing your request under section 18(e) of the OIA, as the information you have asked for does not exist.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

Andrew Hampton

Te Tumu Whakarae mō Te Tira Tiaki
Director-General, Government Communications Security Bureau

January 25, 2022:

New Zealand's Ministry of Justice admitted they have no record of anyone on the planet ever having found the alleged "SARS-COV-2" in the fluids taken from any diseased human, so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments... to see if "it" actually exists as claimed:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-25-NZ-MoJ-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>

25 January 2022



Our ref: OIA 92901

Dear 

Official Information Act request: Purification of COVID-19

Thank you for your email of 22 December 2021 requesting, under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act), records describing the purification of the COVID-19 virus. Specifically, you have requested:

"...As the Ministry of Justice is making rulings on the COVID-19 Public Health Response Act, the Ministry of Justice MUST have records for the purification of SARS-COV-2 viruses directly from humans as these records would prove there is a weapon in every person said to cause murder in other people. Without this evidence, the Ministry of Justice would not be able to rule in favor of the COVID-19 Public Health Response act as the Ministry has been, so I am assuming the Ministry has the records that I am requesting (which no other organization that I have requested has such as MOH, ESR, NZ Defence Force, University of Otago, and many more).

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Ministry of Justice describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."

I am refusing your request under s18(g)(i) of the Act, as the Ministry of Justice does not hold the information requested. I have no grounds for believing the information is held by another department (beyond any responses you have received from other agencies you have specified).

The Ministry of Justice does not decide whether a new law comes into force nor does it interpret or make decisions on existing laws. The role of the Ministry, as a part of the Executive, is to provide advice on any new policy or bill to Ministers. On issues relating to

December 13, 2021:

New Zealand Defence Force confirmed for Michael S. in NZ that they have no record describing purification of "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/NZ-Defence-Force-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted-2021-12-13-.pdf>



Headquarters
New Zealand Defence Force
Defence House
Private Bag 39997
Wellington Mail Centre
Lower Hutt 5045
New Zealand

OIA-2021-4275

13 December 2021



I refer to your request of 25 November 2021, under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA), for the following:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF) describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Noting you have asked that this request not be transferred, the response only addresses information held by the New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF). Your request is declined in accordance with section 18(e) of the OIA as no relevant studies or reports are held by the NZDF.

You have the right, under section 28(3) of the OIA, to ask an Ombudsman to review this response to your request.

Yours sincerely


AJ WOODS
Air Commodore
Chief of Staff HQNZDF

November 19, 2021:

Tauranga City Council, New Zealand advised the requester that they have no record describing purification of any "SARS-COV-2" or "variants" from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere...
...however they do take 24 hour wastewater composite samples, send them to ESR for meaningless

“analysis” and “tests” (that have no gold standard and thus are impossible to validate) which are then forwarded to the Ministry of Health. Because science. Pdf:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Tauranga-City-Council-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted-2021-11-19-.pdf>



August 17, 2022:

Michael S. has been busy again, this time obtaining an admission from **New Zealand’s Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR)** that they have **no record showing that the in silico “virus” causes the alleged “COVID-19”**:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/2022-08-29-ESR-SARS-COV-2-Proof-of-Causation-Redacted.pdf>

Our response to your request:

ESR has not performed any experiments to scientifically prove that SARS-COV-2 virus causes COVID-19 and can therefore not provide you with any records.

We are therefore not able to release any information relating to this request under Section 18(e) of the Official Information Act 1982 as the information requested does not exist.

July 19, 2022:

Jill Vintiner, acting as Joint General Manager, Health and Environment Group, **Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR – crown research institute), New Zealand** has issued yet another confession on behalf of everyone at ESR, this time stating that.... they have no record that scientifically proves the existence of the alleged “SARS-COV-2”: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/NZ-ESR-No-Proof-Of-Existence.pdf>

19 July 2022

Official Information Act Request: FOIA: SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

On 8 July 2022 you sent a request for information under the Official Information Act 1982 (Act) to ESR as follows:
This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the Official Information Act.

Description of Requested Records:

All records in the possession, custody or control of the ESR that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus.

Scientific proof is NOT

- Opinions
- Speculation
- Review papers
- Descriptive papers

Scientific Proof is

- Experiments that follow the scientific method
- Repeatable experiments that produce identical results
- Experiments all have valid control groups

Scientific Method

The scientific method is a process used to prove or disprove hypotheses. My request requires the hypothesis to include the claim of existence of SARS-COV-2 particles. If the records do not have a hypothesis or do not have a hypothesis that claims the existence of SARS-COV-2, then these records are disqualified from my request.

Repeatability

I am requesting records that only use the scientific method and where the experiments have been repeated multiple times where the results were 100% the same. For any of the SARS-COV-2 Genome Sequences, multiple experiments must have been conducted and produced a 100% match for the SARS-COV-2 genome sequence the researchers found. Records that have not been repeated with 100% matches for the SARS-COV-2 genome are disqualified from my request.

Controls

A control experiment is an experiment that has exactly the same parameters of the experimental group sans the variable being tested. For cell culture experiments, the control group should have exactly the same composition sans the SARS-COV-2 viruses. Experiments that do not have a valid control as defined are disqualified from my request.

Summary

Please provide all records that scientifically prove the existence of SARS-COV-2 as defined in this request.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may

INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH LIMITED
Kororarua Science Centre, 34 Kororarua Drive, Kororarua, Porirua 5022 | PO Box 50348, Porirua 5040, New Zealand
T: +64 4 954 0700 F: +64 4 954 0770

www.esr.govt.nz

Identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URL's where possible.

Format: Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Our response to your request:

ESR has not performed any experiments to scientifically prove the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus and can therefore not provide you with any records. We accordingly rely on section 18(e) of the Act – that the documents containing the information requested cannot be found at ESR.

Your right to seek a review

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Thank you for your request.

Yours sincerely



Jill Vintiner
Joint General Manager Health and Environment Group – Health
ESR

INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH LIMITED
Kororarua Science Centre, 34 Kororarua Drive, Kororarua, Porirua 5022 | PO Box 50348, Porirua 5040, New Zealand
T: +64 4 954 0700 F: +64 4 954 0770

www.esr.govt.nz

July 12, 2022:

Jill Vintiner, acting as Joint General Manager, Health and Environment Group, **Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR – crown research institute), New Zealand** has issued yet another confession on behalf of everyone at ESR that:

they have no record of the phantom “**SARS-COV-2**” (including any “variants”) being found in and purified from the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever. Jill also provided unhelpful, irrelevant links to documentation on nucleic acid isolation kits, claiming they have been used to purify RNA from said phantom never-shown-to-exist “virus”.

(Jill irrationally equates purification of RNA taken from heterogenous soups – i.e. monkey/cow/human /bacteria/fungi mixtures – with purification of RNA from a purely theoretical virus.)

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/NZ-ESR-July-2022-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

12 July 2022

By email: [REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

Official Information Act Request: OIA: Isolation and Purification of the SARS-COV-2 virus

On 13 June 2022 you sent a request for information under the Official Information Act 1982 (Act) to ESR as follows:

This is a formal request for access to general records under the Freedom Of Information Act.

Description Of Requested Records

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control describing the purification and isolation of the alleged SARS-COV-2 or COVID-19 virus including any alleged variants of relevance directly from a sample taken from a human specimen where the patient was not first combined with any other sources other genetic material (aka monkey kidney cells)

Clarification Of Request

*Please note I am not requesting studies/reports of failed purification of the alleged SARS-COV-2 virus. I simply request records that describe **purification** (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).*

If any records match the above description stated

please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e., title, author(s), date, journal, where the public can access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Our response to your request:

ESR does not have any studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of ESR describing the purification and isolation of SARS-COV-2 or COVID-19 virus including any variants of relevance directly from a sample taken from a human specimen where the patient was not first combined with any other sources or other genetic material. Accordingly we rely on section 18(e) of the Act – the information requested does not exist in ESR's files.

At ESR, we use the Thermo Fisher MagMAX Viral and Pathogen Nucleic Acid Isolation Kits on the KingFisher sample purification system kits and reagents to purify SARS-CoV-2 RNA from swab samples collected from human patients and direct you to these links below:

[\(MagMAX Viral and Pathogen Nucleic Acid Isolation Kits \(RUO\) | Thermo Fisher Scientific - NZ \)](#)

[KingFisher Sample Purification System Kits & Reagents | Thermo Fisher Scientific - NZ](#)

August 23, 2021:

Once again **New Zealand's Ministry of Health** confirmed for my colleague in NZ that neither they nor New Zealand's crown research institute, the **Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR)**, have any record of the phantom "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variants") purified from any

patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/NZ-MOH-2021-08-23-SARS-COV-2-Variants-Purification-Request-Response-Redacted-1.pdf>

to work done at/by the NZ Ministry of Health. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by the NZ Ministry of Health and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus".

The Ministry does not hold any information, authored by any party, in relation to your request. The Ministry has also confirmed that Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR) does not hold any information relating to your request.

Therefore, your request is refused under section 18(g)(i) as the information requested is not held by the Ministry and there are no grounds for believing it is held by another agency subject to the Act.

Please be aware that should you make further requests for the same information the Ministry may refuse your requests under section 18(h) of the Act, as they may be considered frivolous or vexatious.

Under section 28(3) of the Act you have the right to ask the Ombudsman to review any decisions made under this request. The Ombudsman may be contacted by email at: info@ombudsman.parliament.nz or by calling 0800 802 602.

Ngā mihi,



Nick Allan
Manager OIA Services

Statement of confidentiality: This e-mail message and any accompanying attachments may contain information that is IN-CONFIDENCE and subject to legal privilege.

If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, use, disseminate, distribute or copy this message or attachments.

If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this message.

This e-mail message has been scanned for Viruses and Content and cleared by the Ministry of Health's Content and Virus Filtering Gateway

August 26, 2021:

Once again **New Zealand's** crown research institute, the **Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR)**, confirms they have no record of the phantom "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variants") purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/NZ-ESR-2021-08-26-Purification-SARS-COV-2-Variants.pdf>

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by ESR or that pertain to work done at/by ESR. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by ESR and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus".

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible."

Our response to your request:

Using the definition of 'purification' that you refer to in your request, ESR does not hold any records describing 'purification' of SARS-CoV-2.


We cannot provide papers that staff in ESR have downloaded or printed which may apply to your request using your definition of 'purification' as the information cannot be made available without substantial collation or research pursuant to section 18(f) of the Act. We have considered section 18A of the Act and consider that even if we were to charge you for the time to check and collate any relevant materials and extend the timeframe of the request, this would still unduly affect our team who are supporting New Zealand's COVID-19 response work.

Your right to seek a review

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 802.

Thank you for your request.

Yours sincerely



Jill Vintiner
Joint General Manager health and Environment Group – Health
ESR

New Zealand's Ministry of Health and NZ's crown research institute, the Institute of Environmental Science and Research admitted they have no records of "SARS-COV-2" isolation: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/new-zealand-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation-at-the-ministry-of-health-or-the-institute-of-environmental-science-and-research/>

Here are 5 pages of pure gold, evidencing masterful evasion plus stunning incompetence and/or fraud from **New Zealand's Ministry of Health**. Instead of providing the requests records of "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification and proof of accurate diagnostic tests, they blathered about genomes and cultures of the never-isolated imaginary virus; stated that PCR tests have been validated around the world and

are the gold standard; and cited a February 2020 preliminary report (“The Pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 in hACE2 Transgenic Mice”) that used the so-called “SARS-COV-2” strain that had been concocted by Zhu et al. and claimed that Koch’s Postulates had been fulfilled.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/NZ-Min-Health-2nd-FOI-no-records.pdf>

In relation to COVID-19, the RT-qPCR test for viral RNA is considered as 'gold standard'. This test has been validated by several means including as a purified virus. However, the most definitive comparison is when PCR's are sequenced as they are mapped to the SARS-CoV-2 viral genome. This mapping is definitive proof that the test is picking up the target SARS-CoV-2 and no other samples.

No records describing isolation of SARS-COV-2 from a sample not already adulterated with other genetic material, admits **New Zealand’s Department of the Prime Minister & Cabinet**:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/NZ-Prime-Minister-And-Cabinet-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

March 22, 2021, New Zealand’s Ministry of Health confirms they still have no record describing purification of “the virus” and hence zero proof of its existence, and they choose to cite fraudulent studies instead (the infamous Harcourt et al. study mentioned above and the Australian paper cited at the top of this page). Full pdf response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/2021-03-22-NZ-MOH-Purification-SARS-COV-2-redacted.pdf>

Ref: H202102878

Response to your request for official information

Thank you for your request under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) transferred to the Ministry of Health (the Ministry) on 12 March 2021 for:

"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet describing the purification of "SARS-COV-2" said to have caused disease in humans (via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; also referred to at times by some people as "isolation"), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."

The Ministry does not hold any information relating to your request. Therefore, your request is refused under section 18(g) of the Act, as the information requested is not held by the Ministry, and I have no reason to believe that this information is held by another agency subject to the Act. However, further information that may be of use has been previously provided to you below (refers H202100057 & H202100059):

April 19, 2021, responding to a request that had been forwarded to them by **Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern's Office, the New Zealand Ministry of Health** confirms that no record describing purification of "SARS-COV-2" is held by the Ministry or by any "agency subject to the Act". Full pdf response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/2021-04-19-NZ-MOH-Purification-SARS-COV-2-Final-Request-Redacted.pdf>

April 23, 2021 **Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern's Office, New Zealand**, again confirms they have no record describing purification of "SARS-COV-2" by anyone, anywhere, ever. Full pdf response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/NZ-PMO-23.04.2021-2021-068-Redacted.pdf>

June 22, 2022:

Kelsey Kennard acting in the Office of the Registrar at **New Zealand's University of Otago** confessed to Michael S. that the paper titled "Characterization of the First SARS-CoV-2 Isolates from Aotearoa New Zealand as Part of a Rapid Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic" by "virologist" **Miguel Quiñones-Mateu** is merely a descriptive paper with no hypothesis and nothing to prove or disprove... in other words Miguel employed no scientific method and his paper cannot accurately be called "science".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/2022-06-22-University-of-Otago-Scientific-Method-Response-Redacted-Complete.pdf>

August 3, 2022:

Kelsey Kennard acting in the Office of the Registrar at **New Zealand's University of Otago** insisted to Michael S. that the paper titled "Characterization of the First SARS-CoV-2 Isolates from Aotearoa New Zealand as Part of a Rapid Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic" by "virologist" **Miguel Quiñones-Mateu** (which Kelsey **already confessed** is merely a descriptive paper with no hypothesis and nothing to prove or disprove, as shown above) proves the existence of "SARS-COV-2" in New Zealand:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/NZ-U-of-Otago-no-scientific-proof-existence-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



3 August 2022

OFFICIAL INFORMATION REQUEST FOR ALL RECORDS IN THE POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL OF THE UNIVERSITY OF OTAGO THAT SCIENTIFICALLY PROVES THE EXISTENCE OF SARS-COV-2 VIRUS

I refer to your official information request dated 10 July 2022 for "all records in the possession, custody or control of the University of Otago that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus."

We have liaised with the Department of Microbiology and Immunology in respect of your request. Research by the University of Otago proving the existence of the SARS-COV-2 virus in New Zealand has been completed and is currently in the public domain. This includes Professor Quiñones-Mateu's paper "Characterization of the First SARS-CoV-2 Isolates from Aotearoa New Zealand as Part of a Rapid Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic.' This study was published using validated and internationally accepted processes. The Department does not agree that this paper is "not scientific" simply because it does not have a hypothesis.

July 19, 2022:

Landon Watt, acting as Legal Advisor for the **University of Auckland, New Zealand** provided my colleague **Michael S.** with a new nonsensical excuse for providing/citing zero records that scientifically demonstrate the existence of the theoretical **SARS-COV-2**:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/University-of-Auckland-SARS-COV-2-No-science-re-existence.pdf>

OIA: SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

Tue, Jul 19, 2022 at 2:56 PM

To: Landon Watt <landon.watt@auckland.ac.nz>

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the *Official Information Act*.

Description of Requested Records:

All records in the possession, custody or control of **The University of Auckland** that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus.

Scientific proof is NOT

- Opinions
- Speculation
- Review papers
- Descriptive papers

Scientific Proof is

- Experiments that follow the scientific method
- Repeatable experiments that produce identical results
- Experiments all have valid control groups

Scientific Method

The scientific method is a process used to prove or disprove hypotheses. My request requires the hypothesis to include the claim of existence of SARS-COV-2 particles. If the records do not have a hypothesis or do not have a hypothesis that claims the existence of SARS-COV-2, then these records are disqualified from my request.

Repeatability

I am requesting records that only use the scientific method and where the experiments have been repeated multiple times where the results were 100% the same. For any of the SARS-COV-2 Genome Sequences, multiple experiments must have been conducted and produced a 100% match for the SARS-COV-2 genome sequence the researchers found. Records that have not been repeated with 100% matches for the SARS-COV-2 genome are disqualified from my request.

Controls

A control experiment is an experiment that has exactly the same parameters of the experimental group sans the variable being tested. For cell culture experiments, the control group should have exactly the same composition sans the SARS-COV-2 viruses. Experiments that do not have a valid control as defined are disqualified from my request.

Summary

Please provide all records that scientifically prove the existence of SARS-COV-2 as defined in this request.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Record Search

I do not expect the University to search students' computers, labs' computers, or even researchers' computers. I expect the University to direct this request to the appropriate department who have experts in the field of virology & microbiology such as Michael Baker & Siouxsie Wiles.

Format: Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Please also provide your official response in PDF format.

Kind Regards

OIA: SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

Tue, Aug 9, 2022 at 3:21 PM

Landon Watt <landon.watt@auckland.ac.nz>

I refer to your email of 19 July below, and our previous correspondence.

As you know from the University's responses to your previous requests, the documents held by the University concerning this type of research on the Covid-19 virus are publicly available library material, not "official information" under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA). As the documents requested are not "official information", the "official information" requested does not exist in documents held by the University and your request is accordingly refused under section 18(e) of the OIA. You have the right to make a complaint to an Ombudsman if you are dissatisfied with this response.

To avoid doubt, this is the University's official response to your OIA request. The OIA does not require decisions to be provided in any particular format. You are free to convert it to a pdf file if you wish.

Yours sincerely,

Landon Watt

Legal Advisor

Office of the Vice-Chancellor
University of Auckland

October 2020 / updated March 2022:

New Zealand's University of Auckland was disappointingly non-cooperative, opting for a sketchy "refusal" of my colleague's request. Let's face it, if the University actually had any such records (that no one else on the planet appears have) and they are publicly available, the University of Auckland would have proudly provided links/citations. But they didn't.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Auckland-redacted-FOI-emails-updated-2022.pdf>

New Zealand's University of Otago, where Professor Miguel Quiñones-Mateu, Ph.D. **claimed months ago** to have "isolated the virus", responded that they too have "no records" describing isolation of SARS-COV-2 from a sample not already adulterated with other genetic material:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/new-zealands-university-of-otago-claimed-to-have-isolated-covid-19-virus-but-has-no-record-of-it-isolated-from-an-unadulterated-sample-anywhere-on-earth-by-anyone-ever/>

March 30, 2021 New Zealand's University of Otago confirm they still have no record of "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification, by anyone anywhere. Full response pdf here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/2021-03-30-University-of-Otago-Purification-of-SARS-COV-2-redacted.pdf>



30 March 2021



I write in response to your Official Information Act request of 2 March 2021, which sought: “All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the University of Otago describing the purification of “SARS-COV-2” said to have caused disease in humans (via maceration, filtration and use of ultracentrifuge; also referred to at times by some people as “isolation”), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)”.

Thank you for clarifying in your email precisely what is out of scope for this request.

I can confirm that the University holds no records which fall within the scope of your request. Accordingly, we decline your request pursuant to section 18(g) of the Act on the basis that the information requested is not held by the University.

September 22, 2021:

New Zealand’s University of Otago, where researchers claimed last year ago to have “isolated the virus”, confirmed once again they actually have no record of “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Otago-Sept-22-2021-03-30-University-of-Otago-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted.pdf>

October 13, 2021:

New Zealand’s **University of Waikato** confirmed for the requester that they have no record describing purification of the alleged “COVID-19 virus” (or “variants”) from any patient sample on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/University-of-Waikato-2021-10-13-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>

Office of the Vice-Chancellor
The University of Waikato
Private Bag 3105
Hamilton 3240
New Zealand

Phone +64 7 858 9472
www.waikato.ac.nz



13 October 2021



Official Information request – SARS-COV-2 and Variants purification by any method

I refer to your information request of 22 September 2021. The University of Waikato (University) has given due consideration to your request as follows:

"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The University of Waikato describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; foetal bovine serum)".

The University advises that there is no research being conducted on the COVID-19 virus by any staff member or student of the University, therefore under section 18 (e) of the Official Information Act 1982 the University refuses the request on the basis that the information requested is not held.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

Jim Mercer
Chief Operating Officer

August 1, 2022:

Jim Mercer acts as Chief Operating Officer at University of Waikato in New Zealand. The university published a blog in April 2021 entitled "Sam Bailey on isolating viruses, and why she is wrong". The blog is still posted on the university's website.

Jim confessed to Michael S. that there are no records containing scientific proof of the existence of the alleged SARS-COV-2 at U of Waikato.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/U-of-Waikato-no-SARS-COV-2-Existence-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Office of the Vice-Chancellor
The University of Waikato
Private Bag 3105
Hamilton 3240
New Zealand

Phone +64 7 837 9472
www.waikato.ac.nz



THE UNIVERSITY OF
WAIKATO
Te Whare Wānanga o Waikato

1 August 2022



Official Information request – SARS-COV-2 Proof of Existence

I refer to your request for official information of 19 July 2022 requesting all records in the possession, custody or control of the University that scientifically proves the existence of SARS-COV-2 virus.

The University of Waikato (**University**) has given due consideration to your request and advises that there is no research being conducted on the COVID-19 virus by any staff member of the University.

On that basis, the University refuses your request under section 18(e) of the Official Information Act 1982 as the information requested does not exist or, despite reasonable efforts to locate it, cannot be found.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jim'.

Jim Mercer
Chief Operating Officer

November 9, 2021

New Zealand Police confirmed for the requester that they have no record (evidence) describing isolation/purification of the alleged Sars-Cov-2 virus or any alleged variant:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/NZ-Police-2021-11-09-SARS-COV-2-v3-redacted.pdf>

9 November 2021

Reference: IR-01-21-30354

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I am writing in response to your request dated 9 October 2021. Your request has been considered pursuant to the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA). Specifically, you requested:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The New Zealand Police describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Police do not hold any information in relation to your request. Therefore, your request is refused pursuant to section 18(g) of the OIA as the information requested is not held.

You have a right under section 28(3) of the OIA to ask the Ombudsman to seek an investigation and review of my decision.

Yours sincerely



Superintendent Barry Taylor
Director: Major Events

Police National Headquarters

180 Molesworth Street, PO Box 3017, Wellington 6140, New Zealand.
Telephone: 04 474 9499. Fax: 04 498 7400. www.police.govt.nz

March 9, 2021: **New Zealand's Institute of Environmental Science and Research** admits that they still have no record of "**SARS-COV-2**" isolation/purification (performed by anyone on the planet, anywhere, ever):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/ESR-SARS-COV-2-Purification->

Redacted.pdf

One of **New Zealand's Associate Ministers of Health Jenny Salesa** has "no records":

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/NZ-Ass-Min-Health-Hon-Jenny-Salesa-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

Hon Jenny Salesa

MP for Manukau East

Minister for Building and Construction

Minister of Customs

Minister for Ethnic Communities

Associate Minister of Education

Associate Minister of Health



20 October 2020

Ref: 20-317

Response to your request for official information

Thank you for your request under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) to the office of the Associate Minister of Health on 15 October 2020 for:

"All records in the possession, custody or control of the Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient)."

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something, or*
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or*
- the sequencing of something.*

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa or that pertain to work done by the Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa has downloaded or printed.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it)."

This office does not hold any information pertaining to your request. For this reason, I am refusing your request under section 18(e) of the Act, as the information requested does not exist.

Under section 28(3) of the Act you have the right to ask the Ombudsman to review my decision to refuse your request.

Yours sincerely

Hon Jenny Salesa
Associate Minister of Health

+64 4 817 8714

Private Bag 18041, Parliament Buildings, Wellington 6160, New Zealand

j.salesa@ministers.govt.nz

beehive.govt.nz

Another of **New Zealand's Associate Ministers of Health Julie Anne Genter** has "no records":
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Hon-Julie-Anne-Genter-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

And another of **New Zealand's Associate Ministers of Health Peeni Henare** has "no records":
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Hon-Peeni-Henare-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

October 18, 2022:

My colleague **Michael S.** required **Ayesha Verrall, who plays "Associate Minister of Health" in New Zealand**, to provide her scientific proof of the existence of "**SARS-COV-2**". Instead, Ayesha sent him links to the strictly in silico transcript called MN908947 that corresponds to nothing in the physical realm (discussed [here](#)), and various other unhelpful webpages. Michael responded by pointing out the uselessness of Ayesha's response and laid out the remedy that he requires of her:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/NZ-Health-Min-Ayehsa-Verrall-PACKAGE-redacted-Oct-2022.pdf>

Same, "no records" says **Bay of Plenty District Health Board, Tauranga Hospital, New Zealand**:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Bay-Of-Plenty-District-Health-Board-response-scrubbed.pdf>

April 29, 2022:

New Zealand Department of Internal Affairs requires fake "covid vaccination" of its visitors, but confirmed for **Michael S.** that they have no record of the alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" being found in and purified from any diseased human on the planet by anyone, ever, and thus have no idea whether the alleged virus actually exists:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/NZ-Dept-Internal-Affairs-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

May 3, 2022:

New Zealand's Minister of Internal Affairs, Minister of Women and Ass. Minister of Education, Jan Tinetti, confirmed for Michael S. that she has no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any diseased human on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/2022-05-03-Tinetti-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted.pdf>

Hon Jan Tinetti

Minister of Internal Affairs
Minister for Women
Associate Minister of Education



Michael Speth
conzar@gmail.com

Dear Michael Speth

Official information request for records on SARS-COV-2 Purification and Variants

I refer to your official information request dated 26 April for:

*"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Jan Tinetti describing the **purification** of any **"COVID-19 virus"** (aka **"SARS-COV-2"**, **including any alleged "variants"** i.e. **"B.1.1.7"**, **"B.1.351"**, **"P.1"**) (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)."*

The document you requested does not exist.

I am therefore refusing your request under section 18(e) of the OIA because the requested document does not exist.

You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours sincerely

Hon Jan Tinetti
Minister of Internal Affairs
Minister for Women
Associate Minister of Education

Private Bag 18041, Parliament Buildings, Wellington 6160, New Zealand
+64 4 817 8730 | j.tinetti@ministers.govt.nz | beehive.govt.nz

August 18, 2022:

Annabel Kent, acting as Legal Counsel for **"Australia's national science research agency"**, **Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation** aka CSIRO has confessed to my colleague Michael S. that no one at CSIRO has any records that scientifically demonstrate the existence of **"SARS-COV-2"**. We find this especially interesting given that CSIRO has a so-called dangerous

pathogens research team (<https://sciencejobs.org/posting/7089260>) and people at CSIRO are complicit in so-called “COVID-19 vaccine” trials using the fake “SARS-COV-2 isolate” from Doherty Institute. Pdf: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Aug-18-CSIRO-SARS-COV-2-Proof-of-Existence-Redacted.pdf>

Decision

I am an authorised decision maker under section 23 of the FOI Act. This letter sets out my decision and reasons for the decision in response to your request.

CSIRO does not hold any documents that fall within the scope of your specific request. I am therefore required to refuse access, pursuant to section 24A of the FOI Act, on the basis that the document[s] sought do not exist or cannot be found.

I have come to this conclusion following consultation with relevant CSIRO officers. These officers have advised me that CSIRO has not been involved in any research aimed at proving the existence of SARS COV-2 or any research that conforms with the scope of your request.

Rights of Review

In accordance with section 26(1)(c) of the FOI Act, a statement setting out your rights of review under the Act is at **Attachment A**. Since my decision is that no documents exist, an application for review would be limited to a situation where you consider that I have not identified all the documents in the CSIRO’s possession that are relevant to your request.

Yours sincerely,



Annabel Kent
Legal Counsel
CSIRO

November 17, 2023:

The man Greg Hunt at Australia, who calls himself “**The Hon Greg Hunt MP Minister for Health and Aged Care**”, confessed he has no record of anyone on Earth “isolating COVID-19” (sic). And referenced the ludicrous fake-isolation study from the Doherty Institute.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/EN3213-Min-response-Health-and-Aged-Care.pdf>

Info re the bogus study by Caly et al.:

[fluoridefreepeel.ca/australian-dept-of-health-has-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation/](https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/australian-dept-of-health-has-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation/)

The Hon Greg Hunt MP
Minister for Health and Aged Care

Ref No: MC21-035712

Mr Ken O'Dowd MP
Chair
Standing Committee on Petitions
Parliament House
CANBERRA ACT 2600
petitions.committee.reps@aph.gov.au

17 NOV 2021

Dear Chair

I refer to your correspondence of 18 October 2021 concerning petition number EN3213 requesting papers describing the isolation of COVID-19.

The Australian Government is coordinating an evidence-based response to the COVID-19 pandemic, informed by the latest medical advice from the Australian Health Protection Principal Committee and its expert standing committees, including the Public Health Laboratory Network and the Communicable Diseases Network Australia.

Multiple scientific studies across the world demonstrate that highly reputable laboratory medicine experts have isolated and sequenced the SARS-CoV-2, the virus which causes COVID-19, demonstrating that the virus exists, that it exists in variant forms, that it is different from other respiratory viruses, and that it causes a disease that has resulted in almost 5 million deaths worldwide.

Enclosed to this letter is an article by Australia's Chief Medical Officer Professor Paul Kelly, published in the Daily Telegraph on 13 August 2021 to address queries of this nature, titled: *The proof is in the facts*.

My Department is a government agency and does not conduct scientific studies in a laboratory. While my Department is not the custodian of the scientific studies concerning the isolation and establishing the existence of SARS-CoV-2, this research has informed the Government's response to the pandemic. These scientific studies can be sourced in the public domain.

In Australia, scientists at the Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory at The Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity were the first to isolate SARS-CoV-2 outside of China, winning the 2020 MJA/MDA National Prize for Excellence in Medical Research.

This critical information was immediately shared with local and overseas reference laboratories and major North American and European virus culture collections. These peer-reviewed, evidence-based publications provide scientific evidence for the existence of this deadly virus.

Parliament House Canberra ACT 2600 Telephone: (02) 6277 7220

2

For more information, you may wish to refer to the article published in The Medical Journal of Australia, which describes the first isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from a person, the sequencing of the virus and rapid sharing of the isolate:

The article, Isolation and rapid sharing of the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) from the first patient diagnosed with COVID-19 in Australia, is available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.5694/mja2.50569>.

More evidence for the isolation and existence of SARS-CoV-2, and the devastating impacts of the global COVID-19 pandemic it has caused, is widely available in the public domain.

The Petitions Committee can be assured that the Government, along with the states and territories, is taking an evidence-based approach to COVID-19 and is committed to keep Australians safe.

Thank you for writing on this matter.

Yours sincerely

Greg Hunt

Encl (1)

August 1, 2022:

Darius Everett, acting as A/g Assistant Secretary, Communicable Diseases Branch, **Department of Health and Aged Care, Australian Government** insisted to Michael S. that the alleged **SARS-COV-2** is real, but confessed that there are no records containing scientific proof of its existence at the department. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Australian-govt-DOHAC-no-scientific-proof-existence-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

SARS-CoV-2, the virus which causes COVID-19, is real. Multiple scientific studies across the world demonstrate that highly reputable laboratory medicine experts have isolated and sequenced the virus that causes COVID-19, demonstrating that the virus exists, that it exists in variant forms, that it is different from the influenza virus, and that it causes a disease that has resulted in over 6.3 million deaths worldwide.

While the department is not the custodian of the scientific studies establishing the existence of SARS-CoV-2 and the effectiveness of vaccines, this research has informed the Australian Government's response to the pandemic. You can find those scientific studies in the public domain.

The department is a government agency and does not conduct scientific studies or laboratory testing for the SARS-CoV-2 in a laboratory. Diagnostic assays using reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) are conducted by testing laboratories throughout Australia. For more information, please see the Public

October 5, 2021: **University of New South Wales, Australia** advised that they still have no record of any "COVID-19 virus/variant" having been purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere:



UNSW Ref: ND7149

5 October 2021

Ms Christine Massey

By email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms Massey

Notice of decision in relation to access applications under the Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009 (NSW) (GIPA Act)

I refer to your information access application under the GIPA Act dated 1 August 2021 and received as a valid application by the University on 12 August 2021. The scope of your applications was as follows:

All studies and/or reports ... describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge) of any "SARS-CoV-2" aka "COVID-19 virus" (including any "variants"), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting any private health information, or studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- *cultured a patient sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- *performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on all the RNA from*
- *a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from*
- *any unpurified substance, and/or sequenced the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.*

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing the replication of a "virus" without host cells. Further, I am not requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe purification of "the virus" (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by someone at University of New South Wales or that pertain to work done at/by University of New South Wales. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere that has been downloaded or printed ... and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus". If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Identification of information requested

I have liaised with the University's Faculty of Medicine and Research Office to attempt to identify information that is held by the University and within the scope of

your request. Based on the specific requirements of your request I have not been able to identify any information that is within the scope of your request.

Authorisation

I am authorised by the Vice-Chancellor (acting as principal officer for the purposes of s 9(3) of the GIPA Act) to make the decision of your access application.

Decision

I have decided, in accordance with s 58(1)(b) of the GIPA Act, that the information requested is not held by the University.

Your rights of review

If you are aggrieved by my decision, you may seek review under Part 5 of the GIPA Act. Your rights for review are outlined in the attached fact sheet from the Information Commissioner – Your review rights under the GIPA Act.

If you have any queries about this notice or require further information on your rights of review, please contact me.

Yours sincerely

Paul Serov
Right to Information Officer, UNSW
Encl.

June 16, 2021: **University of Western Australia** – home of Gates-funded researcher **Christine Carson**, who has spent countless hours on social media this past year insisting “yes the COVID-19 virus has been isolated” – provided/cited zero records of “SARS-CoV-2” isolation/purification from a patient sample, by anyone anywhere ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/UWA-Carson-no-records-redacted.pdf>

DECISION

In consideration of the above, I, Jay Guyver, Manager - Information Governance, Governance Directorate have today made the decision that:

In relation to part (A) of your application,

- despite reasonable steps, such as searches and enquiries being made, no documents have been found or surrendered which meet the specific and precise requirements of your scope.
- Enquiries of Dr Carson have yielded no such documents relating to the precise and exact isolation or purification of the virus you talk about, and research she has and is engaged in does not meet the criteria, indeed is specifically excluded by your criteria.

At this next link you will find multiple “no records” FOI responses from **Australia’s Department of Health**, as recent as October 2021:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/australian-dept-of-health-has-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation/>



Australian Government

Department of Health

Department Reference: FOI 3054



**NOTICE OF DECISION UNDER SECTION 24A
OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 1982**

I refer to your request of 11 September 2021 to the Department of Health (the department) seeking access under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (Cth) (the FOI Act) to documents related to COVID-19. Your request is in the following terms:

1. All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of The Australian Department of Health describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum)

Information about COVID-19

SARS-CoV-2, the virus which causes COVID-19, is real. Multiple scientific studies across the world demonstrate that highly reputable laboratory medicine experts have isolated and sequenced the virus that causes COVID-19, demonstrating that the virus exists, that it exists in variant forms, that it is different from the influenza virus, and that it causes a disease that has resulted in more than 4.8 million deaths worldwide in just over 21 months.

While the department is not the custodian of the scientific studies establishing the existence of SARS-CoV-2, this research has informed the Australian Government's response to the pandemic. You can find those scientific studies in the public domain.

The department is a government agency and does not conduct scientific studies or laboratory testing for the SARS-CoV-2 in a laboratory. Diagnostic assays using reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) are conducted by testing laboratories throughout Australia. For more information, please see the Public Health Laboratory Network (PHLN) guidance on laboratory testing for

Same admission from **Australia's Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity** (which had publicly claimed to have "isolated the virus").

And, in February 2022, so-called scientists at **Australia's Doherty Institute** confessed to Marvin Haberland (via Eugene Toh, acting as Information Regulation Officer, University of Melbourne) that they

neglected to use adequate controls when “sequencing”/assembling their in silico “SARS-COV-2 genome”, and thus admitted that their result is anti-scientific:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/Doherty-Inst-no-controls-Marvin-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Von: foi-officer <foi-officer@unimelb.edu.au>
Gesendet: Dienstag, 22. Februar 2022 06:23
An: [REDACTED]@berkeley.edu
Cc: foi-officer
Betreff: RE: [EXT] Request under FOI Act

Dear [REDACTED],

I have followed up with the Doherty Institute in response to your final question. The answer below was provided:

At the time there was no established method for sequencing SARS-CoV-2. In order to maximise the number of sequencing reads for nCoV-2019 (named at the time) we elected to dedicate an entire flow cell to the positive culture sample to maximise our recovery of the viral reads and not spend reads on sequencing a negative sample.

I trust this is of assistance and wish you well.

Kind regards,

Eugene

From: [REDACTED]@berkeley.edu <[REDACTED]@berkeley.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, 8 February 2022 7:57 PM
To: foi-officer <foi-officer@unimelb.edu.au>
Subject: AW: [EXT] Request under FOI Act

External email: Please exercise caution

Dear Eugene,

Thank you very much.
Your answer fully satisfies my request.

Is there any specific reason, why the authors of the study did not perform negative controls for all the genome sequencing methodology?

Many thanks

[Screenpresso.com](https://www.screenpresso.com)

Same admission from **Australia’s Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO** (“Australia’s national science research agency”), which is [involved in “COVID-19” vaccine trials](#) using the so-called “SARS-COV-2 isolate” from Doherty Institute:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/CSIRO-Isolation-Response-scrubbed.pdf>

7 October 2020

Our ref: FOI 2020/50



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST – DECISION FOI2020/50

I refer to your request of 7 September 2020, under which you sought access under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (FOI Act) to:

“All records in the possession, custody or control of CSIRO describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient).

CSIRO has been unable to identify any document relevant to your request. I must therefore refuse access, pursuant to section 24A of the FOI Act on the basis that the document[s] sought do not exist or cannot be found.

March 22, 2021, Australia’s Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation still has no record of any “SARS-COV-2” purified from a patient, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/no-records-CSIRO-SARS-COV-2-Purification-Redacted.pdf>

September 27, 2021:

Australia’s Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO (“Australia’s national science research agency”), which is [involved in “COVID-19” vaccine trials](#) using the so-called “SARS-COV-2 isolate” from Doherty Institute, confirmed that they still have no record of “SARS-COV-2” (including “variants”) purification, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/2021-09-27-CSIRO-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted.pdf>

Decision maker

I am an authorised decision maker under section 23 of the FOI Act. This letter sets out my decision and reasons for the decision in relation to your request.

Decision

Despite an extensive search, CSIRO has been unable to identify any document relevant to your request. I must therefore refuse access, pursuant to section 24A of the FOI Act on the basis that the document[s] sought do not exist or cannot be found.

Searches conducted

Searches were conducted by the Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness (formerly the Australian Animal Health Laboratory) and by other relevant staff and it was confirmed that CSIRO does not hold any documents relevant to the scope of your request. In this regard, CSIRO's research involves virus already isolated from human samples by a non-CSIRO institute.

(January 27, 2022:

Australia's Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO ("Australia's national science research agency") have also confirmed they have no record containing details of any "controls" used in anti-science, so-called "virus isolation" cell culture procedures or "whole genome sequencing" procedures:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-27-CSIRO-SARS-COV-2-Controls-redacted.pdf>)

The next FOI letter shown in the screenshot below is in response to a request that was submitted **on the advice of Australia's Department of Health**, and has come to us via [John Blaid](#). Addressed to Mary-Jane Liddicoat, the formal but undated letter was authored sometime after March 9, 2021 by **Dr. Nick Coatsworth**, Executive Director of Medical Services, [Canberra Health Services \(CHS\)](#), **ACT Government (Government of the Australian Capital Territory)**.

[When reviewing Coatsworth's response, bear in mind the following facts provided us by Darren Christison, a journalist in Sydney, Australia: "*This is the same Dr Nick Coatsworth who is the 'poster boy' for the Australian government's push to vaccinate everyone until they urinate the poison, and has been a permanent fixture on TV and online in recent months* (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Aep_RKawTWc). He's also the same Dr Nick Coatsworth who recently, according to *The Sydney Morning Herald*, 'admonished a "hardcore rump of activist doctors" spreading misinformation and undermining vaccine confidence' (<https://www.smh.com.au/national/former-deputy-chief-doc-warns-australia-must-reopen-borders-prepare-for-the-return-of-covid-19-20210514-p57s1u.html>).]

Coatsworth admitted that Canberra Health Services holds no records relevant to the topic of isolating (aka purifying) the alleged "SARS-COV-2". His entire letter is here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Australian-Dept-of-Health-March-2021.pdf>



ACT
Government

**Canberra Health
Services**

Our reference: **FOI21-10**

Ms Mary-Jane Liddicoat



Dear Ms Liddicoat

DECISION ON YOUR ACCESS APPLICATION

I refer to your application under section 30 of the *Freedom of Information Act 2016* (FOI Act), received by ACT Health Directorate (ACTHD) and transferred to Canberra Health Services (CHS) on **Tuesday 9 March 2021**. In accordance with section 57 of the FOI Act, the information you are seeking is in their possession.

This application requested access to:

'I am writing to you on advice from the Australian Department of Health (the Department) to seek clarification on information requested in a Freedom of Information (FOI) request that was sent to the Department.

I am therefore writing to you to on initial advice by the Department of Health to confirm whether you do hold the information requested in the original request to the Department, as shown in attachment "FOI 1937" and pasted again below:

"All records in the possession, custody or control of The Department of Health describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient). Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to:

- the culturing of something,*
- or the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test),*
- or the sequencing of something.*

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by The Department of Health or that pertain to work done by The Department of Health. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer reviewed study that The Department of Health has downloaded or printed."

I am an Information Officer appointed by the Chief Executive Officer of Canberra Health Services (CHS) under section 18 of the FOI Act to deal with access applications made under Part 5 of the Act. CHS was required to provide a decision on your access application by **Thursday 8 April 2021**.

Decisions

ACT Pathology does not have the ability to isolate the virus from patient samples. I am therefore satisfied that in accordance with section 35(1)(b), CHS does not hold any documents relevant to the scope of your request.

GPO Box 825 Canberra ACT 2601 | phone: 132281 | www.health.act.gov.au

March 19, 2021: **Australian Capital Territory / Canberra Health Services** once again failed to provide / cite any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from any patient sample on the planet (thus demonstrating that they still have zero proof that "the virus" actually exists). Full communications: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/ACT-Canberra-Health-Services-no-records-package.pdf>

Mar 16 2021: **Western Australia Minister & Department of Health** confirm they have no record of “SARS-COV-2” purification from any patient sample on the planet (and thus zero proof that “the virus” exists), and that PathWest Laboratory Medicine only does the quackery version of “virus isolation”. Full communications:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Western-Australia-no-records-package.pdf>

April 28, 2021: **South Australia Minister for Health and Wellbeing** confirmed they have no record of “SARS-COV-2” purification from any patient sample on the planet (and thus zero proof that “the virus” actually exists). Full communications:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/South-Australia-package-no-records.pdf>

May 25, 2021: **New South Wales Ministry of Health, Australia**, confirmed they have no record of “SARS-COV-2” purification from any patient sample on the planet (and thus zero proof that “the virus” actually exists). Full communications:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/NSW-no-records-May-25-2021.pdf>

June 2013:

University of Sheffield and (professor) **Carl Smythe** (who have partnered with Paraytec Limited to develop a fake-covid test that “works” in 5 minutes) claim to have records describing “purification” of the imaginary “virus” but they also:

- 1) define “purification” as a mere **process** in which (alleged) biological substances are **progressively enriched, compared with the original source material** – not necessarily resulting in separation of alleged “virus” particles from everything else in a sample of bodily fluid/tissue/excrement, and potentially involving the addition of genetic material to the patient sample,
- 2) refuse to release the records for public scrutiny because doing so would jeopardize their commercial interests:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fake-covid-test-developers-carl-smythe-u-of-sheffield-paraytec-limited-and-a-virus-foi/>

Article:

Fake-covid test developers Carl Smythe, U of Sheffield, Paraytec Limited, and a “virus” FOI:

<https://christinemasseyfois.substack.com/p/fake-covid-test-developers-carl-smythe>

October 24, 2023 update:

University of Sheffield protects fake-covid test developers Paraytec Ltd & Carl Smythe... again. Lamé excuses offered for refusing new “virus” FOI:

<https://christinemasseyfois.substack.com/p/university-of-sheffield-protects>



June 23, 2022:

Once again, folks (or perhaps just an AI platform) at the **UK's** euphemistically-named **Health Security Agency** failed to provide/cite any record at HSA or **Public Health England** describing purification of the imaginary "**SARS-COV-2**" from a clinical sample, since no such record exists, anywhere on Earth, because virology is pseudoscience:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/UK-HSA-and-PHE-2022-06-23.jpg>

By email
[redacted]@googlemail.com

Our ref: 06/06/22/HD/319

23 June 2022

Dear [redacted],

Re: Freedom of Information Request

Thank you for your request received on 6 June 2022 addressed to the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA). In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), we can confirm that UKHSA does not hold the information you have specified.

Request

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act 2000, please respond to/disclose the following:

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the UKHSA describing the purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of Request:

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured something, and/or
 - performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or
 - fabricated a genome from sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or
 - produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.
- I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a 'virus' without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records where researchers conflate extraction of genetic material from a patient sample or cell culture with "virus*

isolation", or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply request records that describe purification (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things). Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

I am grateful for your assistance in this matter and look forward to hearing from you in due course.

Response:

There are no documents authored by The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) or Public Health England (PHE) which relate to the purification of virus directly from clinical samples as described, as we did not attempt this. If you would like a purification protocol we would suggest a peer reviewed biomedical literature, citations can be found at [PubMed \(nih.gov\)](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

Please see the following suggested links:

<https://publichealthmatters.blog.gov.uk/2020/01/23/wuhan-novel-coronavirus-what-you-need-to-know/>

[COVID-19 human challenge study reveals detailed insights into infection | Imperial News | Imperial College London](https://www.imperial.ac.uk/news/2020/04/covid-19-human-challenge-study-reveals-detailed-insights-into-infection/)

<https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-1121993/v1>

[Human challenge trial launches to study immune response to COVID-19 | University of Oxford](https://www.oxfordjournals.org/doi/full/10.1093/oxfrev/obz014)

<https://acmedsci.ac.uk/file-download/55062331>

If you have any queries regarding the information that has been supplied to you, please refer your query to the Information Rights Team in writing in the first instance. If you remain dissatisfied and would like to request an internal review, then please contact us at the address above or by emailing InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk.

October 27, 2021:

The **UK's** new euphemistically-named **Health Security Agency** confirmed that they have no records re isolation/purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus. The requester wrote back with a lovely response:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/UKHSA-2021-10-27-Replies-redacted.pdf>

Case ref: 1409 - FOI Purification of SARS-COV-2 and Variants (CF)

2 messages

Information Rights <InformationRights@ukhsa.gov.uk>

Wed, Oct 27, 2021 at 3:49 AM

[REDACTED]

The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) has taken responsibility for requests previously addressed to Public Health England (PHE), your request was assessed based on the information held by PHE at the time of receipt.

In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), I can confirm that UKHSA does not hold the information as specified by your request.

We have provided information regarding the isolation of Sars-Cov2 that we hold, you may be able to find further sources from other organisations.

Under Section 16 of the Act, a public authority has a duty to provide advice and assistance. Accordingly, we have provided further information below that you may wish to view.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus has been identified by electron microscopy, which uses high resolution to visualise virus particles directly in specimen, as well as culturing in a laboratory. Evidence of the Electron Micrograph is available at the following link:
<https://publichealthmatters.blog.gov.uk/2021/02/05/what-do-we-know-about-the-new-covid-19-variants/>

Viruses are not independent living entities. They require a host cell substrate to replicate. Isolation of any virus without any medium therefore is not possible. The medium provides suitable growing environment for the virus in the absence of a human or other host animal. These media and any products added are all sterile and do not contain additional genetic material.

You may be interested in the following information on the COVID-19 variants:

https://github.com/phe-genomics/variant_definitions

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/new-sars-cov-2-variant>

A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

Yours sincerely,

Information Rights Team
UK Health Security Agency
InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk
www.gov.uk/ukhsa Follow us on Twitter @UKHSA



June 30, 2022:

The UK Health Security Agency and Public Health England have confessed once again that they have never found and purified any alleged covid virus from clinical samples (but cagily avoided admitting that they have no record of anyone on the planet ever doing this)... and they provided useless time-wasting links to useless time-wasting webpages... because "science".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/UK-HSA-and-PHE-July-2022-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Response

There are no documents authored by The UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) or Public Health England (PHE) which relate to the purification of virus directly from clinical samples as described, as we did not attempt this. If you would like a purification protocol we would suggest a peer reviewed biomedical literature, citations can be found at [PubMed \(nih.gov\)](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

No records of "SARS-COV-2" isolation, admits the **U.K. Department of Health and Social Care** (note: there are not 1, not 2, not 3, not 4, but 5 such responses from DHSC – the most recent dated October 11, 2021): <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/u-k-dept-of-health-and-social-care-has-no-record-of-covid-19-virus-isolation/>



Department of Health & Social Care

Freedom of Information Team
Department of Health and Social Care
39 Victoria Street
London SW1H 0EU

www.gov.uk/dhsc


DHSC does not hold the information you have requested.

[Note **The U.K. Department of Health and Social Care** has now kept us waiting for almost 1 year on an FOI request for (at most) 3 days worth of analysis on the alleged “alpha variant” announced by Matt Hancock on December 14, 2020:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/UK-DHSC-handling-of-Dec14-FOI-re-socalled-variant.pdf>]

UK's Government Office for Science has no record of “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/uks-government-office-for-science-has-no-record-of-a-covid-19-virus-isolated-from-an-unadulterated-sample-anywhere-on-earth-by-anyone-ever/>



Date 2/10/20
Ref no: GOS-COV-040920-0068

Thank you for your email of 4/9/20 where you requested the following information:

"All records in the possession, custody or control of the Government Office for Science describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient)."

Response

We do not hold the information you have requested. This information may be available from DHSC

September 20, 2021: **UK's Government Office for Science** confirmed once again "we do not hold the information you have requested", re "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variants") isolation/purification, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/2021-09-21-Government-Office-of-Science-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted.pdf>

(January 25, 2022:

The UK's Government Office for Science (Go-Science) confirmed they also have no record containing details of any "controls" used in anti-science, so-called "virus isolation" cell culture procedures or "whole genome sequencing" procedures:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/2022-01-26-Government-Office-of-Science-SARS-COV-2-controls-Redacted.pdf>)

April 9, 2022:

This FOI response from **Metropolitan Police, UK** very strongly suggests that the people involved in their **COVID-19 crime investigation (reference number 6029679/21)** are either:

- 1) wildly unorganized and incompetent, or
- 2) participating in a cover-up of worldwide fraud and crimes against humanity.

We required the people acting for Metro Police to provide records that they ought to have sought as part of their investigation – records describing the first and absolutely essential (but not sufficient) step in proving the existence of the alleged "virus" (**purification from bodily fluid/tissue**), OR, if they have no such records (since none exist anywhere in the world) **studies that in their opinion prove the existence of "SARS-COV-2"**.

The people at **Metropolitan Police** claim that they would need more than 18 hours to search for said records, and hide behind an exemption based on said claim.

See page 22:

"DECISION

This letter is to inform you that it will not be possible to respond to your request within the cost threshold...Please also note that when one part of a request is refused because the cost of completing that part of the request would exceed the appropriate limit, this results in the refusal of the request in its entirety....

REASON FOR DECISION

Section 12(2) – Exemption where cost of compliance exceeds appropriate limit The Section 12

*Exemption applies because **any attempt to fulfil this request would require an extensive manual search to ascertain whether any of the information requested is held...***

The appropriate limit has been specified in regulations and for agencies outside central Government, this is set at £450.00. This represents the estimated cost of one person spending 18 hours [at a rate of £25 per hour] in determining whether the MPS holds the information and then locating, retrieving and extracting the information."

*(As noted in my response: "**Philip Hyland** tells me that one of the first points he made in the crime complaint was that there was an **onus on the MHRA to establish whether the virus really exists**, and he referred to my **worldwide collection of FOIs responses** showing that no queried public body held an isolated/purified virus and hence could not scientifically establish existence. I also provided 2 statements to the investigative team, advising them of the FOIs and their significance based on simple logic that anyone can understand.")*

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/London-MOPAC-and-MPS-final-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

March 8, 2022:

London Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime (MOPAC) confirmed they have no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any diseased human on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever, and that the men in charge of the Metropolitan Police COVID-19 crime investigation (file number 6029679/21) that was wrongfully shut down also have no record to prove "its" alleged existence. See page 8:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/London-MOPAC-and-MPS-final-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

FOI response 445 Christine Massey "SARS-COV-2" purification 08.03.2022

MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>
Cc: MOPAC FOI <FOI@mopac.london.gov.uk>

Tue, Mar 8, 2022 at 9:40 AM

Dear Christine

Thank you for your Freedom of Information (FOI) request of 8 March to the Mayor's Office for Policing And Crime (MOPAC). I confirm that your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and that MOPAC does not hold the requested information.

The Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) may be able to assist you and you can send your request to them, here:

<https://www.met.police.uk/rqo/request/ri/request-information/rip/request-information-police/>

Same, from the **UK's Cabinet Office** and the **UK Prime Minister's Office**, in response to a query from Marc Horn. See here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Cabinet-Office-isolation-FOI2020-10121-Reply.pdf> and here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Prime-Ministers-Office-FOI-reply-isolation-SARS-COV-2.pdf>

January 14, 2022:

The **UK Cabinet Office** once again confirmed for Marc Horn that they have no record of:

- the alleged “virus” having been found in the bodily fluids of any patient on Earth and purified so that “it” could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments;
- a unique RNA sequence that is tested for by any testing method which identifies the presence of the imaginary virus and no other genetic material;
- an unique harm caused by the imaginary virus;
- experimental evidence of transmission of the imaginary virus:

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/817480/response/1951835/attach/3/CO%20FOI%20response%20FOI2021%2023894.pdf?cookie_passthrough=1

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/UK-Cabinet-Office-Jan-2022.pdf>

We are writing to advise you that following a search of our paper and electronic records, we have established that the information you requested is not held by the Cabinet Office.

You may wish to try contacting the UK Health Security Agency at this link: <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/uk-health-security-agency> who may be able to help you with your request.

Here is a sketchy FOI reply from the **U.K. Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency** (obtained by Mr. Athanasios Kandias). The agency provided/cited no records re “SARS-COV-2” isolation. Their response includes an (apparently fraudulent) claim that such records are available in the public domain, but they provided zero links/citations despite having been asked for the location of any such records. Excerpts are shown below. Full response: https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/documents_held_showing_sars_cov2_2#incoming-1670059
Pdf: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/UK-Medicines-and-Healthcare-products-Regulatory-Agency-no-isolation-records.pdf>


May 4, 2021: **University of Warwick** (UK) admits that they hold no record describing “SARS-COV-2” purification from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, ever:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/University-of-Warwick-F352.20-21-May-4-2021.pdf>

Regarding “BNT162b2”, the mRNA ingredient in the Pfizer-BioNTech “Covid-19 vaccine” that is transcribed from a genetic template that allegedly encodes the allegedly viral spike (S) protein of the alleged “SARS-COV-2 virus”, **U.K. Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency** admitted to

investigative journalist Frances Leader that: the genetic template on which it (“BNT162b2”) is based “does not come directly from an isolated virus from an infected person”, rather it “was generated via a combination of gene synthesis and recombinant DNA technology”. The email exchange is available here: <https://hive.blog/proofofbrain/@francesleader/email-exchange-with-mhra-sarscov2-mrna-genomic-sequence-is-synthetic-3rd-edition>

and in a pdf here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/UK-MHRA-emails-w-FL.pdf>.



No EM photos of purified “SARS-COV-2”, no peer reviewed paper with the genome of purified “SARS-COV-2”, no proof that “the virus” causes “COVID-19”, etc — says **UK’s Cabinet Office** in response to the queries shown below from Bartholomeus Lakeman; full letter here: https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/666330/response/1589609/attach/3/FOI2020%2006375%20Draft%201.pdf?cookie_passthrough=1 and preserved here:

22/06/2020

Dear Bartholomeus Lakeman

I refer to your request where you asked:

"1) Is there an electron micrograph of the pure and fully characterised virus (SARS-CoV-2)?

2. What is the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper in which said virus is illustrated and its full genetic information described?

3. What is the name of the primary specialist peer reviewed paper which provides unequivocal proof that the 'Covid-19' virus is the sole cause of a particular disease?

4. Where is (if there is proof of SARS-CoV-2) its antibody test that fulfils the Koch postulates and has a false positive below 30%; that can confirm being infected by SARS-CoV-2?"

I am writing to advise you that following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by the Cabinet Office.

No records re isolation of "SARS-COV-2" from an unadulterated sample, says the **UK's House of Commons**, in response to a query from Marc Horn: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/House-of-Commons-FOI-reply-isolation-SARS-COV-2.pdf>

Same, from the **UK's House of Lords**, in response to a query from Marc Horn: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/House-of-Lords-FOI-reply-isolation-SARS-COV-2.pdf>

January 31, 2022:

West Sussex, UK responded to a series of FOI "wishes" from "Hobbs" for records of **various alleged/ "viruses"** being found and **purified from bodily fluid/tissue/excrement** by anyone, anywhere on the planet, **and/or proving a causal link** between said alleged "virus" and the relevant dis-ease. "The Authority" responded that they had now completed a search for the information and "may" hold relevant records (lol), however rather than handing over the imaginary records that they "may" have, they were choosing to apply an "exemption" based on "vexatious or repeated requests"... which of course makes no sense.

The "viruses" listed in the rejected "wishes" include **"Ebola virus"**.

Communications:

(On the last page, the sender of the response appears to have forgotten to delete some draft wording that they ended up choosing not to use.)

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/West-Sussex-many-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Reports and records held by the council regarding the purification of Zika and other Viruses

We have now completed a search for the information which you requested and confirm that this Authority may hold data relevant to your request.

However the Authority is applying the exemption in section 14(1) of the Act.

Although the Authority does not regard the request as patently vexatious, the likelihood of complying would be burdensome and cause a significant burden and impact, resulting in a disproportionate or unjustified level of disruption and we are therefore applying the exemption under section 14 of the Act. Under the terms of the Act, the statutory wording we are required to use for this exemption is: 'Vexatious or repeated requests'.

November 18, 2021:

The unidentified people working on the Disclosures Team, Customer Relations and Information, **Cheshire West and Chester Council, UK** confessed to our dedicated FOI-filer in England that they have no record describing any alleged “**Zika virus, HIV, Ebolavirus, Polio virus, MERS virus, Measles virus, HPV, Influenza virus or SARSCOV-2**” being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified, by anyone, anywhere, ever in order for “it” to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka “science”).

Subject: RFI 4618 Freedom of Information Request

X-Pm-Spam: OyeiAlic37iBOUChpR3Y2bi4AiOiuHVZb8miiACLWbfxNvc2imUcOBi7BJCIIQFR QjU1xiAOLACIWVERjlgocgMjgSwfINnyiQWaiOIAE5Nj2jANYI MBysONXaWZgQ4gaWu2wdbFWpwNHbWalt5ILmcF1db0iWgAjl1UGBhFX0fE9TUFETTBUEU6YhFIE gE8TbFW0ih2YycTBYgUEjmvCbJ3kt4GXCmW4NQJ h52ZHdyVBmZStm9cX4Gg0VXYGay9MgJ3tG9ZYWug4GXCmX4RLIEU1SUI0HEVKTeli1Nhc3g2UZ aFGzgEGIERJtBvTSEiBcSByzudWaXY1RUscmvG5IdBC HlpN5hZ2yHVdZBSmt9mcGXg452ZWwWxZcUGtvJnZSbkB1hb2cW5ab0iWgAjlURPJ9HTVf1ZTRtEJ B9VTSVGB9tcmvEdldVmyl1mbnbgQRkYWzmVccByhg
X-Pm-Origin: external
X-Pm-Transfer-Encoding: TLSv1.2 with cipher ECDHE-RSA-AES256-GCM-SHA384 (256/256 bits)
X-Pm-Content-Encoding: on-delivery
X-Pm-SpamScore: 0
X-Pm-Spam-Action: dunno

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your requests for information dated 21st October 2021 which have been logged as RFI 4618 and dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Your requests related to purification studies/reports/records regarding Zika Virus, HIV, Ebolavirus, Polio, MERS, Virus, Measles, HPV, Influenza and SARS-COV-2.

We confirm that Cheshire West and Chester Council does not hold information relating to your request. We suggest the UK Health Security Agency is the authority most likely to hold information. The contact details for the UK Health Security Agency are available on the following link: [UK Health Security Agency - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](#) or:-

Public Information Access Office
Wellington House
133-155 Waterloo Road
London
SE1 8UG
United Kingdom
Email

InformationRights@UKHSA.gov.uk

The Council considers that your request has been answered in full by either confirming that information is not held, providing you with the information requested, or explaining why any information has been withheld and the reasons for any redaction. Where applicable, the Council has also told you the reasons for the delay in responding to your request.

If you are unhappy with the way your request for information has been handled you can request a review by writing to the Disclosures Team within 40 working days from the date of the Council's response.

You are entitled to a review by the Council if:

- You are dissatisfied with the Council's explanation of why the application was not dealt with within the 20 working day time limit.
- All the information requested is not being disclosed and you have not received an explanation why some information is not being disclosed.
- A reason for the disclosures under the request being refused is not received.
- You consider that exemptions have been wrongly applied, and/or
- You consider that a fee has been wrongly applied.

Please set out your grounds for seeking a review together with what specific part of your request those grounds apply to and the outcome you are seeking. The Council reserves the right to ask you for clarification of the grounds for your review request if the grounds are not clear, and to delay commencing the review if such grounds are not provided.

The Disclosures Team can be contacted by email via: foi@cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk or at the following address:

Disclosures Team
Cheshire West and Chester Council
4 Civic Way
Ellesmere Port
CH64 0BE

The Disclosures Team will acknowledge a request for an internal review within 5 working days and complete the review as soon as possible and no later than 40 working days from receipt of the request.

More information about the Council's internal review process can be found via:

http://www.cheshirewestandchester.gov.uk/your_council/policies_and_performance/council_plans_and_strategies/freedom_of_information_policy/foi_internal_review_process.aspx

If you remain dissatisfied following the outcome of your review, you have a right of appeal to the Information Commissioner at:

The Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire SK9 5AF

Telephone: 08456 30 60 60 or 01625 54 57 45

October 22, 2021:

Someone at **Somerset County Council, United Kingdom** confessed to our dedicated FOI friend in England that no one there has any record that describes any alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified, by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Somerset-County-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Our Response:

The information you requested is not held by Somerset County Council.

Please quote the reference number 8589749 in any future communications.

October 28th, 2021:

Steve Hanratty who acts as a Business Manager, **Sunderland City Council, UK** confessed to our dedicated FOI-filer in England that the people of Sunderland City Council have no record of any alleged "**SARS-COV-2**" being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of a human and purified... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Sunderland-City-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Subject **Sunderland [NE England] Fw: FOI_4269 - Covid-19 Virus**
From [REDACTED]
To christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>
Date 2022-06-03 05:35 PM



-
- North East Sunderland – Gerry Taylor.pdf (~492 KB)
-

Hello Christine,

Is this FOI any good to you considering the format is not what was requested?

[REDACTED]

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

----- Original Message -----
On Thursday, October 28th, 2021 at 15:03, OCEFOI <OCEFOI@sunderland.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

I refer to your recent request for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. I can confirm that the Council does not hold this information, as detailed below.

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Sunderland City Council does not hold any of the requested records

This information has been provided in response to a Freedom of Information request and this must be acknowledged in any subsequent use of the information. In addition, the authority must be notified of any proposed re-use of this information for commercial or other purposes.

I hope this is satisfactory. If, however, you are dissatisfied with our response to your request for information, you can ask for the decision to be reviewed in reply to this letter, however any request for review must be submitted within 40 days of the date of this freedom of information response. The review will be removed from the Directorate and coordinated by the Council's team of Solicitors. A request for review should be directed, by email to freedom.information@sunderland.gov.uk by post or by hand addressed to Business Support, Sunderland City Council, Civic Centre, Burdon Road, Sunderland. SR2 7DN.

If this fails to resolve your concerns, then you have the right to apply to the Information Commissioner for a decision.

Kind Regards

November 1, 2021:

Wiltshire Council, UK confessed to our dedicated FOI-filer in England that they have no record describing any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or "variant") being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/Wiltshire-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act) the Council is obliged to:

- Let you know whether we hold the information you have requested
- Provide you with the requested information, if held, (subject to any exemptions to disclosure that may apply)

I can confirm that following searches the council does not hold the information you requested; this may be something that the [World Health Organisation](#) or [UK Health Security Agency](#) could help with.

If you are dissatisfied with the response to your request you can ask for a review of the handling of your request for information. Details of the complaints procedure are below

Best wishes,

Daniella Heard

Information Governance Officer

Wiltshire Council | County Hall | Bythesea Road | Trowbridge | BA14 8JN

Email: InformationGovernance@wiltshire.gov.uk | Web: www.wiltshire.gov.uk

Tel: 0300 456 0100 (Customer Services)

November 4, 2021:

The “Information Management Team” at **London Borough of Hackney** confessed to our dedicated FOI-collector in England that they have no record describing any alleged “**SARS-COV-2**” (or “variant”) being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for “it” to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka “science”):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/LONDON-HACKNEY-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

The Council's Response

We have detailed below the information that is being released to you.



Public Health for the City and Hackney do not hold the information requested. This

October 21, 2021:

Kirklees Council confessed to our dedicated FOI-collector in England that they have no record describing any alleged “SARS-COV-2” (or “variant”) being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for “it” to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka “science”):<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Kirklees-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Dear [REDACTED]

I am writing in response to your request dated 19/10/2021. This has been dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

You asked for the following information:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19. Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- ☒ cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- ☒ performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- ☒ fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- ☒ produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.

The Council's response to your specific questions is set out below:

Kirklees Council do not hold any information relating to your request.

If you are not content with the handling of your request, you have the right to ask for an internal review. Requests for internal reviews should be submitted **within 2 months of**

www.kirklees.gov.uk

May 28, 2021:

Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea confessed to our dedicated FOI-collector in England that they have no record describing any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or "variant") being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Royal-Borough-Kensington-and-Chelsea-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

October 21, 2021:

Derby City Council (UK) confirmed for the FOI requester that they have no scientific evidence that SARS-COV-2 has been isolated and actually exists anywhere in the world.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Derby-City-Council.pdf>

FOI211565413 - Response & Internal Review Decision

Inbox



foi@derby.gov.uk

Tue, Oct 12,
2021, 11:30 AM

to me

Dear [REDACTED]

The Council does not hold the information requested - please see attached response template for more information.

January 13, 2022:

University Hospitals of Derby and Burton, of the National Health Service (UK), confirmed for the FOI requester that they have no scientific evidence that SARS-COV-2 has been isolated and actually exists anywhere in the world.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 January 2022 17:24
To: FOI (UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF DERBY AND BURTON NHS FOUNDATION TRUST)
<uhdb.foi@nhs.net>
Subject: SARS covid

Hi

As a matter of information I would request an answer to the following question.
Could you please supply me with any scientific evidence that you have to say that
SARS covid 2 and SARS covid 19 has been isolated and actually exists anywhere in
the world ?

Regards
[REDACTED]

FOI.22.015

Inbox



**FOI (UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF DERBY AND
BURTON NHS FOUNDATION TRUST)**

Thu, Jan 13, 1:45
PM (22 hours ago)

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

Further to your enquiry below, I can confirm that our Trust, as an independent NHS
organisation, does not hold this information.

May we would refer you to the World Health Organisation (WHO), who would be best
placed to answer a globally orientated question.

<https://www.who.int/about/contact-us>

Kind regards

Jane Haywood

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION MANAGER

December 14, 2021:

London Borough of Bromley confirmed for the requester that they also have no record of **ANY of the**

following alleged “viruses” having been purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any study proving a causal link to the suspected infectious diseases:

- * SARS-COV-2
- * SARS-COV-1
- * Any common cold coronavirus
- * Ebola
- * HIV
- * HPV
- * Influenza
- * Measles
- * Polio
- * Zika
- * MERS
- * ANY VIRUS on the Childhood Immunization schedule:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/BOROUGH-OF-BROMLEY-many-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

LONDON BOROUGH OF BROMLEY

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ENQUIRY - RESPONSE

Date:	14 December 2021
Request ID:	S29JF44R94
Subject:	Virus Information/Infectious Disease
Request Type:	Freedom of Information Request
Subject Type:	FOI/EIR
Which department do you require information from?	Adult Services FOI/SAR and Housing FOI

Please see below responses to your enquiry (highlighted in Green)

Response: We can confirm that London Borough of Bromley (LBB) does not hold the information requested in your FOI enquiry.

NB: For future reference, please be aware that LBB does not hold any data pertaining to the purification/causation of sickness in living man regarding any viruses known to man.

Request: Please address my request in full * and respond in kind on letter head notepaper with a reference number, referencing the information requests made-a separate response letter for each request made that is dated and signed by whomever is responsible for the decision contained within the response letter 'claims of 'virus' isolation/sickness causation' for the sake of clarity and transparency to the public. I do not wish to create accounts and passwords and all manner of other property to prolong the delivery of evidences/public records and further attempts to obtain my property will be posted in the public domain.

I have included link to Public Health England's response letter by way of example as to how it is usual to respond and lay out the response also a response link from Derby to purification of the polio virus. Should you require a copy of PHE's response or further help on how to respond to requests please let me know soonest.

As evidences/public record these communications will be placed in the public domain rendering them live. For further clarity as i have submitted more than 1 request to the Director of Public Health for Bromley – Nada Lemic, including SARS-CoV-1 'virus' purification/causation of sickness in living man, for HPV, INFLUENZA 'virus' and so forth in the last few weeks would they please indicate what request is referred to.

Page 1 of 1

October 29, 2021:

Norfolk County Council, East of England (covers Great Yarmouth) confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any alleged “SARS-COV-2” (including any scariants) having been found in and purified from the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any diseased “host” on the planet (in order for “it” to be

sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments) by anyone, anywhere, ever.
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/Norfolk-UK-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Our response:

Information relating to the medical and scientific analysis of the Covid-19 virus is not held by Norfolk County Council. The Council's Public Health remit extends only to those measures and activities required in discharge of responsibilities as directed by the Secretary of State, and our response to the covid-19 pandemic is predicated on guidance issued by Public Health England (now The UK Health Security Agency).

Under section 11 of the Health and Social Care Act 2012, the Secretary of State for Health has a duty to "take such steps as the Secretary of State considers appropriate for the purpose of protecting the public in England from disease or other dangers to health". By virtue of the Local Authorities (Public Health Functions and Entry to Premises by Local Healthwatch Representatives) Regulations 2013 local authorities have a statutory duty to carry out certain aspects of the Secretary of State's duty to protect the public.

December 30, 2021:

Leicester City Council confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any “**SARS-COV-2**” having been purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any studies suggesting a causal link between “it” and the suspected infectious “COVID-19”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Leicester-City-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Response:

Leicester City Council does not hold the information requested. It is not a laboratory and does not undertake any of the procedures listed in the request. This is therefore a refusal notice under section 17.1 of the FOI Act: Information Not Held.

You may re-use the information under an [Open Government Licence](#).

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, please write, explaining your grounds of appeal, to:

Internal Review
Information Governance & Risk
Email: info.requests@leicester.gov.uk

Yours sincerely

Pravina Chandarana
Information Governance Officer

November 10, 2021:

London Borough of Lambeth confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any “**SARS-**

COV-2” having been purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any studies suggesting a causal link between “it” and the suspected infectious “COVID-19”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/London-Borough-of-Lambeth-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



10 November 2021
Our ref: IRN6651758

Freedom of Information Act 2000

This request is being handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Request:

Please note that I am using 'purification' in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. Please note that I am not requesting records/studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged 'virus' from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:-

- *cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- *performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- *produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Response:

We do not hold the information requested. Lambeth council does not own or operate any clinical laboratory facilities.

Right to review

If you are dissatisfied with the way in which your Freedom of Information request has been dealt with you can request an internal review. Tell us why you are unhappy with our response within 40 working days, and it will be looked at afresh. We will aim to provide you with our review response within 20 working days.

By email: foi@lambeth.gov.uk (Please quote the reference number above) or by writing to:

London Borough of Lambeth
Freedom of Information
P.O. Box 734
Winchester
SO23 5DG

If you remain dissatisfied with the outcome of the review you have a further right to appeal to the Information Commissioner, who regulates the implementation of the Freedom of Information Act. The Commissioner can be contacted at the following address:

October 22, 2021:

Lincolnshire County Council confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any “**SARS-COV-2**” having been purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any

studies suggesting a causal link between “it” and the suspected infectious “COVID-19”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Lincolnshire-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere. This includes your own website which claims to have the virus isolated; given your body corporate/politick receives public treasure, the worldwide 'interest' and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for public record/evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Response:

Lincolnshire County Council does not hold this information

Please quote the reference number 2634405 in any future communications.

October 25, 2021:

Nottinghamshire County Council in the UK confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of any “**SARS-COV-2**” having been purified from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any record proving a causal link between “it” and the suspected infectious disease “Covid-19”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Nottinghamshire-1.pdf>

This matter is being dealt with by:
Freedom of Information Team
Reference: FOI/167
T 0115 977 2788
E complaints@nottscc.gov.uk
W nottinghamshire.gov.uk



25/10/2021 07:19:24

Dear Von

Request FOI/167

I write further to your request for information received on 20/10/2021 in which you requested the following information:

Covid

Your request has been given careful consideration under the Freedom of Information Act 2000/Environmental Information Regulations 2004 however **we have established that the information you requested is not held by Council.**

If you have any queries about this email please do not hesitate to contact us directly, quoting the reference number listed at the top of this email in all communications.

If you are unhappy with the Council's response to your request and wish to make a complaint, please write to us or complete the on-line complaint form that can be found on our website.

In addition to this and for future reference, Nottingham County Council regularly publishes previous FOIR, s and answers on its website, under Disclosure logs, that may provide details relating to your query. (see link)

<http://site.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/thecouncil/democracy/freedom-of-information/disclosure-log/>

You can use the search facility using keywords i.e. 'funerals.

If you wish to raise any concerns about the way your request was dealt with, then please write to the Team Manager, Complaints and Information, County Hall, West Bridgford, Nottingham, NG2 7QP or e-mail foi@nottscc.gov.uk quoting the reference number above.

If after going through the Council's Internal Review process you are still not satisfied, you can contact the Information Commissioner direct.

Information Commissioner
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire SK9 5AF

Helpline: 0303 123 1113
01625 545 745
Email: casework@ico.org.uk
Website: www.ico.org.uk

December 13, 2021:

Rutland County Council in the UK confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of any "SARS-COV-2" having been purified from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, or any record proving a causal link between "SARS-COV-2" and the suspected infectious disease

“Covid-19”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Rutland-sc2.pdf>

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Response:

Rutland County Council does not hold this information.

You are free to use any documents supplied for your own use, including for non-commercial research purposes. The documents may also be used for news reporting. However, any other type of re-use, for example by publishing the documents or issuing copies to the public will require the permission of the copyright owner, where copyright

Central Bedfordshire Council confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs they have no record describing purification of “SARS-COV-2” from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Central-Bedfordshire-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Von Hobbs

Email:

accesstoinfo@centralbedfordshire.gov.uk

Our ref:

4982869

Date:

27 October 2021

Dear Von Hobbs

Freedom of Information Act 2000

Request regarding Covid studies/reports

I am writing to advise you that, following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by Central Bedfordshire Council.

Please quote the reference number 4982869 in any future communications.

Croydon Council confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs they have no record describing purification of “SARS-COV-2” from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, and no records proving a causal link between “Sars-Cov-2” and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Croydon-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Derby City Council in the UK confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of any “SARS-COV-2” having been purified from a patient sample, by anyone on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Derby-City-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Hertfordshire County Council in the UK confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of any “SARS-COV-2” having been purified from a patient sample, by anyone on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Hertfordshire-County-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

October 19, 2021: **East Midlands – Leicestershire County Council** in the UK confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any “COVID-19 virus/variant” purified from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet – and thus zero evidence of “its” existence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/East-Midlands-Leicestershire-%E2%80%93-Mike-Sandys-SARS-CoV-2-PURIFICATION-FOI-RESPONSE-v2.pdf>

Response:

Unfortunately, we do not hold the information you have requested.

With regards to reports published in medical journals / databases, we would suggest searching for the relevant reports online.

Your request has been handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

If you are not happy with how we've dealt with your request, you can complain and ask us to review our decision. If this is the case, please email foi@leics.gov.uk or write to us at the above address, quoting the FOI Request Number. We will usually only be able to consider complaints about requests if they are submitted to us within two months (from the date of this letter).

Further information about your rights to information is available from the Information Commissioner's Office at:

Address: Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF
Telephone: 0303 123 1113
Website: www.ico.org.uk

Kind regards,

FOI Team
Leicestershire County Council

Corporate Resources

Leicestershire County Council, County Hall, Glenfield, Leicestershire LE3 8RE
Telephone: 0116 3057830 Email: resources@leics.gov.uk
Chris Tambini, Director of Corporate Resources
www.leics.gov.uk

October 25th, 2021: **Bedford Borough Council** in England confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, by anyone on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Bedford-Borough-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

October 25th, 2021: **Brent Council** in England confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of “SARS-COV-2” purification, by anyone on the planet, and no records proving a causal link between the imaginary virus and “covid-19”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Brent-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

October 30, 2021:

Suffolk County Council in England confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of “SARS-COV-2” purification, by anyone on the planet, and no records proving a causal link between the imaginary virus and (PCR results packaged as) “covid-19”.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Suffolk-County-Council-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Freedom of Information – Response – 21103

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultra- centrifugation and chromatography) of any “COVID-19 virus” (aka “SARS-COV-2”, including any alleged “variants” i.e. “B.1.1.7”, “B.1.351”, “P.1”) directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected “virus” (separate the alleged “virus” from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or*
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or*
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture.*

Clarification of Request

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a “virus” requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am not requesting records describing

the replication of a “virus” without host cells.

Further, I am not requesting private patient information, or records that describe a suspected “virus” floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe

its purification (separation from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere. This includes your own website which claims to have the virus isolated ; given your body corporate/politick receives publick treasure, the worldwide ‘interest’ and that more than 100 institutions other have no virus isolate this is for publick record/evidence.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Suffolk County Council do not hold this information.

October 20, 2021:

Public Health London Hammersmith and Fulham in the UK confirmed for the requester that they have no record of any “COVID-19 virus/variant” purified from any patient sample by anyone, anywhere on the planet, and no record proving a causal link between the alleged virus and “COVID-19” – and thus zero

evidence of "its" existence:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/London-Hammersmith-and-Fulham-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



Assessment and care coordination

Hammersmith & Fulham Council
Town Hall, King Street
Hammersmith
London W6 9JU

Reference: 2029609
Email: icaseworkinformation@lbhf.gov.uk
Date: 20 October 2021



Dear 

Freedom of Information Act 2000

This request is being handled under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

I am writing to advise you that, following a search of our paper and electronic records, I have established that the information you requested is not held by London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham.

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.
We do not have any records that relate to your query

Please quote the reference number 2029609 in any future communications.

If you aren't happy with the council's response, please contact H&F within 40 working days of us sending you our response, with details of why you're unhappy.
You can do this by:

- visiting H&F's website: <https://www.lbhf.gov.uk/councillors-and-democracy/complaints>
- emailing handfintouch@lbhf.gov.uk
- writing to the Resident Experience team, Hammersmith Town Hall, King Street, London, W6 9JU

Your concerns will be passed to H&F's Information Management Team to consider as an internal review. There's no charge for requesting an internal review.

October 28, 2021:

Brighton and Hove City Council in England confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that they have no record of “SARS-COV-2” purification by anyone on the planet:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Brighton-SARS-COV-2-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Our response:

In processing your request we liaised with colleagues within Public Health as they would hold the information in relation to your request were this information to be held by the council.

We can confirm that the information you have requested is not held by the council.

The council does not hold any documents describing the purification of any Covid-19 virus, including variants.

(Click here to see a series of “COVID-19” FOI requests submitted by Marc Horn to various agencies:

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/user/marc_horn)

Same, from **Public Health Scotland** in response to Athanasios Kandias: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/PH-Scotland-RESPONSE-2020-000133.pdf>

Date 7 October 2020
Our Ref 2020-000133
Enquiries to phts.foi@nhs.net

Dear Athanasios Kandas

Freedom of Information Reference: 2020-000133

I refer to your request of 9 September 2020 under the above legislation for information about:

All records in the possession, custody or control of Public Health Scotland, describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

*Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers *instead* to:*

- the culturing of something, or*
- the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), or*
- the sequencing of something.*

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the PHS or that pertain to work done by the PHS. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the PHS has downloaded or printed.

I am writing to advise you that following a search of our records, I have established that under Section 17(1) of the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002, Public Health Scotland (PHS) does not hold the information you requested.

PHS has not been involved in any studies where methods of isolation described have been performed. Such studies may have been performed in a number of Universities but PHS is not aware of any specific studies to be able to direct you to them for more information.

If you have any questions please contact me on phts.foi@nhs.net.

If you are unhappy with our response to your request, you do have the right to request us to review it. Your request should be made within 40 working days of receipt of this correspondence, and we will reply within 20 working days of receipt.

1 South Gyle Crescent, Edinburgh EH12 9EB

Glasgow office: 5 Cadogan Street, Glasgow G2 6QE

Same, for the 2nd time from **Public Health Scotland** in response to my colleague in NZ:
<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Public-Health-Scotland-Response-2020-000158.pdf>

September 10, 2021: **Public Health Scotland** confirmed once again, this time for Richard Anthony, that they have no purified sample of the alleged "COVID=19 virus/variants" and know of no one in Scotland who does, and they equated isolation/purification with meaningless, unscientific monkey cell culturing.
Pdf:



Date: 10 September 2021
Our Ref: 2021-000848
Enquiries to: phs.foi@phs.scot

Dear Richard Anthony

Freedom of Information Reference: 2021-000848

Thank you for your request for information dated 17 August 2021

Following a search of our records I write to advise you of the following responses to your questions.

"May I please know if Public Health Scotland has any actual material samples of purified SarsCoV2 virus and all related variants? I do not mean an in silico computer generated genome sequence. I mean actual material samples of purified viruses."

Response

Public Health Scotland (PHS) does not hold the information you requested. This is a formal notice that Section 17(1) - Information not held applies to your request, under the Freedom of Information Scotland Act (2002).

Additional Information

I can advise that it is not the remit of Public Health Scotland to undertake viral culture. Information is publicly available that the SARS-CoV-2 has been isolated and can be accessed on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website I include a web link [here](#). **Harcourt et al. study = monkey cell fraud!**

"If you do have these samples can you please tell me, what isolation and purification steps were taken to achieve this?"

Response

Public Health Scotland (PHS) does not hold the information you requested. This is a formal notice that Section 17(1) - Information not held applies to your request, under the Freedom of Information Scotland Act (2002).

Additional Information

It is not the remit of PHS to undertake viral culture. However information on the culture process of SARS CoV-2 is available to Public Health Scotland. Note that this is published by labs who carry out the process. I include the following web links

- [COVID-19 research repository](#)
- [WHO global research on Coronavirus](#)

"If you do not have these do you know of any laboratories who do have them here in Scotland."

Response

Public Health Scotland does not hold the information you requested. This is a formal notice that Section 17(1) - Information not held applies to your request, under the Freedom of Information Scotland Act (2002).

Additional Information

I can advise that that your request would be more appropriately addressed to University of Glasgow, you can contact them via foi@glg.ac.uk.

Scottish Information Commissioner's website:
For information I include a link to the Regulator's [website](#).

If you are unhappy with our response to your request, you do have the right to request us to review it. Your request should be made within 40 working days of receipt of this correspondence, and we will reply within 20 working days of receipt. The review will be undertaken by a reviewer who was not involved in the original decision-making process. The reviewer can be contacted as follows:

The FOI Reviewer
Public Health Scotland
Gyle Square
1 South Gyle Crescent
Edinburgh
EH12 9EB
Email: PHS.FOI@phs.scot

If your decision is unchanged following a review and you remain dissatisfied with this, you then have the right to make a formal complaint to the Scottish Information Commissioner within 6 months of receipt of our review response. You can do this by using the Scottish Information Commissioner's Office online appeals service at www.itspubknowledge.info/Appeal. If you remain dissatisfied with the Commissioner's response, you then have the option to appeal to the Court of Session on a point of law.

S Cadogan Street, Glasgow G2 6QE
Edinburgh office: 1 South Gyle Crescent, Edinburgh EH12 9EB

1

S Cadogan Street, Glasgow G2 6QE
Edinburgh office: 1 South Gyle Crescent, Edinburgh EH12 9EB

2

February 15 and March 9, 2022:

Scotland: The **Scottish government's Directorate for COVID Public Health, COVID: COVID Ready Society** says that having a clear route for synthesising scientific evidence and presenting that to Government is important.

Nevertheless, Jason Carroll also confirmed that the Scottish government has no record of "SARS-COV-2" being found in the bodily fluids of any sick human on Earth and purified... which would be necessary so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized, studied with controlled experiments and shown to exist.

In March 2022, a response to an appeal re the above yielded confirmation – no records.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Scottish-govt-w-appeal-2022-PACKAGE.pdf>

Response to your request

This is a formal notice under **Section 17(1)** of FOISA that the Scottish Government does not have the information you have requested.

Throughout the epidemic, having a clear route for synthesising scientific evidence and presenting that to Government is important. To do this, scientific advice is provided by the [Scottish Government COVID-19 Advisory Group](#). In addition, the Scottish Government is informed by SAGE, and its sub groups of which further details can be found on the [UK Government website](#).

April 11, 2023:

3+ years later and still the folks at **Public Health Wales** had to confess to Tim that have no record of anyone on Earth ever finding and purifying the imaginary "**SARS-COV-2**" from any bodily fluid/tissue

/excrement:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/PH-Wales-2023-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Their earlier confessions/failures can be found [here](#).

From: FOI (Public Health Wales) <phw.foi@wales.nhs.uk>

Sent: 11 April 2023 14:10

To: Tim [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: FOI request CORRECTION

Dear Tim [REDACTED]

Thank you for your recent request. Public Health Wales has not produced any of the below mentioned material.

Please refer to the Public Health Wales disclosure log for previous FOI responses (FOI 850 - SARS-COV-2 virus records)

<https://www2.nphs.wales.nhs.uk/DisclosureLog.nsf/byMonthPage?OpenPage>

Thanks,

Alex

May 2023:

Some anonymous man/woman (or perhaps AI) at **Cardiff and Vale University Health**

Board, Wales confessed to Tim that they don't have any record of anyone on Earth ever finding and purifying the imaginary "**SARS-COV-2**" from any bodily fluid/tissue/excrement and suggested that he ask **Public Health Wales** (see above);

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/Cardiff-and-Vale-University-Health-Board-Wales-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Freedom of Information Act 2000 - Request Reference FoI/23/160

Covid-19 Studies

Information Requested:

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of NHS Wales describing the purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of Request:

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured something, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or
- fabricated a genome from sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.


I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a 'virus' without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records where researchers conflate extraction of genetic material from a patient sample or cell culture with "virus isolation", or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information. I simply request records that describe purification (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things). Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Response details:

Cardiff and Vale University Health Board (the UHB) does not hold this information. Under Section 16 of the FOIA, which provides us with the duty to advise and assist, the UHB can inform you that Public Health Wales may be able to assist you with your query, please see their contact details below,

foi.phw@wales.nhs.uk



Public Health Wales provided Dr. Janet Menage a sketchy excuse for not properly assisting with her request (Dr. Menage has submitted a complaint to the PHW 'Corporate Complaints' team); see PHW's response here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Puplic-Health-Wales-453-Isolation-of-Sars-COV-2.pdf>

Freedom of Information request to Public Health Wales

FOI Reference:	FOI 453
Date request received	06 October 2020

Thank you for your recent request. Public Health Wales has not produced any of the above mentioned material. Any records that may be in possession of Public Health Wales would be material that is already in the public domain, which we would decline to supply under Section 21 of the Freedom of Information Act.

Under our duty to assist, we would ordinarily be willing to provide links or advise where you may be able to find such documentation if we held it within our systems, however the information above would prove to be too wide in terms of search parameters for us to identify any records with certainty that we hold.

Here is a 2nd & more recent dodgy response from **Public Health Wales** yielding no record, or citation of any record, of "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification done by anyone, anywhere, ever.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/PHWales.pdf>

Below is a screenshot of a Freedom of Information response to Mr. James McCumiskey, author of The Latest Conspiracy: The Biomedical Paradigm, from the University College Dublin, explaining that [Ireland's National Virus Reference Laboratory has no records describing "how the Novel Coronavirus was purified"](#). Click the link for more details.



Oifig Dlí UCD

UCD Legal Office

Caisleán an Ruabhoic,
An Coláiste Ollscoile, Baile Átha Cliath,
Belfield, Baile Átha Cliath 4, Éire

Roebuck Castle,
University College Dublin,
Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

corporate.legal@ucd.ie
www.ucd.ie/corpsec

T +353 1 716 8708

Mr James McCumiskey
By email: jl_mccumiskey@yahoo.ie

22 June 2020

Reference: FOI12_1_544 Internal Review

Dear Mr McCumiskey,

I refer to your application for an internal review under the Freedom of Information Act 2014 of a decision by Ms Debbie Scanlan, dated 22 May 2020, concerning item 1 of your request for access to records of the National Virus Reference Laboratory (NVRL), as follows: *"1) I am looking for a scientific paper, which demonstrates how the Novel Coronavirus was purified? Surely, if the NVRL is able to detect the Novel Coronavirus, it should also be able to demonstrate how it is purified?"*

In the original decision, Ms Scanlan refused part 1 of your request on grounds that the University do not hold records to answer your request (Section 15 (1) (a)).

I have now conducted an internal review in accordance with Section 21 of the Act. I wish to inform you that I affirm the original decision.

The University's position is that matters of academic debate cannot be conducted under FOI and we would not regard academic research material as administrative records of an FOI body that would make them available for release under the legislation. The NVRL have advised that they do not culture live SARS-CoV-2 or purify SARS CoV 2 antigens. They detect SARS-CoV-2 RNA in diagnostic samples, as per the PCR assay that was shared with you previously. As such, there are no relevant records held and no further searches that may be taken for records that would provide an answer to your query. Section 15 (1) (a) of the FOI applies.

The University is committed to its obligations under the Act to provide requesters with access to records held by it and with reasons for its decisions that affect them. In this case, we regret that we cannot assist you further.

Under the Act, the University is required to advise you of your right, following receipt of your internal review decision, to make a further review application by writing to the Information Commissioner, 18 Lower Leeson Street, Dublin 2.

Yours sincerely,

Mr Julian Bostridge
Director of Legal Services

December 23, 2020:

Ireland's Health Service Executive (HSE) informed Mr. Robert Pye that they have no record describing isolation/purification of "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere (I believe this is the response reported on by Gemma O'Doherty last year – I finally obtained a copy):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Ireland-HSE-PACKAGE.pdf>

Following consultation with my colleagues, both from the scientific and medical areas of HPSC can confirm that we would hold no records in relation to your request. These are the reasonable steps I have taken to ascertain the whereabouts or existence of such records and unfortunately I must inform you that having undertaken these searches we were unable to locate the records in question. I am satisfied that all reasonable steps have taken to locate the records you have requested and must refuse therefore your request under Section 15.1(a) of the FOI Act 2014.

September 15, 2022:

Ireland's National Virus Reference Laboratory at University College Dublin confessed, in 2 separate FOI responses, that they have no signed, documented and verified studies re the causative agent of covid, and no signed, verified validation studies for the procurement of PCR "testing" equipment:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/NVRL-UCDublin-causative-agent-and-PCR-validation-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



UCD Records Management and
Freedom of Information Unit

Aonad Bainistíochta Taifead &
Saoráil Faisnéise UCD

Roebuck Castle,
University College Dublin,
Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

T +353 1 716 8786/8722

Caisleán an Ruabhoic,
An Coláiste Ollscoile, Baile Átha Cliath,
Belfield, Baile Átha Cliath 4, Éire

foi@ucd.ie
www.ucd.ie/foi

By Email: [REDACTED]

15 September 2022

Reference: FOI 12_1_988

Dear [REDACTED]

I refer to your request under the Freedom of Information Act 2014 for access to records held by the University. You requested the following:

"as the named laboratory for my daughter's PCR test, please provide to us the signed, documented and verified studies for the causative agent of Covid-19."

Having considered the provisions of the Acts, I have decided to deny access to the requested records on the grounds that the records do not exist.

As no records exist, I therefore invoke Section 15(a) of the FOI Act which states:

15. (1) "A head to whom an FOI request is made may refuse to grant the request where) the record concerned does not exist or cannot be found after all reasonable steps to ascertain its whereabouts have been taken"

The NVRL is a diagnostic laboratory that performs diagnostics investigations on patient samples using validated assays. The NVRL has advised our office that the following website - <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/> - is for the NIH's National Library of Medicine, where one could search for peer-reviewed articles on SARS-CoV-2 and Covid-19.

If you are dissatisfied with this reply to your FOI request, you may have it reviewed. You must make your application for internal review in writing to this office within four weeks of receiving this letter. The making of a late application for internal review may be permitted in appropriate circumstances.

Where you are dissatisfied with the outcome of the internal review, you can appeal in writing to the Information Commissioner, 18 Lower Leeson Street, Dublin 2. You have six months to make such a request, but in certain circumstances, late reviews may be permitted.

Yours sincerely,

PP. Dee Synnott – Information Compliance Officer

Debbie Scanlan
Information Compliance Manager

August/September 2022:

Danni and Tonny in **Denmark** filed FOIs for records of any **"virus"** that authorities recommend children be **"vaccinated"** against being isolated/purified. Danni described the responses: *"A doctor from **Sundhedsstyrelsen** says they don't hold scientific evidence. They base the recommendations on the fact that they've been vaccinating children for decades.*

“And a lawyer from **Statens Serum Institut** searched the journal database and came up with nothing. He could not find anything matching my request. I had used the template from one of your FOIs on SARS COV 2.”

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Denmark-Statens-Serum-Institut-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

STATENS
SERUM
INSTITUT



J. nr.: 22/03077
20. september 2022

Anmodning om aktindsigt

Kære Danni [REDACTED] & Tonny [REDACTED]

I har ved e-mail af 7. september 2022 præciseret i forbindelse med tidligere sendt anmodning af 16. august 2022, at anmodningen omfatter følgende:

” ...

Vi anmoder om alle studier som ligger bag jeres anbefalinger af børnevaccinationsprogrammet, som overholder de listede kriterier.

Dvs bevis for eksistensen af de vira man immuniserer børn imod her i Danmark.

... ”

Statens Serum Institut har på den baggrund foretaget en række søgninger i journaliseringssystem med henblik på at identificere dokumenter omfattet af anmodningen. Statens Serum Institut har på den baggrund ikke identificeret dokumenter omfattet af aktindsigtsanmodningen. Institutet kan derfor ikke imødekomme jeres anmodning om aktindsigt, jf. offentlighedslovens § 7, stk. 1, modsætningsvis.

1. KLAGVEJLEDNING

Klage over denne afgørelse om aktindsigt kan ske til Sundhedsministeriet. Du skal dog indledningsvis sende din klage til Statens Serum Institut, Direktionssekretaria@ssi.dk. Hvis din klage ikke giver Statens Serum Institut anledning til at ændre afgørelsen, sender Statens Serum Institut klagen samt sagens dokumenter og herunder afgørelsen til Sundhedsministeriet snarest og som udgangspunkt senest syv arbejdsdage efter modtagelsen af klagen ved Statens Serum Institut, jf. offentlighedslovens § 37, stk. 1 og 2.

Med venlig hilsen

Ayan [REDACTED]
Jurist
Direktionssekretariatet

Adresse: Artillerivej 5 | 2300 København S

Statens Serum Institut, Denmark told Alex Holmsted that (translation): “*The Statens Serum Institut can state that we have now carried out a journal search for documentation that has convinced the Statens Serum Institut about the real existence of SARS-CoV-2, the alleged cause of COVID19 and moreover, we have in some other way tried to locate relevant documents. Statens Serum Institut can note that we are not in possession of the requested documents...*”

https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Denmark-The-Statens-Serum-Institut-Alex_Holmstedt_w-English.pdf



Request for access to documents

Dear Alex Holmstedt

By email on 10 August 2020, you requested to documents as follows:

"In continuation of the reply of Statens Serum Institut of 8 July 2020 as to the request for access to bibliographies, to which the Administration has Informed that it "is not in possession" of:

Bibliography, ... showing articles on SARS-CoV-2 having been isolated and purified.

*"Purified" is to be understood according to the principles described here:
<https://www.news-medical.net/life-sciences/Virus-Purification-Methods.aspx> "*

In accordance with the Public Access to Information Act, full access to documents is sought as to the following:

Documentation that has convinced Statens Serum Institut about the real existence of SARS-CoV-2, the alleged cause of COVID-19 - since it must be assumed that indisputably evidence for the real existence of SARS-CoV-2 must lie behind the actions that have been imposed on the Danish society."

On 11 August 2020, Statens Serum Institut* acknowledged receipt of your request, stating that due to the special circumstances applied to Statens Serum Institut, we were unable to inform you as to when a final answer to your request would be made.

On 25 August 2020, Statens Serum Institut stated that we expected to be able to respond to your inquiry within 14 work days.

We regret to inform you that due to the special circumstances that apply to Statens Serum Institut, we were unable to answer your request until now.

Statens Serum Institut has now reviewed the case.


1. DECISION

Statens Serum Institut hereby states that we have now carried out a journal search for documentation that has convinced Statens Serum Institut about the real existence of SARS-CoV-2, the alleged cause of COVID 19, and in addition, we have tried in other ways to locate relevant documents. Statens Serum Institut ascertain that we are not in the possession of the requested documents.

** The Danish Central Health Laboratory*

Related video: **Denmark health officials** became indignant and defensive during a press conference when asked by journalist Kristofer Krarup about the FOI responses from their own institutions indicating they have zero proof of "the virus":

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/AiwB5h4VCnTH/>



April 2020: In response to a query from Dr. Kevin Corbett, **Public Health England** admitted using fake virus material to evaluate “COVID-19” tests, that the gold standard is not isolated virus, and more:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/public-health-englands-answers-to-covid-19-testing-questions/>

Subject: OFFICIAL: RE: Data request

From: WNCov.virology <WNCov.virology@phe.gov.uk>

Date: 28-Apr-20, 17:45

CC: Maria Zambon <Maria.Zambon@phe.gov.uk>, WNCov.virology <WNCov.virology@phe.gov.uk>

OFFICIAL

Professor Zambon asked that we respond to your request for data, as below.

i) RT-PCR tests –

- the gold standard for PCR tests is not virus isolation
- PCR tests are developed using synthetic transcripts; field use data are not widely available yet

No records re isolation of “SARS-COV-2” from an unadulterated sample, **Public Health England** told Andrew Johnson, a Technology Tutor at a UK University: <https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/679566/response/1625332/attach/html/2/872%20FOI%20All%20records%20describing%20isolation%20of%20SARS%20COV%202.pdf.html>.

This is Andrew’s write-up on his FOI request: <https://cvpandemicinvestigation.com/2020/08/phe-has-no-real-evidence-that-sars2-cov2-causes-covid-19-chromosome-8-blood-plasma-treatment-and-more/>.

Months ago, the **StandUpX Science Committee** published an open letter dated June 22, 2020 to the British Prime Minister, Boris Johnson. Below is a screenshot from their letter, demanding scientific proof of the alleged “COVID-19 virus”. (Their entire letter can be viewed and/or downloaded here:

<https://kevinpcorbett.com/onewebmedia/Signed%20StandUpX%20definitive.pdf>)

Therefore, we demand that by July 22nd 2020, Public Health England must:

1. Produce independently peer reviewed scientific evidence proving that the Covid-19 virus has been isolated and purified in the reference laboratories run by Public Health England under the leadership of Professor Zambon (Imperial College London/SAGE member) ignoring the instructions not to do so issued by the World Health Organisation.
2. Produce independently peer reviewed scientific evidence proving that Covid-19 virus causes disease using all of the Koch Postulates.

If the United Kingdom Government's own health agency - Public Health England – CANNOT show independent and peer-reviewed proof that a virus exists which causes COVID-19 then the Government must DECLARE THERE IS NO SUCH VIRUS AND CEASE MEASURES AGAINST SOMETHING WHICH DOES NOT EXIST, including producing a vaccine and the Government's Track+Trace policy.

StandUpX Committee member Piers Corbyn also made the demand verbally outside the headquarters of the UK government; video footage of the demand is available at this url (**not** the embedded video below – that is a different video featuring Piers Corbyn; WordPress would not embed the footage of the demand for some reason, so please click on this url to see the demand, not on the image below):

<https://youtu.be/4FpuzGBa36c>

Below is footage of Piers Corbyn calling out the UK government for the non-isolation of their theoretical “SARS-COV-2 virus”. <https://www.bitchute.com/embed/1eDDh3eqFPAJ>

[/?feature=oembed#?secret=fSiAlVe09M](https://www.bitchute.com/embed/1eDDh3eqFPAJ/?feature=oembed#?secret=fSiAlVe09M) ERRATUM: In the description underneath the video (on the bitchute page) the authors of the publication on the Drosten PCR test are referred to as ‘Drosten et al’ when it should read ‘Corman et al’.

StandUpX has a petition entitled “If there’s no proof the virus exists end all Lockdowns/Masks /Trax/Vax actions”. If you can tell the difference between isolation and fraudulent monkey business, please consider signing it, here: <https://www.gopetition.com/petitions/if-theres-no-proof-the-virus-exists-end-all-lockdownsmaskstraxvax-actions-2.html>

In April StandUpX committee member Dr. Kevin Corbett MSc PhD ([@KPCResearch](#) on Twitter) published a paper describing issues around the non-isolation of the theoretical SARS-COV-2 virus. Below is a screenshot from his paper entitled “**WHERE IS THE EVIDENCE FOR THE EXISTENCE OF THE ‘NOVEL CORONAVIRUS’, ‘SARS-CoV-2’, AND THE ACCURACY OF THE TESTS?’**”, which you may access here:

<https://kevinpcorbett.com/onewebmedia>

[/WHERE%20IS%20THE%20EVIDENCE%20FOR%20THE%20EXISTENCE%20OF%20THE%20CORONAVIRUS%20AND%20THE%20ACCURACY%20OF%20THE%20TESTS%20.pdf">/WHERE%20IS%20THE%20EVIDENCE%20FOR%20THE%20EXISTENCE%20OF%20THE%20CORONAVIRUS%20AND%20THE%20ACCURACY%20OF%20THE%20TESTS%20.pdf](#)

Ogenstad et al (2020) are clearly admitting that no purified infectious 'novel Coronavirus' ('SARS-Cov-2') has ever been adequately demonstrated as coming from patients (e.g. see Huang et al 2020). The implication is that the 'novel Coronavirus' RNA/antibodies whose veracity are assumed by PHE/FDA may not actually prove to be 'viral' but could represent other phenomena. For example some scientists like Andrew Kaufman (Kaufman, 2020) suggest these may be 'exosomes', whilst others point to numerous confounding process artefacts (Schierwater et al 2009), or due to the laboratory 'quality processes' which appear remarkably open to errors and misinterpretation (Bustin and Nolan 2017). Until the proper research is suitably undertaken (and reproduced) regulators cannot scientifically claim that the tests are accurate.

Guess **"WHO" advised Public Health England (and the rest of the world) not to isolate "the virus" as a routine diagnostic procedure, and "WHO" encourages the conflation of isolation with culturing?** See the screenshots below from page 4 of the Interim Guidance document dated March 2, 2020 *"Laboratory testing for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in suspected human cases"* kindly provided by Dr. Corbett of StandUpX: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/WHO-COVID-19-laboratory-2020.4-eng.pdf> and page 8 of the *Diagnostic testing for SARS-CoV-2 Interim guidance 11 September 2020* <https://canucklaw.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/WHO-2019-nCoV-laboratory-September-11-2020-Guidelines.pdf>

Laboratory testing for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in suspected human cases

Laboratories with limited experience in testing for COVID-19 virus are encouraged to work with laboratories with more experience with this pathogen to have their initial test results confirmed and to improve their own performance.

Viral culture

Virus isolation is not recommended as a routine diagnostic procedure.

Viral isolation

Virus isolation is not recommended as a routine diagnostic procedure. All procedures involving viral isolation in cell culture require trained staff and BSL-3 facilities. A thorough risk assessment should be carried out when culturing specimens from potential SARS-CoV-2 patients for other respiratory viruses because SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to grow on a variety of cell lines [183].

Update, October 1, 2020: My colleague in New Zealand recently received a "no records" response from **Public Health England** – identical to the "no records" response above that was already provided to Andrew Johnson. You may access this 2nd response from PHE here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Public-Health-England-scrubbed.pdf>

Update November 1, 2020: Marc Horn also queried **Public Health England** for records describing "SARS-COV-2 isolation" from a sample not unadulterated with additional genetic material. Response: no records. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/PHE-FOI-reply-re-SARS-COV-2-isolation.pdf>

Another "no records" FOI response from **Public Health England** dated **November 3, 2020**, in response to a request from Athanasios Kandias for records (re SARS-COV-2 isolation) held by the **National**

Biological Standards Board.

<https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/701311/response/1669071/attach>

[/2/1740%20FOI%20NIBSC%20records%20on%20SARS%20COV%202.pdf?cookie_passthrough=1](https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/701311/response/1669071/attach/2/1740%20FOI%20NIBSC%20records%20on%20SARS%20COV%202.pdf?cookie_passthrough=1)
(Preserved here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/PHE-1740-FOI-NIBSC-no-records-SARS-COV-2-isolation.pdf>)

No records supporting the claim that the alleged “SARS-COV-2 virus” causes “COVID-19” symptoms says **Public Health England**, in response to a query from Marc Horn. Note that PHE cited 3 publicly available studies, none involving isolation of “SARS-COV-2” from a sample not unadulterated with additional genetic material. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/PHE-FOI-reply-re-SARS-COV-2-isolation-and-causation-of-COVID-19.pdf>

September 7, 2021:

Public Health England failed once again to cite any record of “SARS-COV-2” purification:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/PHE-Sept-7-2021-PACKAGE.pdf>



Public Health
England

Protecting and improving the nation's health

Public Accountability Unit
Wellington House
133-155 Waterloo Road
London SE1 8UG

www.gov.uk/phe

By email

lewie.mm@outlook.com

Our ref: 11/08/21/ag/1028

07 September 2021

Dear Lewis Murphy-Munroe,

Re: FOI request

Thank you for your request received on 11 August 2021 addressed to Public Health England (PHE). In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), I can confirm that PHE does not hold the information you have specified.

Request

I am filing a challenge to my public health act by writing to request information of the isolation of a SARS-CoV-2 virus directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (ie. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; liver cancer cells).

I am using "isolation" in the everyday sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where "isolation of SARS-CoV-2" refers instead to:

*-the culturing of something, or
-the performance of an amplification test (i.e a PCR test), or -the sequencing of something.*

To conclude, I am requesting all such records that are in the possession, custody or control of Public Health England (for example: downloaded to a computer, printed in hard copy, etc.).

Response

In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the FOI Act, PHE can confirm that it does not hold the information you have requested.

Viruses are not independent living entities. They require a host cell substrate to replicate.

PHE's microbiology teams use the term "isolation" to mean culture in the laboratory. It is used sometimes interchangeably to mean isolation from a patient or clinical material – but usually implies that the organism has been grown in culture. An organism is identified by looking for its unique genetic material in a clinical sample and further identification is refined and confirmed by whole genome sequencing.

The Virus Reference Laboratory at PHE, Colindale, London has grown the virus, SARS-CoV-2. The virus culture method has been published in the following peer-reviewed paper: <https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/1560->



September 20: **Public Health England** confirmed for Yvonne Hobbs that it does not hold any information re purification of any "COVID-19 virus" or "variants".

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/PH-England-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>



**Public Health
England**

Protecting and improving the nation's health

Public Accountability Unit
Wellington House
133-155 Waterloo Road
London SE1 8UG

www.gov.uk/phe

By email
[REDACTED]

Our ref: 18/09/21/ag/1345

20 September 2021

Dear Yvonne Hobbs,

Re: Covid-19

Thank you for your request received on 18 September 2021 addressed to Public Health England (PHE). In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (the Act), I can confirm that PHE does not hold the information you have specified.

Request

All studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultra-centrifugation and chromatography) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased man, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum, liver cancer cells) and also studies and/or reports and/or records in the possession, custody or control of the addressed body corporate proving a causal link between Sars-Cov-2 and the suspected infectious disease Covid-19.

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" (separate the alleged "virus" from everything thing else in the patient sample) and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or***
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or***
- fabricated a genome based on PCR-detected sequences in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or***

produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things in a cell culture

In accordance with Section 1(1)(a) of the FOI Act, PHE can confirm that it does not holds the information you have requested.

Viruses are not independent living entities. They require a host cell substrate to replicate.

September 28, 2021:

Public Health England confirmed that they still have no record of “SARS-COV-2” (including “variants”) purification, by anyone, anywhere:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Public-Health-England-SARS-COV-2-v3-Redacted-2021-09-28.pdf>

January 5, 2023:

NHS Grampian confessed to Kevin McKenzie that they have no record of the imaginary **SARS-COV-2** being found in and purified from anywhere, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/NHS-Grampian-PACKAGE-redacted.pdf>

Kevin’s bitchute channel is here:

<https://www.bitchute.com/channel/mckenzie123456789/>

purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible."

I can now respond as follows:

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and /or reports from anywhere in the world describing the purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2" including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of Request

Please note I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead:

- * cultured something, and/or
- * performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or
- * fabricated a "genome" from millions of sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or
- * produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a "virus" without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply require records that describe purification (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description authored by anyone, anywhere.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible. In relation to all of the above NHS Grampian can advise it does not hold any studies or reports into what is requested; s.17 of the Act refers – Information not held.

July 27, 2021: In England, the **Pennine Acute National Health Service Trust** and the **Salford Royal National Health Service Foundation Trust** disclosed in FOI responses:

- that they have in total zero records re "SARSCov2" satisfying Koch's Postulates (and yes, we know that a strict application of Koch's would not be possible, even if the imaginary "virus" actually existed; note that these institutions provided plenty of unrequested data but no records whatsoever re "virus" isolation/purification);
- PCR tests have been run with up to 42 cycles;
- <10 children died within 28 days of a positive covid test;
- "cause of death is not recorded in our clinical systems". Full response:

Response – Salford Royal Foundation Trust

1. I would like to know the number of cycles you have been using on PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) test as standard and if that number has ever been changed at anytime for whatever reason.

The Trust uses the following Commercial CE IVD assays - Hologic Panther, Cepheid, BD Max, Abbott Alinity, Abbott M2000 and AusDiagnostics Hi-Plex. The number of thermal cycles across all the platforms in use varies slightly and the maximum is 42; the parameters for all these assays are available from the commercial suppliers. The Trust does not alter them for any reason.

2. I would also like to know how many children under the age of 16 have been logged as a death from SARSCov2 without any underlying health issues.

There were no children under the age of 16 who died within 28 days of a positive COVID-19 test result.

3. And can you tell me if you have any records of SARSCov2 going through Koch's Postulates.

The Trust has not recorded any cases going through Koch's Postulates.

No records supporting the claim that the alleged “SARS-COV-2 virus” causes “COVID-19” symptoms, says the **UK's House of Commons**, in response to a query from Marc Horn:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/UK-House-of-Commons-FOI-reply-re-COVID19-causation.pdf>

No records supporting the claim that the alleged “SARS-COV-2 virus” causes “COVID-19” symptoms , says the **UK's House of Lords**, in response to a query from Marc Horn: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/UK-House-of-Lords-FOI-reply-re-COVID19-causation.pdf>

Britain's Health and Safety Executive confirmed for Athanasios Kandias on November 3, 2020 that they hold no information relating to isolation of “SARS-COV-2”.

https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/documents_held_showing_sars_cov2_3

(Preserved here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Health-and-Safety-Executive.pdf>).

Here is an exasperating email exchange between myself and **Imperial College London**, home of the disgraced “COVID-19” modeller Professor Neil Ferguson and Public Health England's Deputy Director of National Infection Service and Director of Reference Microbiology Services, British virologist, Professor [Maria Zambon](#) FMedSci FRCPath. The College clearly has no records describing “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification but is unwilling to admit such:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Imperial-College-London-FOI-exchange-SARS-COV-2-isolation-updated.pdf>

We explained in our response to you that we do not keep records of what material has been printed or downloaded by our staff and students. In order for us to deal with your request in its present form we would need to ask all staff and students at the College whether they had downloaded or printed information on the isolation of the virus and ask them to provide details. As the college has about 20,000 students and 8,000 members of staff that approach is not practical. The exemption at Section 12 of the Freedom of Information Act, which provides that organisations need not respond to Freedom of Information Act requests if it would take more than 18 hours to extract and compile the information requested, would apply.

Kepa Ormazabal submitted a Freedom of Information request to **Spain's Ministry of Health** for bibliographic records of studies describing "SARS-COV-2" isolation/purification (*"the term 'isolation' is used in the sense given by the Real Academia Espanola Dictionary"*); the Ministry's response yielded no records:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Spain-Ministry-of-Health-isolation-request-reply-w-translation.pdf>

September 8, 2021: **Spain's Ministry of Health** provided an uber-evasive response to an organization called Liberum, re isolation/purification, characterization, culturing etc. of the alleged COVID-19 virus. Here are the actual questions posed by Liberum (provided by a member), with an (automated) English translation, and the responses also with an (automated) English translation. The Ministry's total evasion re purification, and their admission that they don't even bother with bogus monkey cell cultures, speaks volumes:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Spain-Ministry-of-Health-Liberum-request-PACKAGE.pdf>

On genomic sequencing of the virus you can consult the following link:

https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/ccayes/alertasActual/nCov/documentos/Integracion_de_la_secuenciacion_genomica-en_la_vigilancia_del_SARS-CoV-2.pdf

The Ministry of Health does not have a SARS-CoV-2 culture for testing, and it does not have a registry of laboratories with culture and isolation capacity for testing.

In relation to the SARS-COV-2 diagnostic tests, and in general, with issues related to the SARS-Cov-2 pandemic, the Ministry of Health works with the aforementioned documents, which are updated according to epidemiological need, to enable decision-making in relation to the management of the pandemic, and the dissemination of information to third parties that can use it in their specific environments. In this sense, the most conceptual and definitional issues remain more in academic and teaching environments, with the Ministry of Health playing a more secondary role and not acting on these issues in its power.

Finally, the evaluation of patients in relation to their state of health, be it COVID-19 or another disease or pathology, is the responsibility of the reference health professionals. The tests, by themselves, are not usually sufficient to determine disease, requiring an expert evaluation of the person who has been tested. Either way, the case definition can be found at the following link:

September 15, 2021: here is a report from Spain discussing an apparent class action lawsuit demanding proof of "the virus, in the form of a sample to be subjected to independent verification:

https://digitalwarriors.uk/great-news-out-of-spain/?fbclid=IwAR376xHErLyVCZRCruYC_60spufsBPnb0a-

March 7, 2023:

European CDC confessed to having no record of the alleged “**SARS-COV-2 spike protein**” being found in and purified from any people who were diagnosed with fake covid or who received a so-called “mRNA vaccine”.

The request ruled out studies where researchers did something with recombinant aka lab-created “spike protein” or where the alleged protein was “detected” indirectly using a nonspecific test.

Thanks Barbara!

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/03/Eurpoean-CDC-spike-protein-PACKAGE-redacted-03-2023.pdf>

Francesco Cantele



Stoccolma, 7 Marzo 2023

N.ref.: PHF-2023-OUT-0479-VLEIKh

Egregio Signore,

Oggetto: La sua domanda di accesso a documenti – Ref 23-0957

Le scrivo in riferimento alla sua email del 26 Febbraio 2023, contenente una domanda di accesso, registrata con il numero indicato in oggetto.

La sua richiesta concerneva:

"un qualsiasi studio o documento prodotto direttamente o possesso di ECDC che descriva il ritrovamento della proteina Spike riconducibile al virus SARS-CoV-2 in una persona (ad esempio nei fluidi corporei, tessuti o escrementi) a cui sia stata diagnosticata la presunta COVID-19 o che abbia ricevuto una o più dosi di uno o più dei cosiddetti vaccini COVID disponibili.

Tale ritrovamento deve essere avvenuto mediante purificazione delle particelle e confermato con immagini al microscopio elettronico EM.

Vi chiedo di non inviarmi studi in cui i ricercatori siano ricorsi all'uso di proteina Spike ricombinante (creata in laboratorio) o in cui tale proteina sia stata rilevata indirettamente (cioè senza uno specifico test anticorpale)".

Siamo spiacenti di informarla che il Centro europeo per la prevenzione ed il controllo delle malattie (ECDC) non possiede nessun documento corrispondente alla descrizione tale e come contenuta nella Sua domanda.

Il regolamento (CE) n. 1049/2001 specifica al suo articolo 2, paragrafo 3, che il diritto d'accesso come definito dallo stesso regolamento si applica solo a documenti esistenti e in possesso dell'istituzione.

Non possedendo documenti di questo tipo corrispondenti alla descrizione tale e come contenuta nella Sua domanda, l'ECDC non è nelle condizioni di darvi seguito.

1/2

The Director of the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Andrea Ammon, has admitted to having no documentation, even for the ECDC's methodology to prove that a virus exists, let alone proof of SARS-COV-2: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/DOCUMENT-REQUEST-ECDC-AND-RESPONSE.pdf>



Stockholm, 16 September 2020
Our ref.: DPR-2020-OUT-3176-KEEKh

Dear Mr [REDACTED],

Re: Your application for access to documents – Ref 20-3696

We refer to your email dated 31 August 2020 in which you make a request for access to documents, registered on 1 September 2020 under the above mentioned reference number, and your follow up email on 2 September 2020 that has been handled under the same reference number as well.

We regret to inform you that no documents were found that would correspond to the description given in your application.

Indeed, as specified in Article 2(3) of Regulation 1049/2001, the right of access as defined in that Regulation applies only to existing documents in the possession of the institution.

According to the website of **Slovenia's University of Ljubljana**, their **Faculty of Medicine** has been involved in "...implementation of the latest molecular diagnostic procedures; an attempt to isolate the virus **in cell cultures** [oxymoron], which is a precondition for testing anti-viral agents and vaccines...". The Faculty formally admitted on November 30, 2020 to having no record (even obtained from others) of "SARS-COV-2" isolation or proving a causal link to "COVID-19"; also that 40 PCR cycles have been used across Slovenia since the beginning of testing. The Faculty's original response and an English translation are available here:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/FOI-reply-Slovenia-University-of-Ljubljana-re-isolation.pdf>

Prosilka v 3. točki zahteva posredovanje znanstvenih dokazov o izolaciji virusa Sars-Cov-2 v skladu s Kochovimi postulati ter posredovanje študije, ki bi dokazala vzročno zvezo med Sars-Cov-2 in domnevno nalezljivo boleznijo Covid-19. UL MF Inštitut za mikrobiologijo in imunologijo znanstvene študije, ki bi izpeljala dokaz o izolaciji virusa Sars-Cov-2 v skladu s Kochovimi postulati, ni izvajal. UL MF Inštitut za mikrobiologijo in imunologijo prav tako ni izvedel znanstvene študije, ki bi dokazovala vzročno zvezo med Sars-Cov-2 in domnevno nalezljivo boleznijo Covid-19. Organ tako z zahtevanim dokumentom ne razpolaga, zaradi česar je bilo potrebno glede 3. točke zahteve odločiti, kot izhaja iz izreka tega sklepa.

More recently, **Slovenia's Ministry of Health** stated that they had no records re "SARS-COV-2" purification, PCR tests, etc. and transferred an FOI request to the **Institute of Microbiology and Immunology (IMI) at the Univerisy of Ljubljana**. The IMI eventually admitted in February 2021 that they have no records re "virus" purification and that PCR tests have been conducted using up to 40 cycles. Both letters are here: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Slovenian-Dept-Health-transfers-to-IMI-at-U-of-Ljubljana-no-isolation-40-PCR-CT.pdf>


And more recently still, **Slovenia's National Laboratory for Health, Environment & Food (Nacionalnega laboratorija za zdravje, okolje in hrano, NLZOH** – “the central and largest Slovenian public health laboratory that handles environmental protection, diagnostic and public health microbiological activities, chemical and microbiological analyses of different types of samples, and also performs research activities”) failed to provide or cite any record of “SARS-COV-2” purification or proof of existence, despite an intervention by the Information Commissioner. NLZOH also cited a “business secret” in responses re queries re PCR testing.

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Slovenia-Lab-package-w-translations.pdf>

Image

July 9, 2021: **Slovenia's main medical centre – Univerzitetni klinični center Ljubljana, UKCLJ (University Medical Centre Ljubljana)** – provides/cites zero records of “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification but nevertheless claims Koch's Postulates are fulfilled, and cites typical “monkey business” papers:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Slovenia-UKCLJ-request-response-redacted.pdf>



K vprašanju pod zaporedno številko 3 se posreduje povezavo:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7184405/pdf/ciaa325.pdf>
In pojasnilo; podajamo referenco, iz katere je razvidno, da SARS-CoV-2 izpolnjuje Kochove postulate. Vlagatelju ob tem pojasnjujemo, da je Koch s svojim sodelavcem Henlejem postulate objavil, precej preden so bili odkriti virusi. Kasneje so, predvsem v luči spoznanj virologije, Kochovi postulati bili prilagojeni novim odkritjem. Ne vemo sicer, kaj vlagatelj pojmuje pod pojmom “papir”, domnevamo, da gre za neposreden prevod angleškega izraza “paper”, ki v tem primeru pomeni članek.

December 22, 2021:

Argentina's National Administration of Laboratories and Health Institutes “Dr. Carlos Malbrán” is described as “a decentralized public body dependent on the Ministry of Health”, and is a [Collaborating Center on Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance](#) with guess “WHO”.

Monica Tous (“Virology Department Head”) responded to Edgar Horacio Russo's request for records describing “SARS-COV-2” purification with a letter about PCR “tests”, “sequencing” of RNA soups, oxymoronic “virus isolation” attempts in monkey cell cultures, and this gem: “*The LNR does not handle the use of the electron microscope.*”

Upon appeal it was admitted “there are NO records of what was requested under the specified conditions since viral purification is not performed” (see p 16, 21):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Argentina-Natl-Admin-of-Labs-and-Health-Institutes-PACKAGE-not-redacted.pdf>

2.pdf), a partir de confirmar el resultado detectable, dependiendo del valor de CT, se lleva a cabo la extracción de ácidos nucleicos y el RNA extraído es enviado a la Plataforma de Genómica donde se realiza el intento de secuenciación de genoma completo utilizando protocolos establecidos y estandarizados. Los resultados obtenidos son analizados y están disponibles en la página del Ministerio de Salud (<https://www.argentina.gob.ar/coronavirus/informes-diurnos/vigilancia-genomica>). La técnica de microscopía electrónica no se utiliza como método diagnóstico de rutina para la detección de SARS-CoV-2. El LNR no maneja el uso del microscopio electrónico”.

Por último agregó “(...) Además de la caracterización genómica, el laboratorio lleva a cabo el intento de aislamiento viral en cultivos celulares, a partir de muestras clínicas. Para ello, las muestras son filtradas previamente con filtros para jeringa de 0.22 µm y se infectan en cultivos de células VERO (células epiteliales del riñón de un mono verde africano). El efecto citopático se confirma mediante RT-PCR en tiempo real o por inmunofluorescencia. El LNR no lleva a cabo técnicas que permitan fabricar genomas”.

No obstante ello, el señor RUSSO consideró que la respuesta no se ajustaba a lo solicitado manifestando que “(...) El LNR afirma que no lleva a cabo técnicas que permitan fabricar genomas, pero eso es lo que hace, aunque es mi culpa por no definir mejor lo que quiero decir con “fabricar”. Por “fabricar” quiero decir inventar, mapear, secuenciar un supuesto genoma creado arbitrariamente a partir de secuencias genómicas, donde un software decide arbitrariamente cómo y donde se ensamblan. El LNR afirma que “realiza el intento de secuenciación de genoma completo” a partir de “el RNA extraído” de una muestra pura con resultado PCR positivo”. En virtud de ello, el día 7 de diciembre de 2021 presentó ante esta AAIP un reclamo en los términos del artículo 15 de la Ley N° 27.275, que dio origen a las presentes actuaciones.

En cumplimiento de la Resolución AAIP 4-E/2018, mediante NO-2021-119677532-APN-DPIP#AAIP se requirió al sujeto obligado la remisión de los antecedentes del caso y toda otra documentación y/o información que se considerase relevante para la resolución del reclamo.

En respuesta a dicha requiritoria, mediante NO-2021-122264216-APN-INEIA#ANLIS el sujeto obligado amplió su respuesta en la que aclaró “(...) NO se tienen registros de lo solicitado en las condiciones especificadas dado que no se realiza purificación viral. Las metodologías utilizadas son las que se citan en la nota NO-2021-117551442-APN-INEIA#ANLIS del 3 de diciembre del corriente año”.

Atento a lo expuesto es que corresponde ajustarse a lo previsto en el Criterio N° 3 aprobado por Resolución AAIP N° 48 del 27 de julio de 2018, según el cual se procederá al archivo de todo reclamo cuando “1. b-El reclamo hubiese sido iniciado por respuesta incompleta o insatisfactoria y el organismo ampliara la información oportunamente brindada, o fundare debidamente la denegatoria”.

De no estar de acuerdo el requirente con la información recibida podrá iniciar un nuevo reclamo por ser distintos los motivos que originaron el presente.

Ante ausencia del titular de la AAIP y a los efectos de garantizar el normal desenvolvimiento del organismo, de conformidad con lo dispuesto por la Resolución AAIP N° 30 del 14 de mayo de 2018, se ha encomendado la atención del despacho y la resolución de los asuntos concernientes a la competencia del titular de la AGENCIA DE ACCESO A LA INFORMACIÓN PÚBLICA, en el señor Director Nacional de Protección de Datos Personales, Dr. Eduardo Hernán CIMATO, delegándose la firma correspondiente.

Notifíquese el presente al reclamante conjuntamente con la NO-2021-122264216-APN-INEIA#ANLIS, y al MINISTERIO DE SALUD a través de su Responsable de acceso a la información pública.

AUTO-TRANSLATION (Reviewed)

result”. By virtue of this, on December 7, 2021, he presented before this AAIP a claim under the terms of article 15 of Law No. 27,275, which gave rise to these proceedings.

In compliance with Resolution AAIP 4-E/2018, through NO-2021-119677532-APN-DPIP#AAIP, the obliged subject was required to submit the background of the case and all other documentation and / or information that is considered relevant to the resolution of the claim.

In response to said request, through NO-2021-122264216-APN-INEIA#ANLIS the obliged subject

expanded its answer in which it clarified “[...] there are NO records of what was requested under the specified conditions since viral purification is not performed. The methodologies used are those cited in note NO-2021-117551442-APNINEIA#ANLIS of December 3 of the current year”.

In view of the foregoing, it is appropriate to comply with the provisions of Criterion No. 3

approved by AAIP Resolution No. 48 of July 27, 2018, according to which all claims will be filed when “1. b-The claim had been initiated due to incomplete or unsatisfactory response and the agency expands the information previously provided, or it duly substantiates the denial”.

If the applicant does not agree with the information received, he may initiate a new claim because of being different the reasons that originated the present.

In the absence of the head of the AAIP and in order to ensure the normal functioning of the

agency, in accordance with the provisions of AAIP Resolution No. 30 of May 14, 2018, the

National Director of Personal Data Protection, Dr. Eduardo Hernán CIMATO, has been entrusted

June 18, 2021: **Argentina’s Ministry of Health** strongly suggested in an FOI response to Ana Maria Daverede (re the meaningless “COVID-19” PCR tests) that they too have no record of “the virus” having been purified. In point 4 they mention **“by not having viral isolates available ...”** (Also in point 9: **“does not serve to discriminate carriage, infection, disease, contagiousness, transmissibility ...”**)

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Argentina-no-aislamiento-del-sarscov.pdf>

VIDEO: Admiten que no existe el coronavirus: Develan la gran farsa

<https://canal7salta.com/2021/06/24/argentina-video-admiten-que-no-existe-el-coronavirus-develan-la-gran-farsa/>

Hall of Shame

On February 15, 2021 Kepa Ormazabal submitted an FOI request re isolation/purification of the phantom “virus” to the **Basque Country (Spain) Office of the President and Department of Health** and all dependent institutions. Months later Kepa wrote:

“According to the law, they have 30 days to respond; 60 if the question is especially complex. Today is May 2nd and I have not heard from them.”

**bizkaia.eu**



Fons Alzola - Diputación foral

[Zuzenean] 2021/009739 - Buzón de Euskadi.eus - aislamiento sars-cov2

De <Zuzenean-No-Reply@euskadi.eus>

Destinatario [Redacted]

Fecha 15.02.2021 15:27



Datos de la solicitud

Datos personales: Kepa Ormazabal Sanchez

Correo electrónico: [Redacted]

Número de expediente: 2021/009739

Tipo de solicitud: Información

Asunto: aislamiento sars-cov2

Texto:

Solicito se me proporcione toda la información que conste en Lehendakartzak, el Departamento de Salud y en cualquier organismo dependiente de ellos sobre registros bibliográficos científicos, en cualquier soporte, que describan el aislamiento del virus SARS-Cov2 directamente a partir de muestras tomadas de pacientes diagnosticados de covid-19. Mi solicitud no se refiere a registros bibliográficos científicos basados en muestras que hayan sido mezcladas con otras fuentes de material genético, como, por ejemplo, células de riñón de simio o células de hígado canceroso. Nótese que se usa el término "aislamiento" en el sentido que le da el diccionario la Real Academia Española de "dejar algo solo y separado de otras cosas" o "separar un elemento o un cuerpo de una combinación o del medio en que se halla, generalmente para identificarlo o analizarlo". Por tanto, no estoy solicitando registros bibliográficos científicos sobre cultivos celulares, ni resultados de amplificación de material genético (ya sea por técnica PCR u otras) ni secuenciaciones de material genético.

No es necesario contestar a este mensaje. Atenderemos su petición en el menor plazo posible.

Mensaje enviado a kepa.ormazabal@bizkaia.eu. Si usted no ha realizado la consulta, por favor, [elimine la solicitud](#).

Atentamente

Zuzenean - Servicio de Atención ciudadana

Nota: para cualquier otra información puede contactar con [Zuzenean - Servicio de Atención ciudadana](#)

On March 30, 2021 Kepa Ormazabal submitted another FOI request re isolation/purification of the phantom “virus” to the **flagship of Spanish research, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas /Higher Council of Scientific Research** (the image below indicates the reception of the request by the institution). Months later Kepa writes: *“Again, they have not responded and, therefore, their silence is refusing access to the information they may hold in regards to my question.”*

“The CSIC and the Basque government are public institutions and, therefore, must comply with the Ley de transparencia, buen gobierno y acceso a la información pública/Law of transparency, good governance and access to public information. Article 20.4 of this law states that, if after 30 days there

has been no response from the public administration, this silence is to be understood as meaning that the request to access the public information solicited has been refused."

REGISTRO ELECTRONICO

Estimado/a Sr./Sra. KEPA MIRENA ORMAZABAL

Su solicitud de registro con número de registro REGAGE21e00003676931 en fecha 31/03/2021 12:37:05, va a ser tramitada por la oficina de registro Registro General del Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.

Puede consultar el estado de su solicitud en Carpeta Ciudadana en <https://sede.administracion.gob.es/carpeta/recAre/consulta.html> [1]

La Carpeta Ciudadana es un portal web que le permite, de una forma sencilla y sin tener que registrarse, conocer los asuntos que sean de su interés en relación con las Administraciones Públicas, por ejemplo el estado de sus expedientes, las notificaciones y comunicaciones que reciba o las solicitudes presentadas a través del Registro electrónico. Puede acceder a Carpeta ciudadana en la dirección <https://sede.administracion.gob.es/carpeta>.

Links:

[1] <https://sede.administracion.gob.es/carpeta/recAre/consulta.html>

Would you like to help? FOI Template


If you would like to submit your own FOI request to another institution, or obtain an updated response from an institution that has already been FOI'd, here is a suggested template and some tips:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/template-for-foi-requests/>.

VERSIÓN EN ESPAÑOL, HAGA CLIC AQUÍ

Please share with me any responses that you receive!)

Terrain: the Film The End of Germ Theory?
from Andrew Kaufman M.D.
and Marcelina Cravat



So “What The Hell Is Going?

At this point you might be scratching your head and wonder what on Earth is going on. If so, the collection of resources on the page linked below will reveal the fraud behind the fake pandemic known as “COVID-19”, as explained by various experts. The focus here is on the isolation/purification issue, the fake “genomes” and meaningless PCR tests that are at the heart of it all.

[“So What The Hell Is Going On”?](#)

The Virus Challenge:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/07/SETTLING-THE-VIRUS-DEBATE-Source-document.pdf>

Growing collection of FOI responses re **other imaginary viruses** (HIV, avian influenza, HPV, Influenza, Measles, etc., etc., etc.):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/>

FOIs re **secretive and unscientifically “mock infected” cells (aka invalid controls) and fabricated “genomes”**:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-virologists-perform-valid-control-experiments-is-virology-a-science/>

Do health and science institutions have studies proving that **bacteria CAUSE disease?**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-health-authorities-have-studies-proving-that-bacteria-cause-disease-lets-find-out-via-freedom-of-information/>

[“So What The Hell Is Going On”?](#)

And finally, some interviews and speeches of my own...

June 13, 2023:

My latest interview with **Jimuphy Masters of PLannedilLusion**

<https://www.plannedillusion.com/news-weekly/episode101>



June 10, 2023:

Here is a presentation that I did by myself, showing my webpages that contain the FOIs responses:

Official Evidence that Virology is Pseudoscience – Christine Massey June 10 2023, Video:

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/gvu4NbieSuVb/>



In late April 2023, I was honoured to be invited for an interview with the lovely and very talented [Kate Sugak](#) – naturopath, filmmaker, teacher. Here is the interview in English, and another version dubbed in Russian. Kate added many slides to the video to illustrate what we were talking about.

English:

<https://odysee.com/@katie.su:7/theofficialevidencethatvirusesdonotexist:7>

Russian:

<https://odysee.com/@katie.su:7/christinemasseykatesugakrussian:3>



April 28, 2023: I spoke with my good friend and amazing investigative reporter / radio host **Eric Francis Coppolino** (our friend [Jeffrey Strahl](#) also had a fascinating discussion with Eric during the same show): <https://planetwaves.fm/health-freedom-movement-sells-out-cheap-to-rfk-jr-the-alleged-alien-threat-is-all-about-power/>



Christine Massey on Perspective with Jesse Zurawell – 13 April 2023

<https://tntradiolive.podbean.com/e/christine-massey-on-perspective-with-jesse-zurawell-13-april-2023/>



Curtis Cost: Uncensored – Interview With Christine Massey

COVID “Virus” – Where’s The Proof? <https://curtiscost.substack.com/p/uncensored-interview-with-christine>

Improved audio, here:

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/XGFuuJNjHofB/>



Christine Massey **on Perspective with Jesse Zurawell** – recorded 15 March 2023:

<https://tntradiolive.podbean.com/e/christine-massey-on-perspective-with-jesse-zurawell-16-march-2023/>



My March 9, 2023 talk with **Ab at Fakeologist**, after Tim Truth, starting @33:35 (apologies for the f bomb):

<https://fakeotube.com/video/5780/thu-mar-9-2023-fak686-timtruth-christine-massey-and-frank>




March 9, 2023: My interview with **Jeremy Nell of Germ Warfare**.

TNT RADIO

Christine Massey on (SARS-CoV-2) virus isolation



BY JERM – 11 MAR 2023 – UPDATED: 11 MAR 2023



March 8, 2023: My interview with **Patrick Timpone**

<https://oneradionetwork.com/all-shows/christine-massey-freedom-of-information-requests-to-agencies-asking-to-see-the-virus-results-yield-nothing-march-8-2023/>

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/PqrdkAhRnb1W/>



March 7, 2023:

Michael Wallach – director of the incredible docu-series **Viral Delusion** – and I spoke with Dr. **Rima Laibow** and **Ralph Fucetola**

<https://www.brighteon.com/d8088302-1073-4deb-9e7e-26d313460bfd>

Viral Delusion:



Later in January, 2023 (23rd?):

Eric Coppelino, Michael Bryant and Christine Massey discuss RFK Jr. and Children's Health Defense's gatekeeping re virology

(note: this is not the same Michael Bryant who acted as "attorney general of ontario", [murdered Darcy Allen Sheppard](#) in a fit of road rage and got away with it)

<https://www.bitchute.com/embed/xmDzPAPzHR26/>



January 2023:

ALEC ZECK, TOM COWAN, ANDREW KAUFMAN, MARK BAILEY AND CHRISTINE MASSEY RESPOND TO (CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENCE "SCIENCE" ADVISOR) JJ COUEY

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/JCFqG9vaEiEE/>



January 4, 2023:

Is Everything We Know About Virology Wrong?

My interview with Canada's **Laura-Lynn Tyler Thompson**

<https://rumble.com/v23wjmw-is-everything-we-know-about-virology-wrong.html>



December 30, 2022:

Talking to my friend, long-time investigative journalist **Eric Coppolino**, on **Planet Waves FM**:

<https://planetwavesfm.substack.com/p/pwfm-daniel-giamario-shamanic-astrologer>

PWFM :: Daniel Giamario, shamanic astrologer; Christine Massey, shamanic letter writer

The new program is posted. My guests are astrologer Daniel Giamario and statistician Christine Massey. The program is always free to everyone — no login required, back shows available.



Eric F. Coppolino ✓
Dec 30, 2022

♡ 18

💬 18



November 3, 2022:

My interview with Jimuphy Masters of Planned Illusion

(note: I made an error during this interview, in referring to Dr. Kevin **McKernan** when in fact I was talking about Kevin **McCairn** (a so-called scientist with a PhD).

<https://www.plannedillusion.com/news-weekly/episode84>



September 8, 2022, with Regis Tremblay:

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/OKkhJIRGwkss/>

Dr Kevin Corbett, Dr Mark Bailey and I spent 2 hours reviewing the anti-scientific nature of virology and “covid” with Dr. Dolores Cahill on her radio show July 9, 2022.:

Part 1: <https://tntradiolive.podbean.com/e/christine-massey-dr-kevin-corbett-dr-mark-bailey-part-1-on->

[dolores-cahill-show-09-july-2022/](https://tntradiolive.podbean.com/e/christine-massey-dr-kevin-corbett-dr-mark-bailey-part-2-on-dolores-cahill-show-09-july-2022/)

Part 2: <https://tntradiolive.podbean.com/e/christine-massey-dr-kevin-corbett-dr-mark-bailey-part-2-on-dolores-cahill-show-09-july-2022/>



Uncovering Virology Through FOI with Christine Massey

Jul 5th, 2022 by The Collective Resistance Podcast – with Leo and Fabiola

Audio here:

<https://thecollectiveresistance.podbean.com/e/uncovering-virology-through-foi-with-christine-massey/>

Video:

<https://rumble.com/v1b78cr-tcrp-episode-57-uncovering-virology-through-foi-with-christine-massey.html>



**My interview with France's Marc Gray of CoronaX-Files: can CV19 isolation records be found?
(some of my colleagues think this is one of my better interviews)**

(French subtitles will eventually be added):

<https://odysee.com/@FREEDOMUFOS:8/Christine-Massey-on-DTV-05-05-2022:3>

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/HDRYo0P0LOFB/>

<https://rumble.com/v144m25-christine-massey-can-cv19-isolation-records-be-found-coronax-files.html>

<https://crowdbunker.com/v/EqWrLGafFt>

Saturday, April 2, 2022: Christopher James (of [A Warrior Calls](#)) and I speaking at Dundas Square in Toronto – thanks to Kelly Wolfe (of [Canadian Democratic Defense Association](#)) and Lamont Daigle (of [The Line Canada](#)) ♥♥♥ – explaining basics re the SARS-COV-2 fraud and the war that is occurring on we the people... <https://www.bitchute.com/video/gQdGh77I9519/>

February 2, 2022: **THE EVENT (RAW DEAL + WISDOM CIRCLE) – 02 FEBRUARY 22 – GUEST: CHRISTINE MASSEY**

January 21, 2022 interview with Maggie of [People for Justice](#) <https://peopleforjusticecanada.com/>



January 20th, 2022:

Discussing the unlawful arrest of this flesh and blood woman with Common law teacher **Christopher James** of [A Warrior Calls](#):

January 19, 2022:

My first interview with the lovely **Ramola D.:**

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/gLxaibKhNWFc/>



January 6, 2022:

This was my first-ever conversation with my great hero and inspiration, **Dr. Tom Cowan**.

Conversations with Dr. Cowan & Friends! EP 44: Christine Massey

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/fBN2S8mR6ipP/>



My interview with **Mike Adams “the Health Ranger”** of [NaturalNews](https://www.naturalnews.com/) and founder of [Brighteon.com](https://www.brighteon.com/):

<https://www.brighteon.com/cdf766f0-15a2-4502-911c-946e6ef8c6dd>



Video: Thursday August 26 2021: **My interview with common law teacher Christopher James of A Warrior Calls**

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/u0Y75IQGIPvo/>



Video: October 20, 2021: **Christine Massey & Dr. Kevin Corbett discuss the missing proof of the existence of 'SARS-CoV-2' :**

<https://rumble.com/vo1evl-where-is-the-evidence-for-the-existence-of-sars-cov-2-covid-virus.html>



Audio: October 1, 2021: **The Worldwide Hunt for SARS-CoV-2: Christine Massey Interview about her Freedom of Information Law responses** — Eric F. Coppolino of Planet Waves FM:

<https://planetwaves.fm/worldwide-hunt-for-sars-cov-2/>

The Worldwide Hunt for SARS-CoV-2: Christine Massey Interview about her Freedom of Information Law responses

ERIC FRANCIS / JANUARY 15, 2022 / NEW PROGRAM, PACIFICA / 3 COMMENTS

Originally published Oct. 1, 2021

[Visit Covid19 News – Daily since March 3, 2020](#)


• describe the isolation of the [alleged] genetic variant of the [alleged] causes [the alleged disease referred to as] COVID-19 [alleged] Kingdom, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient was not first combined with any other source of genetic material aka vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am using "isolation" in the every-day sense separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting refers instead to:



October 2021: **Dr. Bill Smith and I joined Brian Lupo of Cann Con Community to discuss the isolation/purification issue, and the "covid" injections:**

<https://canncon.locals.com/post/1203533/some-incredible-information-on-covid-and-the-vaccines>



August 20, 2021: **Atlantic Underground with Guests Patrick King and Christine Massey**

https://odysee.com/@AtlanticUndergroundPodcast:6/Atlantic-Underground-Podcast-Episode-64-zoom_0:9



August 10, 2021: **DOES THE 'VIRUS' EXIST? HAS SARS-COV-2 BEEN ISOLATED?** With Professor Michel Chossudovsky of [Global Research](#) (and [here it is](#) with Dutch subtitles)

In April 2021 I did a short impromptu interview with [Lockdown Radio](#), at a protest in my new hometown of Peterborough Ontario (7 minutes, audio only):

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FHi1pofmCkQ>

In early December 2020, Kevin J. Johnston invited me to discuss the FOI documents on his weekly podcast:

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/ruvRPMZtSCT/>



On November 28, 2020 I gave a speech at [The Line Canada](#)'s anti-lockdown protest; it begins at the 19 minute mark in this video:



<https://youtu.be>

[/JCBBUNYXEJo](#)

On November 26, 2020 at a protest in Toronto, I was given a spur-of-the-moment opportunity to deliver a **message to the Canadian government re their handing of "COVID-19"**, via the mainstream media.

Enjoy:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5k-iWZTvB-U>

A friend has been gathering all of my audio/video interviews

and a mirror of this website (a work in progress) here:

<http://TinyURL.com/BHChristineMasseyArchive>

If you would like to receive email updates re new FOI responses, let me know at

christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca or cmssyc@gmail.com or sign up for my free Substack newsletter:

<https://christinemasseyfois.substack.com/>.


Twitter has “permanently” [banned me](#). **So connect with me on FB:** <https://www.facebook.com>

[/chris.mass.9440/](#)

Why Many Don't Buy the Theory That Viruses CAUSE Disease

The problem is with HOW virologists do lab work...

- ❶ Virologists take samples of stuff (like snot) from a sick patient. Then...
- ❷ They add it to monkey, cow or even aborted baby cells. Then...
- ❸ They starve and poison the cell mixture. Then...
- ❹ They see cells dying and take still life photos with an electron microscope and conclude that a virus killed the cells. **The problem?**



They (1) **ASSUME** that there were viruses in the patients' sample and (2) they **BELIEVE** that the virus (which they only assume was there) CAUSES the cells to die.

In reality, the infected cells and tissues die BECAUSE they were starved and poisoned by the virologists themselves because of the method they used to do the experiment in their lab.

***"The death of the tissue and cells takes place in the exact same manner when no "infected" genetic material is added at all. Virologists have apparently not noticed this fact."**¹ - Stefan Lanka*

1. <https://thefreedomarticles.com/dr-stefan-lanka-2020-article-reveals-virus-misconception/>

David Icke sums up virology so perfectly, in 11 minutes:

<https://www.facebook.com/dualsport/videos/702133147838709>



The fascinating new documentary series, **The Viral Delusion** from Hollywood filmmaker Mike Wallach, is free for a limited time and features the growing group of doctors and scientists dismantling the illusion of virus theory to understand the real causes of disease – from The Great Plague to Polio, AIDS to COVID.

You can now see **Episode One** for free, by clicking [HERE](#)



“Absolutely incredible and incredibly important” – Dr. Sam Bailey.

“Immensely important and beautifully made” Dr. John Bevin-Smith.

“Amazing. Should be translated into every language in the world” – Dr. Vinnie Costa.

Anyone can understand the problems with virology, this is not rocket science. However, for the record in case anyone wants to know, my degrees are shown below.

Honours BA from University of Toronto, June 11 2007 (I did a specialist in sociology and a major in

statistics):



And below is my masters degree in biostatistics, from the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, November 13, 2008:



I was banned from twitter in the summer of 2021, but can be found on Facebook:

<https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100084768107639>

If you would like to support my work... you could donate via PayPal using the button below:

(or via email transfer using cmssyc@gmail.com – within Canada only).

Please also send me an email to let me know you donated – otherwise I might not receive your contact info to say thank you!